## 26.1.12

14b (משנה ו')  $\rightarrow 16a$  (משנה ו') בר תורא משהינן ליה ועביד על חד

ון **ולא תביא תועבה אַל בֵּיתַד** וָהַיִית חֶרֶם כַּמֹהוּ שַׁקֵץ תִּשַׁקְצֵנוּ וְתַעב תִּתַעֲבֵנוּ כִּי חֶרֶם הוּא: *דברים ז:כו* 

- I משנה ו' selling animals to pagans
  - a מנהג (flock): depends on locale; מנהג to sell or not to sell are both valid and binding
    - i Challenge: we have a ruling that we do not stand our animals near an inn of עכר"ם
      - 1 Reason: they are suspected of bestiality (and we enable it לפני עוור)
        - (a) Resolution1 (מנהג is based on which locales they engage in bestiality
        - (b) Resolution (מ"א): in any case, they won't commit this act with their own animals they are afraid of spaying them; even where they do engage in it, if the מנהג is to sell, that is a valid מנהג
  - b בהמה גסה: prohibited in all cases
    - i חכמים. whether calves or foals, broken or fully able
    - ii Dissent (ר' יהודה): if they are disabled, permissible
      - 1 Reason: it can't heal; they'll destroy it right away
      - 2 *Challenge*: they use such animals for breeding (→ the "broken" female will accept a male)
        - (a) Response: disagrees it won't accept a male and therefore they destroy it right away
    - iii *Alternate exception (בן בתירה*): permits selling a horse to them
      - 1 Reason: it isn't used for מלאכה for which we are liable on שבת (see below)
      - 2 Dissent (27): it is banned for 2 reasons; weapon of war (see below) and it is used for work (mill)
        - (a) Ruling (ר' ייחנן): accords with בן בתירה
- II Analysis of the prohibition against selling בהמה גסה.
  - a Reason: they will work them on שבת
    - i Challenge: why does this matter; once they buy it, the animal is their property
    - ii Answer: it is a גזירה against renting out/lending
      - 1 *Challenge*: even when renting, the renter becomes owner
        - (a) Answer ( איימר :(ר' יימר): גזירה against a case where he sells it just before שבת and, to demonstrate the animal's obeisance, calls him and he comes (and it's already שבת) and the ישראל violates מחמר
      - 2 *Challenge*: a renter is *not* an owner, as per ruling later that even in those places where we are allowed to rent to pagans (e.g. סוריא), we may not rent residences, as they will bring ע"ז in
        - (a) And: if the renter owns, this is not our concern
        - (b) Answer: per v. 1, ע"ז is more grave than other איסורים and extends to renting
      - 3 Challenge (ר' יצחק בריה דר' משרשיא): a renter is not an owner, per ruling about a ישראל who rents from a ישראל may not feed הרומה to animals (and the inverse) (accepted)
  - b Conclusion: all three reasons apply שכירות (once we've established שכירות לא קונה), borrowing and "demonstrations"
  - c Exceptions to the ban:
    - i אדא. permitted selling through a middle-man
      - 1 "demonstrating": doesn't apply, as the animal won't respond to his voice
      - 2 Lending/renting: doesn't apply, as he doesn't have the right to do so
        - (a) In addition: he may hurt the sale, if the borrower/renter discovers a מום
    - ii ד' הונא. sold a cow to a pagan
      - 1 Challenge (ר' חסדא): how could he do so?
      - 2 *Answer*: it is reasonably possible that the pagan just wanted to slaughter it
        - (a) support: שמיטה (contra ש"ש) allow a sale of a plow-cow during שמיטה
          - (i) Challenge (רבה); disanalogous there is no ban against having your animal work during שמיטה
        - (b) Clarification (אב"): just because he is commanded not to let it work, is it banned
          - (i) support: מ"מ (contra ב"מ) allow sale of plowed field on שמיטה, as the buyer may leave it fallow
        - (c) Further (מצווה): even if he isn't מצווה, he may not be allowed to provide as per כלים בשביעית
        - (d) Resolution (ר' אשי): anywhere that we have a plausible permitted use (e.g. a field to lie fallow), even if מותר מצווה בשביתתו; if there is no plausible alternate use (e.g. a plow) אסור, even if not מצווה בשביתתו

- iii שראל sold an animal to a ישראל who was suspected of selling to pagans
  - 1 Challenge (מותים): this is prohibited based on his explanation of the ban to sell weapons (etc.) to כותים, even though, as evidenced by the ruling that permits ייחוד with a כותי they aren't personally suspected of wanton murder, they must be suspected of selling to עכו"ם
    - (a) Possible answer: the כותי won't reform; but the תשובה may do תשובה
    - (b) Rejection: ישראל ruled that just as we may not sell to עכר"ם, we may not sell to ישראל who's thus suspect
  - דבה. ran after the buyer a great distance (to nullify sale) but failed to reach him

## III Further on ban to sell them weapons

- a Extension of ban (ר' דימי בר אבא)
  - i *Just as*: we may not sell weapons to עכר"ם, similarly we may not sell to ישראל thieves
    - 1 Question: are they violent or not?
    - 2 If: they are violent why would they be any different (obvious)
    - 3 *If not*: why not sell to them
      - (a) Answer: case where they would only use violence as a last resort to escape capture
- contradictory ברייתות: whether we may sell shields
  - i question: if it is just because they protect themselves, we should even ban selling them food
    - 1 *answer* (27): if we could, we would ban that as well
    - 2 Alternate answer: disagree about what the warriors do when they run out of ammunition
      - (a) Prohibiting opinion: they use their shields (as edges akin to swords)
      - (b) Permitting opinion: they flee הלכה
- c Metals (ר' אדא בר אהבה): we may not sell them thick slabs of metal, as they use it to forge weapons
  - i Challenge: then we can't sell them farm tools either
  - ii Answer: the referent is special Indian metal (used for weapons)
  - iii Note (אי אשי): in our day, we sell it to the Parthians, as they protect us
- IV Question: is a fatted cow permitted?
  - a Note: question may be asked according to ר' יהודה and to רבנן
    - i הודה possibly only permits a "broken" animal, as it will never be fit for work
      - 1 But: a fatted cow could be "slimmed down" for work  $\rightarrow$  אסור
    - ii משבורה possibly prohibit דבנן. ray heal,
      - 1 But: a fatted cow is certainly slated for מותר  $\rightarrow$
  - Resolution (שמואל): בית רבי used to bring a fatted cow to the Romans on their festival,
    - i Then: they would bribe them to bring it the next day, then to offer it already שחוט, then not to bring it at all
      - l Inference: it is prohibited, as they may hold on to it, slim it down and use for מלאכה
        - (a) Challenge: why then pay them to delay?
        - (b) *Answer*: בית רבי wanted to avoid participation at all and thought that by lowering involvement in stages they would be more receptive (to the bribes)
  - c Challenge: do they really keep it, slim it down and use for work
    - i Answer (from a "cow fattener"): indeed they do, and the animal can work twice as well as another