23.7.3 52a (משנה ב') → 53a (משנה ב')

```
1. ובּת אִישׁ כֹּהֵן כִּי תַחָל לֹזְנוֹת אֶת אָבִיהָ הִיא מְחַלֶּלֶת בָּאָשׁ תִּשֶּׁרף: ייקרא כא, ט
2. אַת מַחְתּוֹת הַחַטָּאִים הָאֵלֶּה בְּנַבְּשׁתָם וְעָשׁוּ אֹתָם רְקָעֵי פַּחִים צִפּוּי לְמִזְבַּחַ כִּי הָקְרִיבָּם לְפְנֵי ה' וַיִּקּדְשׁוּ וְיִהְיּ לְאוֹת לְבְנֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל: בּמִזבר יז, ג
3. בְּחַנְפִי לְעֲנֵי מְעוֹג חָרֹק עָלֵי שׁנֵימוֹ: חחלים לה, טו
4. וְתַּצֵא אֵשׁ מִלְפְנֵי ה' וְתִּאַכְל אוֹתָם וָיָמָחּוּ לְפְנֵי ה': ייקרא י, ב
5. לא תַקם וְלֹא תָטֹר אָוֹ הַשְּׁנֵט אֲשֶׁר וְאָנִי ה': ייקרא י, ב
6. וּבְאת אֶל חַפָּהְנִים הְלִוֹץ חָשְׁנֵט אֲשִׁר יְהָיָה בַּיְמִים הְהָם וְדָרַשְׁתְ וֹהְגִידֹם הְּחָים וְיְלַחְתָּק בְּמָוֹף אָנִי ה': ייִקרא יע, יח
5. וּבְאת אֶל חַפָּהְרִים הְלְהַיְ וְאֶל חָשְּׁנֵט אֲשִׁר יְהָיְהָ בְּיְמִים הְהָם וְדָרַשְׁתְ וֹהְגִידֹם לְּאַבְיר וְיִבְּרְתִּי וְאָל הַפְּתָב הְּשָׁרְפוֹת אָבוֹתֶיוֹ הְמָלְ הַשְּׁבָּט אֲשֶׁר יְרָבְעָ הְנְיִלְי בְּקְרִי הְרָאשׁנִים אֲשֶׁר הְיּלְ בְּעָשׁוּ וּבְרָתִי הְלָבִי הָרְאשׁנִים אֲשֶׁר הְיִרְ לְבָּעָ שְׁה אָרֶץ כְנַען אֲשֶׁר אְנִי מָבִיא אֶתְכֶם שָׁמָה לֹא תַעֲשׁוּ וּבְּרָתִי הְרָאשׁר יִהְי, וּבְּרְתִי וְאָבְיְם אֲשֶׁר יִבְּבָּת הָּשְׁכָּט אְתָרְאשׁנִם אֲשֶּׁר הְיִּבְשְׁרְ בְּי תָעְשׁה הְיִר הְהָבְרְתִי וְבָּאְרָה הְבָּבְים וֹתְרִב לֹמְתוֹת בְּשֵּבֶט וּמִת תַחָר בְּוֹת וְצָשֵׁה הַיְשָׁבְּט וּמְתְ בְּבְּי בְּעָשְׁה בְּבְי תַעֲשָׁה הְיָבִי הְיִשְׁה בְּי תַעֲשָׁה בְּיִנִי הֹי וּבְבְּר בִּי תַעְשָׁה הִיּבְיּי הְעָשֶׁה בְּיִב יִנְאָל בְּתְשִׁר בְּהוֹ אַשְׁר יִנְאֵף בְּה בְּעָבְי מִוּתְשָׁה בְיִי תַעֲשָׁה בִּיִבְי וְנְאָם הְשָׁבְי הְבָּבְי תְעֲשָׁה בִּיִבְי וְבְשָׁבְי הִוּחְלְבִי בְּה בְּעִשְׁה בְּיִי תְעֲשָׁה בִּיִבְי וְבְשְׁר בְּבְי תַעֲשָׁה בְּיִב בְּי תַעְשָׁה בְּיוֹ בְּישְׁבְי בְּבְיּר בְּבְשְׁבְי בְּבְירְי בְּבְּרְיבִייְי בְּיבְיבְי בְּבְיבְיבְי בְּיבְּרְיבְיבְי בְּבְיבְּי בְּבְּבְיבְי בְּבְּרְיבְּיב בְּיבְּבְיבְי בְּבְּבְיבְּי בְּיבְיבְּבְּיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְיב בְּבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְּבְים בְּבְּיבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְיבְּבְּבְיבְּבְּבְיבְּבְיבְיבְבְּיב בְּבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְבְּבְיבְיב בְּבְיבְבְּי בְּבְבְיבְים בְּבְּבְיבְיבְּבְיבְבְיבְּבְבְּבְיבְּבְיבְּבְיבְבְבְיב
```

I משנה ב': Process of שריפה

- a *First*: they would sink him into dung up to his knees (to keep him from moving)
- b σ , they would put a hard cloth into a soft one, put it around his neck and pull from two sides to open his mouth
 - i Dissent (ה' יהודה): they would used pincers to open his mouth (since, if he died by the cloths, לא יצא חובת שריפה
- *Then*: they would light a lead bar and throw it in and it would burn out his insides
 - i Report (בת כהן: a בת כהן was convicted of זנות and the ב"ב put wraps of wool around her and burnt her
 - 1 Response: that "\" was unfamiliar with the procedure
 - 2 also: reported seeing a case like that when he was young; report was discounted as a childhood recollection
 - (a) *observation*: this must have been the first report; then he reported what he saw as a גדול, which was discounted as this was an errant ב"ד רב יוסף) ב"ד (צדוקים ב"ד צדוקים)

II Source for method of שריפה

- a שריפה::שריפה (v. 1) from death of אדת קרח v. 2 indicates that the body was intact and the insides were burnt
- b שריפה: שריפה אהרן from שהירן sons v. 4 implies that their bodies were intact and the insides were burnt
 - i interprets v. 2 as real burning, but נפשותם indicates the reason, as per י"ל application of v. 3
 - ii niterprets v. 4 as real death, but it began inside, as per tradition about four shoots of fire
 - 1 And: אותם (v. 4) implies that they were burnt, but not their clothes
- c Question: why not infer from the burnt פרים (normal burning)
 - Answer: more analogous to בני אהרון or בני אהרון both people, sinning, the soul is burnt and פיגול doesn't obtain
 - 1 Counter: פרים הנשרפים is more analogous as it is the proper method and is a perennial law
 - 2 Defense: עדת קרח/בני אהרון are closer, as they have more things in common (4 > 2)
- d Analysis: why doesn't ת"ק infer from בני אהרון?
 - 1 Answer: that is real burning (as above) and, applying "ל"ג's read of v. 5 more humane killing
 - 2 Challenge: if so, why need the גז"ש?
 - (a) Answer: if not for שריפה that "inside burning" isn't שריפה at all
 - (b) And: ר"ג alone would suggest that we use the "wraps of wool" to kill quicker קמ"ל
- e Tangent: נדב ואביהוא about נדב ואביהוא's deprecatory attitude towards אהרון ומשה
 - i And: note about עדת קרח, how he was able to amass a group of followers
- f Story: of a בת כהן who was burnt by an אמורא, using the "wool wraps"
 - Note: 2 errors method and mandate (as per v. 6) executions can only be carried when there is a מקדש (i.e. מקדש)

III משנה גו: process of סייף)

- a חכמים: they would behead him while standing, just as the Romans do
- b : this is degrading to body; rather, they put his head on a stand (like an anvil) and use a cleaver to behead him
 - i Response: there is nothing more degrading
 - ii Conversation: ר' יהודה admits that his method is most degrading; but v. 7 militates against using Roman execution
 - Counter: since the חורה provided for ס"ף, v. 7 is no longer a consideration, as we aren't imitating them
 - (a) Proof: ruling that we burn vessels etc. to show mourning for death of kings, as per v. 8

- iii Discussion: in the next chapter, murder and שיר הנדחת are listed as crimes which carry סייף as punishment
 - 1 Understood: source for עיר הנדחת v. 9 explicitly uses
 - 2 However: source for רוצח v. 10 doesn't explicitly use חרב; how do we know סייף?
 - (a) Answer: end of v. 10 uses נקום "נקם, as per v. 11 that is with a חרב
 - (b) Challenge: perhaps it means to pierce their stomachs
 - (i) Answer: לפי חרב implies use of the blade, not the tip
 - (ii) Challenge: perhaps it means to slice them in half
 - 1. Answer: ר"ג's application of v. 5 militates against that
 - (c) Note: this only supports method for killer of slave (v. 10) what of killing a freeman?
 - (i) Answer: ס"ף if killing a slave carries ס"ף, certainly killing a freeman can't be
 - 1. Note: this argument will not work for סייף as more serious than חנק as more serious than סייף
 - 2. Answer: v. 12 compares all executions of murderers to עגלה ערופה blade and at the neck
 - a. Challenge: if so, imitate עגלה ערופה exactly and use a cleaver from the back!
 - b. Answer: ואהבת לרעך כמוך s application of ואהבת לרעך

IV משנה ג2: process of חנק

- a First: they would sink him into dung up to his knees (to keep him from moving)
- b then: they would put a hard cloth into a soft one, put it around his neck and pull from each side until he died
- V source for חנק : exegesis of v. 13: adult male having relations with wife of an adult Jewish male חנק עם מות יומת by חנק עס
 - a הי יאשיה if there is no specific method mentioned, we can go no further than the "lightest" form of execution
 - b not for that reason; rather, default of מיתה means חנק
 - i Explanation (יבי): just as מיתה בידי שמים leaves no obvious impact, so too מיתה בידי אדם
 - ii Challenge: perhaps it means שריפה (which is internal, as above)
 - 1 Answer: since בת כהן was singled out for שריפה (explicitly), a non- בת כהן must not be שריפה
 - 2 Question: how does אייף know that ד' יאשיה even exists as a potential method of execution perhaps its פייף?
 - (a) Note: we understand רבי's source as רבי explained it
 - (b) Answer: there was a tradition of ד' מיתות ב"ד
 - iii *Suggestion*: their dispute revolves around whether סייף or חנק is the lightest (ר"ש/רבנן)
- VI Question (סקילה and they are learned from סקילה where we don't have explicit mention of סקילה and they are learned from אוב וידעוני
 - a Are they: inferred via מות יומת OR from דמיהם בם?
 - b Answer: from דמיהם בם; else what is the purpose of that phrase?
 - c Counter: then what is the purpose of מות יומת?
 - i Answer: as per ברייתא explicating v. 15 use any means necessary to kill him
 - d Related question (אבינא א סר' אחא מדיפתי): why would אביי be bothered if it was inferred via מות יומת?
 - i Cannot be: אשת איש (v. 13) that would be inferred from סקילה and get אוב וידעוני
 - 1 Reason: since the תורה singled out אשת איש → סקילה for אשת איש ל doesn't get סקילה
 - ii Suggestion: perhaps he was bothered by מכה אביו ואמו instead of inferring from או"י, infer from אר"י, הוק), infer from אר"י
 - 1 Rejection: if we can infer from אשת איש, we'll go for the lenient one (אשת איש, we'll go for the lenient one (אשת איש)
 - iii Answer: he was bothered by all non-excplicit נסקלים why infer from אשת איש, infer from אשת איש