ישראל הצעיר ד'סנצ'ורי סיטי

22.9.1

(בנכסים מועטין) → 140b (משנה א

- I משנה disbursement of funds upon death of father
 - a *If*: there is enough to feed everyone, the boys inherit and the girls are fed
 - b *But if:* there isn't enough, the girls are fed and the boys must beg (or work etc.)
 - i Definition of "enough": רבי (as per ר"ג ברבי) enough to feed everyone for 12 months
 - 1 Counter (שמואל): enough to feed everyone until they come of age (confirmed by ר' יוחנן): (שמואל)
 - 2 *Challenge* (*νεκ*): if there isn't enough to take care of all of them (boys and girls) until maturity, the girls get everything?
 - 3 Rather: in that case, the girls get enough to feed them until maturity and the boys get the rest (אירושה as (ירושה)
 - ii Analysis and application:
 - 1 Changed value:
 - (a) Obvious: if the property (at time of death) was "great" and then depreciated, the heirs already were more
 - (i) But: if the property was below "poverty" line and then increased מזונות or מזונות?
 - 1. Solution: ruling of נכסים מועטין valid ruling of נכסים מועטין valid
 - 2. Implication: they're "owners" → if increased, becomes proper ירושה and not claimed by בנות
 - 2 Series of questions asked by ר' ירמיה of *r*.
 - (a) אלמנה does her presence "cut into" value, reducing it to אלמנה?
 - (i) *Lemma*1: since she must be fed from estate, it is accounted OR
 - (ii) Lemma2: if she marries, she is no longer fed by estate doesn't diminish
 - (iii) If: we follow #2 what about בת אשתו (in case he agrees to feed for 5 years- כתובות יב:א)
 - 1. Lemma1: even if she marries, she is still fed OR
 - 2. *Lemma2*: had she died, she wouldn't be fed and isn't considered doesn't diminish
 - 3. *If*: we follow #2 does a בע״ת diminish the value
 - a. Lemma1: if he dies, the money is still owed (to his heirs) OR
 - b. Lemma2: it still hasn't been collected doesn't diminish
 - (b) (*note*: this entire line of questioning may have started from the opposite pole בע"ח)
 - (c) Additional question: if there is only enough to feed widow or daughter which has claim?
 - (i) Answer (only to final question): ruling that the widow-to-daughter::daughter-to-sons
 - 1. *Just as*: daughters are fed and sons must beg
 - 2. similarly: widow is fed and daughters must beg
 - c Dissent: אדמון claims that the boys should at least be fed along with the girls
 - i Support: אדמון confirms אדמון's position
 - ii Argument:
 - 1 אביי sons study תורה, should have first claim on מזונות in case of נכסים מועטין
 - (a) *Challenge (דבא*): then only studious sons should inherit!
 - 2 xons have greater claim with large estate, shouldn't be discriminated against in small estate