26.4.1

49b (משנה א') → 50b (לית נגר ולא בר נגר דיפרקינה)

ז. וַיָּצָמְדוּ לְבַעַל פְּעוֹר וַיּאֹכְלוּ זְבְחֵי מֵתִים: *תהלים קוּכּח*

- I משנה אי: identifying the location of a Mercury-stele
 - a מרקוליס: three rocks placed next to Mercury are themselves a מרקוליס; if there are only 2 not מרקוליס
 - b מתרות if the rocks appear to be associated with the central statue אסורות ; if not מתרות
 - i Analysis: ירבנן's position is clear שנר"ם do not worship shards (→ separate piles of rocks, assumed to have broken off סיר", are ignored); but "ר" position is unclear
 - 1 If: he holds that they worship shards why not identify 2 (or 1?) as מרקולים?
 - 2 And if: he holds that they don't, even three shouldn't be reckoned
 - ii Proposal (ר' יוחנן): all agree that if we know that the rocks fell from the idol, they are אסור
 - 1 Even: that מ"ד that מכו"ם don't worship shards will agree that in the case of Mercury, they do (אורחיה)
 - 2 Dispute: plain rocks (that didn't fall from idol)
 - (a) Even then: if they are close to the idol, they agree that they are שסורות (offered to idol)
 - (b) Dispute: if they are far away (in spite of wording "בצד מרקוליס" means within ד"א means within
 - (i) מרקולים their custom is to make a small מרקולים next to the big one; it is made of 3 rocks אטור → אטור
 - (ii) מותר they don't make a small מרקולים there \rightarrow if they're not obviously attached to idol מותר
 - iii Revisiting ד' יוחנן. rocks that fell from אסור are אסור
 - 1 Challenge: ברייתא rocks that fell from מרקוליס are only אטור if they appear to be connected to it
 - (a) Dissent: ר' ישמעאל only if there are three; two are not אסורות
 - (b) Defense (שנשרו): don't read "that fell (שנשרו)", rather "that were found (שנמצאו)"
 - (i) Challenge: אסור are בתפיסה doesn't permit 2; he rules that 2 which are בתפיסה are אסור; 3, even if distant
 - (ii) Answer: if they abut the idol, even 2 are אסור; if a bit away only 3 אסור
 - 1. Meaning; if there is some barrier between them
 - 2. Question: is this how they make בריתא ? arrules that בית קוליס is 2 rocks and one on top
 - 3. Answer: that is in re: base idol
 - iv Realted story: מרקוליס was destroyed, מרקוליס was set up there; non-מרקוליס worshippers took stones to pave road
 - 1 Ruling: some חכמים walked there; others didn't
 - (a) בנן של קדושים: even בנן של קדושים, who wouldn't look at images on coins) would walk there
 - 2 Rationale: for prohibiting per v.1; just as מת is never תקרובת ע"ז of the rock) is never בטל
 - 3 Rationale: for permitting (בי בשם רב) must be similar to עבודת פנים (e.g. שחיטה (e.g. עבודת פנים)
- II Two indecipherable ברייתות, each brought by ר' יוסף and reported by רבה בר ירמיה
 - a If: a non-Jew brings מרקוליס and paves a road מותר does so אסורות does so אסורות
 - i Solution (ר' ששת): as per כעין פנים above תקרובת is only אסור if done כעין פנים
 - b Care for trees: we may deworm and "heal" trees (by putting fertilizer on "wound") during שמיטה, but not on הוה"מ;
 - *But*: in neither case may we prune
 - ii However: in both cases we may apply a balm to the pruned section
 - 1 Question (דבינא): what was indecipherable?
 - 2 If: the distinction between שמיטה and שמיטה
 - (a) Resolution: שמיטה is an איסור מלאכה no מלאכה involved; חוה"מ is a problem of טירחא
 - 3 If: the distinction between healing and pruning (on שמיטה)
 - (a) No question: healing maintains the tree; pruning helps the tree grow further
 - 4 If: "healing" vs. ברייתא which only permits it during חוספת שביעית (until ר"ה (until חוספת שביעית
 - (a) Answer: follows distinction between two kinds of healing; one which promotes growth is אטור
 - 5 If: applying balm vs. בריתא which only allows applying oil to small fruit during חוספת שביעית
 - (a) Answer: in that case, it's fattening the fruit; in our case, it's maintaining it
 - 6 Answer (ו"): he was bothered by the contradiction between "healing" and "applying the balm" on מותר since both are there just to maintain tree, why is one (applying balm) and the other אסור?
 - (a) No answer: that's why it was considered indecipherable