26.1.5

6b~(אפילו כסדר יוה"כ אומר) $\rightarrow 8a~($ אפילו כסדר יוה"כ אומר)

- 1. **שובו בַּנִים שוֹבַבִים** אֶרְפָּה מְשׁוּבֹתִיכֶם הָנָנוּ אֶתַנוּ לְךְּ כִּי אֲתַה ה' אֱלֹהֶינוּ:ירמיהו ג:כב
 - .2. תִפְלָה לְעַנִי כִי יַעֲטֹף וְלְפָנֵי ה' יִשְׁפֹּךְ שִׁיחוֹ: תהלים קב:א
- ב. וַיַּצֵא יִצְחָק לָשׁוֹחַ בַּשָּׂדָה לְפְנוֹת עָרֶב וַיִּשָּׂא עֵינָיו וַיַּרְא וְהְנֵּה גְמַלִים בָּאִים: בראשית כד:סג
 - 4. אֵשְפַּךְ לְפָנֵיוֹ שִׁיחִי צָרַתִי לְפָנֵיוֹ אַגִּיד: תהלים קמב:ג
- אַריברים ג*יבר אַ* אָשֶׁר הַחָלוֹ<mark>ת לָהַרְאוֹת אָת עַבְדָּךְ אֶת גָּדְלָךְ וְאֶת יָדְךְ הַחָזָקָה</mark> אֲשֶׁר מִי אֵל בַּשָּׁמַיִם וּבָאָרֶץ אֲשֶׁר יַעֲשֶׂה כְמַעֲשֶׂיךְ וְכָגְבוּרֹתֶךְ: *דברים גיכר*

I Continued analysis of משנה א' :

- a Explanation for ban and justification for mention of 4 categories (commerce, lending, borrowing, collecting)
 - i Ban on borrowing goods, borrowing money or collecting from them
 - 1 (understood lending and paying back they gain goods)
 - 2 אביי: each is a protection against its inverse (lending, paying back)
 - 3 רבא: all of them are the same reason perhaps he'll go (to the temple) and give thanks (for the transaction)
 - ii Justification:
 - 1 If: we only learned about commerce, מד"א that's because he gains, but lending is just a pain for him
 - 2 And if: we only had "שאלה" he will easily get it back; but הלוואה, he may be anxious about collection
 - 3 And if: we only had "סד"א, "הלוואה" he can at least forcibly collect, but collection is just a loss קמ"ל
- b Analysis of dispute at end of ר' יהודה/חכמים משנה re: "delayed rejoicing"
 - i Challenge: שמחה accepts principle that "delayed" is considered שמחה
 - 1 Proof: ה"י allows for use of depilatory cream on חוה"מ, as long as it can be removed during דגל
 - (a) Answer ("הנב"י): all of הלכות מועד are based on "delayed pleasure" work then enjoyment
- c Observations about authorship of משנה
 - i Note: משנה does not conform with opinion of מייס, who permits collection of an oral debt during אידיהן
 - 1 Reasoning: "מציל מידם" it may not be collectible and if he can get it now, should take advantage
 - 2 Story: ריב"ק (in spite of יחיד against רבים) and like הונא יה in re: שנח אומן for אומן אומן הונא יהונא יהונא
 - (a) Note: ב"ע טיד) asked about need for 2nd פסק; since it's סתם (ב"מ ו:ב) following dispute (ב"מ טיד) הלכה כסתם (ב"ק טיד)
 - (b) Answer: מסכת only holds that the order is significant within one מסכת
 - (i) מטכת is one נזיקין is one מטכת
 - (ii) also: since ב"מ ו: is listed as הילכתא פסיקתא, even without rule of הלכה מחלוקת ואח"כ follows it
- d tangent: three other disputes ריב"ק/חכמים where we rule like ריב"ק
 - i חכמים הכנה may not ask someone if he can come after שבת (for work); דיב"ק רי יוחנן permits (הלכה כריב"ק ר'
 - ii שאלת חכם: may not ask for a "2nd opinion"; if two חכמים dispute, follow greater one; if equal, follow
 - (הלכה כריב"ק רב יוסף) if the issue is דרבנן, follow stringent opinion; ו דרבנן, follow lenient opinion דאורייתא
 - iii Status of repentant thieves re בשרות לעדות.
 - 1 ד"מ. never accept them
 - 2 הודה if they repented discreetly don't accept them; if publicly accept them
 - (a) $Alternate\ version$: if they acted discreetly accept them; if publicly, don't accept them
 - 3 ה"ש וריב"ק ר' יצחק איש כפר עכו בשם ר' יוחנן. in any case accept them, as per ${
 m v.}~1$ (הלכה כר"ש וריב"ק ר' יצחק איש כפר עכו בשם ר' יוחנן)
- II משנה ב' about the days after a festival
 - a ד' ישמעאל prohibition extends to 3 days after festival
 - i שמואל: according to ר"י, it is always prohibited to do business with Christians
 - b חכמים only before
 - i Challenge: חכמים seem to represent same position as ת"ק (in 'משנה א')
 - Possible distinctions: whether festival is included in 3 days; whether proceeds are prohibited, whether they accept שמואל ruling that in חו"ל, only festival day itself is אסור or whether to accept מחום המדי only 1 day before
 - (a) Tangent: נשתקע הדבר (that approach is "gone") נשתקע הדבר (that approach is "gone")
 - (i) Challenge: we have our משנה
 - (ii) Answer: our משנה is authored by the self-same נחום המדי
 - c Tangents: 2 other instances of נשתקע הדבר's approaches which were rejected as 'forgotten' approaches (נשתקע הדבר)
 - i Cases: selling animals to עכר"ם; which part of מעשר (a redolent herb) are liable for מעשר
 - ii But: one case where we accept his ruling: making requests in מרמים; contra יר"א ור"י, vv. 2-5)
 - iii 2 addenda from ברכת השנים he may add to end of each ברכה; specifically ברכת השנים and ברכת השנים
 - iv בסדר יוה"כ all of these addenda are brief; but he may add as much as he wants at the end of תפילה, even כסדר יוה"כ.