

22.10.2

161b (אמר ר' יצחק בר יוסף) → 162b (סכך פסול פוטל בארבעה)

- I שטר: content of final line of יוחנן
- a *If*: there are any erasures and overwrites, they must be confirmed in last line – רדין קיומיהון
  - b *And*: the last line must only summarize the content of שטר – no new information
    - i *Reason* (ר' עמרם): we do not infer any information from the last line
    - ii *Question* (ר'): what is the source of this rule?
    - iii *Answer*: from ברייתא – if the עדים's signatures are 2 lines below כתב, שטר is פסול; if only 1 line – כשר
      - 1 *Reason*: we aren't concerned that בעל השטר could add new information, because the last line never contains anything new of substance
      - 2 *Tangential question*: what if they left the space of 1.5 lines between כתב and עדים?
        - (a) *Note*: our ברייתא isn't helpful; the implications of רישא and סיפא cancel each other out
        - (b) *Answer*: ברייתא explicitly rules that anything less than 2 lines is valid
          - (i) *And*: ברייתא adds that if he had more than 2 עדים and one of them proved to be פסול או קרוב, the שטר is still valid by dint of the עדים כשרים
            - 1. *Note*: it shouldn't be surprising that blank space is more severe than "invalidly filled" space
              - a. *Support*: it takes סכך פסול ד' טפחים to invalidate a סוכה,
                - i. *but*: 3 טפחים of air invalidate the סוכה