22.9.4; 142b (אמר רבי יצחק אמר רבי יוחנן) → 143b (המודר הנאה מבנים - מותר בבני בנים)



- I Continued discussion of המזכה לעובר granting to the unborn
 - קנין ruling invalid ר' יוחנן

а

- i *Challenge*: our משנה (where father grants money to his unborn child)
- ii *Answer*: that case is unique as a man feels close to his son
- b אשמואל rules in favor of position that המזכה לעובר קנה is as per שמואל is as per שמואל.
- c Case: man told his wife (not currently pregnant) that he was granting all of his funds to any children she'd bear
 - i *History*: he had a son from a previous marriage who complained that he was being cut out
 - 1 Father's response: he will get along with the rest
 - (a) Ruling: the sons (to come) certainly get nothing they didn't exist (even in utero) at the time
 - (b) *Question*: does this older son get a portion?
 - (i) *(ודעמיה)* he gets a portion
 - (ii) *(ודעמיה)* he doesn't get a portion
 - 1. Argument: it is similar to קני וחמור should be no קנין for person (since חמור cannot be קני)
 - (c) Discussion: קני וחמור no קנין
 - (i) But: קני את וחמור
 - 1. *ד"נ* he is לי*"נ*.
 - 2. קונה he isn't קונה at all (since חמור cannot be קונה)
 - 3. קונה he is קונה it all (since חמור cannot be קונה it all comes to him)
 - a. *Argument*: from position of רי יוסי vis-à-vis taking תרומה from cucumbers (of which the middle is bitter); he must add from the outer section (to get the right amount), and it is valid even though it includes the bitter inside (parallel to את וחמור)
 - i. *Rejection*: even if he gave the bitter inside, מה״ת, it is valid, per אילעא's take on v. 1
 - b. *Challenge*: if one gives קידושין to 5 women, including 2 sisters, the others are מקודשות
 - i. *Answer*: as per רהב"א dream case where he said "only those who are רהב"א *case*: a man told his wife that she and their children get his property
 - 1 ארב ייסף she gets half, they share half, as per v. 2 (re: כה"ג) אהרן (לחם הפנים) gets ½, his sons (משמרת) get ½
 - 2 *Challenge* (*אביי*): in that case, "אהרן is a כהן, the text is granting him ½;
 - (a) Here: she isn't a בת ירושה; his statement included her as one son (gets one חלק)
 - (b) Challenge: in שמואל , נהרדעא collected ½ (so did י"ר in כמפ in "עבריה and case in "א where government taxed the rich and the city council and רבי told them to split the liability
 - (i) *Rejection*: in that case, government knew that the wealthy would help the council; their writing "wealthy" was unnecessary and was there to place 50% liability on them
 - 3 *Challenge (ר' זירא*): if someone volunteers to bring 100 כלים, he brings 60 (the max) in 1, 40 in other
 - (a) If: he brought 50 in each יצא (but לכתחילה should bring 60/40)
 - (i) *Block*: we see that he wanted to bring a generous קרבן; he only said "2 כלים" since he knew that he couldn't bring the entire קרבן in one →he should bring as much in one as possible
 - (ii) Final ruling: we follow רב יוסף (in disputes in בבא בתרא) in (בבא בתרא) and here
 - iii Case: a man sent strips of silk to his home -
 - 1 Ruling (ר׳ אמי): whatever is fit for boys' clothes to the sons; for daughters' clothers to the sons; for daughters' clothers to
 - (a) Caveat: only if he doesn't have daughters-in-law (it goes to them)
 - (i) Exception: if he has unmarried daughters, they receive ahead of the כלות
 - iv *Case*: man said that he grants his property to his "sons" but he only had one, and a daughter
 - 1 *Question*: would a person call his one son "my sons", to exclude daughter from getting her עישור נכסים
 - (a) Or: would he intend the daughter as well, in order to give her more
 - (b) *Answer*: from vv. 3-5 he means his one son
 - v Case: man said בנסאי לבנאי but he had one son and a grandson; are grandchildren called "son"?
 - Answer: they are not, as per ruling in re: נדרים;
 - (a) *If*: someone is מודר הנאה from his son, no איסור from grandchildren

www.dafyomiyicc.org

1

ii

© Yitzchak Etshalom 2017