26.5.1 62a (משנה א) → 63a (תיקו)

> 1. וְהָיְתָה שַׁבַּת הָאֶרָץ לָכֶם **לָאָרָלָה** לְדְּ וּלְעַבְדְּדְּ וְלַאֲמָתֶדְ וְלִשְׂכִירְדְּ וּלְתוּשְׁבְדְּ הַגָּרִים עַמְּדְ:י*יקרא כה:ו* 2. לא תָבִיא אֶתְנוּן זוֹנָה וּמְחִיר כֶּלֶב בֵּית ה' אֱלֹהֶיךְ לְכָל נֶדֶר כִּי תוֹעֲבַת ה' אֱלֹהֶיךְ גַּם שְׁנֵיהֶם: *דברים כגייט* 3. וְאִישׁ כִּי יַקְדְּשׁ אֶת **בֵּיתוֹ** לָדֶשׁ לַה' וְהֶעֲרִיכוֹ הַכֹּהֵן בֵּין טוֹב וּבֵין רֶע כַּאֲשֶׁר יַעֲרִידְ אֹתוֹ הַכֹּהֵן כֵּן יָקוּם: ייקרא כז, יזי

- I משנה א': status of wages earned by working with י"נ
 - a If: he is hired by עכו"ם to work with אסור wages are אסור
 - b But if: he is hired for something else and then asked to move a barrel of שכרו מותר יי"ג
 - c If: the עכו"ם hires his donkey to move barrels of יי"ג rental fee is אסור
 - d But if: he hires the donkey to ride and then puts his flask on it מותר
- II Analysis: why שכר יי"ג is prohibited
 - a Suggestion: since אסור בהנאה is אסור
 - Rejection: ערלה וכלאי הכרם are also אסור בהנאה, yet if you sell them, the money is yours (קידש בו
 - b Rather: since the money transfers (a la מע"ש)
 - i Rejection: as we saw above, דמי שביעית are also transferred; yet if someone pays another to harvest during מותר the wages are מותר
 - 1 Detail: if hired to get X worth of fruit money is אסור, but paid to harvest שביעית); but paid to harvest שכר שביעית)
 - c Answer (קנס :(ד' יוחנן) against those who work with יי"ג and donkey drivers
 - i Donkey drivers: ברייתא donkey drivers who work with פירות שביעית their salary "is" עביעי,
 - 1 Suggested meaning: they are paid with פירות שביעית
 - (a) Rejection: v. 1 stipualtes that פירות שביעית can only be used for eating, not paying debts
 - 2 Rather: that their wages take on קדושת שביעית (i.e. אסור)
 - (a) Rejection: as above payment for work on מותר is מותר is מותר
 - 3 אביי original meaning paid with פירות שביעית and its done via a היתר-loophole
 - (a) Model: paying someone to take ירושלים ot מע"ש by giving his share as a gift, not a portion
 - 4 קדושת שביעית have קדושת שביעית no challenge from above
 - (a) A worker: who doesn't earn much they allow him to keep שכר
 - (b) Donkey driver: who makes a lot they fined
- III Question: does same rule apply to working with יסתם "?
 - a Lemma1: since the איסור is as stringent as יי"נ same rule OR
 - b Lemma2: since טומאה is less severe (as above) wages are מותר
 - c Answer: ר' חסדא ruled that someone who worked with סתם מום and was paid with wheat should burn and bury it
 - i Question: why not tell him to disperse it?
 - 1 Answer: someone may inadvertently use it
 - Why not: tell him to burn it and disperse it?
 - 1 Answer: someone may use it for זבל
 - iii *Why not*: tell him to bury (without burning)
 - 1 Support: we bury all implements of מיתת ב"ד (which are אסור בהנאה) near ב"ד)
 - 2 *Answer*: in that case, everyone knows it's the vicinity of "z"; here, they may think that someone had to rid himself of his wheat and buried it here and will use it
- IV Practice of בי ר' ינאי (after he died) to borrow פירות שביעית from the poor and pay them back the next year
 - a מותר : this is מותר and the parallel case of an מותר (v.2) is also מותר
 - b ברייתא: if he gave her the אתנן and didn't have ביאה or had ביאה and didn't give her the מותר אתנן
 - i Challenge: in first case of course מותר he didn't have ביאה
 - 1 And: in 2nd case there's nothing to prohibit (never gave her אתנו)

- ii Rewrite: if he gave it to her and later had ביאה, or had ביאה and later gave the מותר אתנן
 - 1 1st case: when he has אתנן, should become אתנן as אחור retroactively
 - (a) \aleph''^7 : if she offered it in the meantime
 - (b) Question: how was the אתנן given?
 - (i) If: he gave it to her unconditionally, it's obviously מותר when he had ניאה, it was gone
 - (ii) And if: he gave it to her as of time of ביאה it wasn't hers to bring (v.3)
 - (c) Answer: must be case where he gave it to her for later, stipulating that if she needs it now, she may use it
- iii Question (ר' הושעיא): if she was מקדיש it in the meantime (but didn't yet offer it) מותר?
 - 1 Lemma1: since dedication to the מקדש is parallel to מסירה in mundane dealings מותר
 - 2 Lemma2: since it's still physically present אסור
 - 3 Answer: from מקדיש was also מותר, he should've indicated that (bigger חידוש)
 - 4 Rejection: ר"א was asking about ר' ruling itself
 - (a) Lemma1: did הקדשה it's still around at the time of ביאה it's still around at the time of ביאה