Introduction to פרק שפיני – "פרק התערובות"

Until here, the מסכת has focused on the proper procedure for each of the קרבנות and those actions and intents that may invalidate. This איסור והיתר as seen from its cognomen, deals with the results of חערובות mixture of valid and invalid קרבנות, mixture of mixture of mixture of procedure for each of the מסכת as seen from its cognomen, deals with the results of חערובות of other איסור והיתר of other איסור והיתר 1888

70b (משנה א') → 73a (ולא בטלי)

- I משנה א' with animals that are slated to die
 - a if: קדשים got mixed with שור הנסקל or שור הנסקל all must die even if there are many קדשים and one "problem"
 - b but if: קדשים got mixed in with מום, we have each graze until it develops a מום, is redeemed
 - i then: the owner brings קרבן from the same type, as of the value of the nicest (most expensive) one in the lot
 - ii the list:
 - used for עבירה (may be a topic statement on list or refer specifically to bestiality)
 - 2 killed a person but there's only one witness (or the owner admitted to it);
 - 3 רובע ונרבע used for bestiality
 - 4 מוקצה (set aside for מנעבד (עבודה זרה (was worshipped)
 - 5 מחיר (כלב) or מחיר (כלב)
 - 6 טריפה (had a mortal wound) כלאים (a "hybrid" animal) and יוצא דופן (was born via "C" section)
 - c and if: the קרשים got mixed with מום (without מום), sell them to people who need that type for קרבן
- II משנה ב' mixture of קדשים with other
 - a If: קדשים got mixed with other קדשים
 - If: they are both the same type of עולה (e.g. עולה but of different owners) each should be brought for one donor
 - i But if: they are of different types (i.e. same animal, different קרבנות)
 - 1 Then: they must be allowed to graze and each one is redeemed when it becomes permanently blemished
 - 2 Then: owner brings each of these קרבנות @ value of the greater of the two –the differential made up by him
 - 3 If: they get mixed in with בכור or מעשר, once redeemed, must be eaten as מעשר (מעשר auya)
 - (a) Meaning: can't be sold, weighed etc. per בכורות ה:א
 - iii Note: any חטאות could theoretically get mixed except for אשם and אשם
 - Reason: אשם is only a ram; the only sheep used for חטאת are ewes

III Analysis:

- a Challenge (to 'משנה א'): already taught (תמורה ו:א) anything that is אסור למזבח prohibits (a mixture) בכל שהוא
- Answer: that משנה only teaches that the mixture is אסור למזבח not for personal use (for that, we'd assume ביטול ברוב
 - i And: if we only had our משנה, we'd limit it to איסורי הנאה (i.e. חטאות מתות and שור הנסקל)
 - ii Challenge: our משנה also teaches אתנן (e.g. אתנן)
 - 1 Answer: but in that part of the משנה is given כל שהוא is only stated in תמורה ו:א
 - 2 Challenge: why not learn תמורה only no need for (that part of) our משנה
 - (a) Answer: our משנה needed for the solution (let it graze etc.)
 - iii Challenge: the תערובות of תערובות affecting mundane use is also taught elsewhere (ע"ז ה:ט)
 - 1 *Justification*: if we only had משנה ע"ז, we would have assumed that איסור בכ"ש only applies to mundane
 - (a) But: for קדשים, we don't want to lose them all allow ביטול ברוב
 - 2 And: if we only had our משנה, we'd have assumed that איסור כ"ש applies only to קדשים due to sanctity
 - (a) But: that doesn't apply to חולין and they should be בטל ברוב
 - (b) Question: why, indeed, aren't the animals that are אסור בהנאה why aren't they בטל ברוב?
 - (i) If: suggest that it is because they are significant and sometimes sold by count
 - 1. Then: that is only valid argument for ר"ל, who says that ה"ל's ruling (ערלה ג:ו) is anything that is sometimes sold by count (כלאי הכרם ערלה (re: בטל (re: בטל (re: כלאי הכרם))
 - 2. But: according to את שדרכו לימנות, who reads that that ruling is limited to את (always sold by count) doesn't answer בהמה, which is sometimes sold as a group (flock)
 - (c) Answer (פ"ב): our תנא is the ליטרא קציעות", who rules that anything that is sometimes sold by count even if an איסור דרבנן is never בטל certainly if it is an איסור דאורייתא
 - (i) Case: if he pressed a איטרא of חרומה dried figs in a press or hive or barrel and forgot which press etc.
 - 1. ר' יהושע; holds that ביטול allows ביטול within press; ר' יהושע demands 100 times as many presses
 - 2. ד' יהוטע holds that ה"ל demands 100 times as many presses; ר' יהוטע doesn't allow for ביטול at all
 - (d) Answer (ה' אשי): can't compare בהמות etc. בהמות are independently significant and not בטל