26.5.5

67a (עפרא בעלמא הוא) → 68a (אה הכלל כל שבהנאתו בנותן טעם כו')

. לא תאכלו כל נבלה לגר אשר בשעריך תתנגה נאכלה או מכר לנכרי כי עם קדוש אתה לה' אלהיך לא תבשל גדי בחלב אמו: דברים ידיכא

- I Analysis of "rule"in נטל"פ מותר example: vinegar falling on beans
 - a הלכה this is the הלכה
 - b שמואל: only applies if the beans were hot at the time immediate פגם
 - i However: if the beans were cold when it fell (שבת) and then he heated them up השביח ולסוף פגם then אסור
 - ii Note: two reports in ציפורי (to prepare food that way) ציפורי (to prepare food that way)
 - c Limitation to גטל"פ.
 - i שיגם only if food is otherwise fine (salt, spices etc.) and people don't eat it solely due to the פוגם
 - ii Alternate 5"7: as long as food is currently inedible even if the missing salt etc. would make it edible
 - iii *ר' יוחנן*.
 - 1 If: there is substance of איסור and its taste (מכות within a פרט of bread) מכות and mand אסור within a מכות
 - 2 But if: there is only taste but no substance of the איסור then it's מכות but מכות but attach
 - (a) And if: he increased the טעם so that it fouls the taste מותר
 - (b) Note: choice of ריבה (נתן (נתן even if other things contribute to the fouling of the taste
 - (c) Ruling: follows second version of נטל"ם) as long as it is currently inedible)
 - (i) ר' כהנא. all three reports (2 of ר"ל, one of נטל"פ מותר) teach that נטל"פ מותר
 - (ii) Challenge (י"ל: אב") only explained the position, didn't necessarily adopt it
 - (iii) Question: is there a תנא who maintains נטל"פ?
 - (iv) Answer: ר"מ:
- II Dispute מ'ר"מ about נטל"פ
 - a נטל"פ אסור from גיעולי נכרים, which, immediately after finishing cooking, the taste that is in the walls of the pot (slightly) foul the taste, nonetheless, the הגעלה forbade use without
 - i Response: תורה only forbade כלים that had been used that day (קדירה בת יומא)
 - ii Defense (מ"מ): even then, some foul taste comes in
 - b נטל"פ מותר ה"ש from v. 1, where the תורה forbade eating a נבילה and it must be edible (to גר
 - i p'''7. that is just to exclude an animal that was inedible even before it died
 - ii מיש such food doesn't require a פסוק for exclusion it isn't food at all