22.10.9;170b (משנה וב) → 172a (משנה אחרינא הוא)

ו. עָשִׁיר בְּרָשִׁים יִמְשׁוֹל **וְעָבֶד לֹוֶה לְאִישׁ מַלְוָה**: מּשׁלִי פּרק כב פּסוק ז

- I משנה וב dealing with the שטר הלואה when part of the loan is paid off
 - a שטר they should rewrite שטר for new amount
 - b שטר אובר and write a לווה for שובר
 - i Challenge (ד' יהודה): then lender has to watch his שובר
 - ii *Retort (יוסי)*: this is appropriate he shouldn't harm the lender's rights
 - ב"ד, Final ruling (רב הונא בשם רב): like neither; rather, ב"ד tears up שטר and writes another
 - i Commnent (ר" יהודה or יר ירמיה or ב' ירמיה had: ברייתא known the ברייתא), he would've ruled like ר"י.
 - 1 ברייתא in such a case, the עדים tear up the שטר and write another one מזמן ראשון
 - (a) Response (ברייתא did hear the ברייתא and nonetheless ruled against it
 - (b) Reason: עדים has power to usurp property (via עדים; אחריות); עדים who already did their job can't be assumed to be assigned to do it again
 - (i) Challenge: רב rules that ערים can sign as many סשטרי מכר on a field (בדאירכס) as necessary
 - (ii) Answer1 (דב יוסף): that is in re: שטר מתנה אחריות \rightarrow no damage to 3^{rd} party)
 - (iii) Answer2 (רבה): that is in re: a שטר שאין בו אחריות
 - 2 Revisiting the ברייתא: if he paid part of the loan
 - (a) אי יהודה the witnesses tear up the שטר and write a new one מזמן ראשון
 - (b) מלווה writes the שטר sit as is and the מלווה writes the לווה a receipt
 - (i) Reasons: שובר speeds up full payment לווה) doesn't want to lose it) and allows collection מזמן ראשון
 - 1. Challenge: מזמן ראשון also authorized collection מזמן ראשון (as per new שטר)
 - 2. Answer: ר' יוסי's response:
 - a. If: מומן ראשון meant מומן, then they differ on one point; if זמן שני, they differ on 2
 - d Related מטר. if a שטר is dated תשרי, (תשרי, 10 of) או it is clearly a post-dated כשר and is כשר
 - i אי יהודה. all post-dated שטרות are valid, since we don't write a שובר no bad consequences possible
 - ii מאוחר only such a post-dated מטר (where it being מאוחר is inherently obvious) is valid; else, invalid
 - 1 Reason:since ר' יוסי holds that we allow שוברות to be written, could lead to injustice:
 - (a) Lender could write שטר, claiming he lost שטר, then collect again
 - e Further details about שוררים
 - i שובר : we only write a שובר for a partial amont; but full amount, if paid, requires שובר to be torn up
 - Challenge: story of שובר insisted on wrting ר"י ור"ל, who, in spite of רב ושמואל insisted on wrting ר"י ור"ל
 - (a) Block: שביי, just because the מלוה lost the שטר, why should the לווה have to guard his שובר?
 - (b) Retort: רבא indeed, as per v. 1
 - ii שטרות post-dated משנה שביעית יא are valid
 - 1 שטרי מקח only applies to שטרי, but not שטרי, since he could double-collect (as above)
 - (a) *Challenge*: couldn't he double-collect on a loan?
 - (b) Answer: we don't write a שובר (follows ר' יהודה)
 - (i) Challenge (to שובר our practice is to allow post-dated שטרות and to write a שובר
 - 1. Defense: אבא 'ז instituted a line to be written into a שטר מאוחר indicating that it's post-dated
 - 2. Challenge (שוברים to שוברים): but we don't write that and allow
 - a. Defense: טפרא instituted that if טופר knows date of שטר, write it on שובר; else, leave it without a date and anytime he produces it, it will nullify any שטר brought against it
 - b. Challenge: we don't write "מאוחר", nor a date on the שובר
 - i. Answer: anyone (לווה) who doesn't take advantage of that חקנה hurts himself
 - f Practice of חכמים in re dating רבא ב"ר שילא שטרות to write date of original ישטרי הקניה on סופרים; if they don't know that date, to write date on which they were executing it to avoid appearance of שקר
 - i שטר אפט should bear name of the town where they are writing it, 'tho they were directed to do so elsewhere Limits on "rewriting שטרות":
 - i שטר if someone has a שטר for 100, we don't rewrite 2 @50; nor vice-versa
 - l Reason: we want לווה to have to pay up quickly if appropriate (100 in one שטר); but not if separate loans
 - 2 And: we don't want פגימת שטר where inappropriate (was 2 loans); we do want it where appropriate (1 loan)
 - $^{\prime\prime}$ if מלוה requests that his 100-שטר be rewritten as 50, since לווה paid half, we don't do so
 - 1 Reason: may have paid all, מלוה claimed שטר was lost and wrote שובר and will claim this is a different loan