23.9.3

78a (משנה אב) → 78b (משנה אב)

- אם יָקוּם וְהַתְהַלֶּךְ בַּחוּץ על משענתו וְנָקָה הַמַּכָּה רַק שִׁבְתּוֹ יְתַן וְרַפֹּא יְרַפַּא: שמות כא, יט
- 2. וּשְׁמַרְתָּם אֶת הַשַּׁבָּת כִּי קֹדֶשׁ הַוֹּא לָכֶם **תְּחַלְלָיחָ מות יוּמָת** כִּי כָּל הָעשֶׁה בָּה מְלָאכָה וְנַכְרְתָה הַנֶּבֶשׁ הַהוֹא מָקֶרֶב עַמֶּיהָ: *שמות לא, יד* 
  - 3. וַיַּנִּיחוֹ אֹתוֹ בַּמִּשְׁמָר כִּי לֹא פֿרַשׁ מַה יֵּעֶשֶּׁה לוֹ: במדבר טו, לד
    - וַיַּנִיחָהוּ בַּמִשְׁמָר לְפָרשׁ לַהָם עַל פִּי ה': ויקרא כד, יב
- I משנה אנ: liability for a murder victim who recovered then relapsed
  - a If: someone struck another and the doctor's diagnosis was that it was a fatal
  - b And then: he recovered; but subsequently had a relapse and died
    - i *חכמים*. liable
    - ii ד' נחמיה: exempt as per the context
      - 1 Source: v. 1 דרשה 'ר' בחמיה we wouldn't think that if the victim got better, we'd kill the perpetrator;
        - (a) rather: if he recovered, the perpetrator is immediately (and permanently) exonerated
      - 2 חכמים: read verse as teaching that we imprison him (to see what will happen to the victim)
        - (a) מקושש (holding him) from the חובשין (v. 3)
          - (i) היב in that case, we knew he was חייב מיתה (v. 2); here, we don't know if he'll have to die at all
        - (b) אָל (agrees, rather he derives from) מקלל (v. 4)
        - (c) דבנן that was an unsual circumstance (הוראת שעה) (supporting ברייתא
    - iii Analysis:
      - 1 אומדנות: we understand why the text alludes to 2 אומדנות.
        - (a) 1: for original diagnosis that the blow was fatal and he fully recovered;
        - (b) 2: for original diagnosis that it was fatal; he recovered and relapsed and died
      - 2 אומדנות 2 why אומדנות? Even with relapse the perpetrator is liable
        - (a) 1: if they diagnosed it to be fatal and he fully recovered (exempt)
        - (b) 2: if they diagnosed it not to be fatal and then he died (exempt)
          - (i) שמי, in that case, he's already been permanently exonerated no need for a פסוק to exempt him

## II ברייתות:

- a if: he was assessed as mortally wounded and then improved, they reassess for monetary liability (per end of v. 1)
  - i ה' נחמיה. if he relapses and dies, pays per ממון
  - ii חכמים. there is no "2nd assessment" (i.e. liable for death)
- b If: he was diagnosed as mortal and then improved, we reassess for damages
  - i But if: he was diagnosed as non-fatal and then died, we don't reassess for death
  - ii And if: they assessed him to die and he improved, we reassess for financial liability
    - And if: he relapsed and died, we don't reassess for death; rather the צער ונזק is given to his heirs
      - (a) *Note*: this assessment is made as per the date of the attack
  - iii Note: this ברייתא, presened anonymously, follows ר' נחמיה