28.12.2

99b (ואינו חולק לאכול) -> 101a (ואינו חולק לאכול)

- 1. וְלַצְּחֹתוֹ הַבְּתוּלָה הַקְּרוֹבָה אֵלָיו אֲשֶׁר לֹא הָזְתָה לְאִישׁ **לָה יִטְמָא**:ייקרא כא,ג 2. **לְאָבִיו וּלְאָמוֹ לְאָחִיו וּלְאַחִתוֹ** לֹא יִשְּמָּא לָהֶם בְּמֹתֶם כִּי נֵזֶר אֱלֹהָיו עַל רֹאשׁוֹ:ב*מדבר וּ,ו* 3. כָּל יְמֵי הַזִּירוֹ לָה' עַל **נָפֶשׁ** מֵת לֹא יָבא:ב*מדבר וּ,ו* 4. וְהָפַלְתִּי חַנֵּיכָם לְאָבֶל וְלָל שִׁירֵיכֶם לְקִינָה וְהַעֻּלִיתִי עַל כָּל מְתְנַיִם שָׁק וְעַל כָּל רֹאשׁ קַרְחָה וְשַׂמְתִּיהָ כְּאַבֶּל יָחִיד **וְאָחָרִיתָה כִּיוֹם מְר**ּ:עמוּס חּ,י 5. וַיְדְבֵּר אַהְלוְ אֶל מֹשֶׁה הָּן הִיּוֹם הְקָרִיבוּ אֶת חַשָּאתָם וְאֶת עלָתָם לְפְנֵי ה' וַתִּקְרָאנָה אֹתִי כָּאֵלֶה וְאָכַלְתִּי חַשָּאת הִיּוֹם הַיִּיטֵב בְּעֵינֵי ה':ייקראי,יט
- I Continued discussion of status of אונן vis-à-vis קדשים vis-à-vis
 - a Implication (of ruling that he may not take part in division): he may eat קדשים that night if offered
 - b Challenge: ruling that an מקוה may go to the מקוה (as above) and eat his ססח (only!) that night, not other קדשים
 - i Answer1 (י ירמיה מדיפתי): in our case, it refers to nop night; since he may eat קדשים, he's allowed to eat all
 - 1 Read: כל הקדשים refers to other nights
 - ii Answer 2 (ד' אסי): in our case, the death and burial weren't on the same day
 - 1 Therefore: מדיבנן of that day is מה"ת a, it doesn't extend to night; if on same day, מה"ת a אנינות, extends (מ"מ)
 - 2 observation: authority who holds that מד"ס is מד"ס ai מר"ל is מר"ס, per explicit statement in ברייתא (contra) (ר' יהודה
 - (a) proof: an אוגן can eat פסח that night
 - (b) challenge: אונן states that an אונן cannot send a קרבן to be offered
 - (i) assumption: this includes פסח
 - (ii) rejection: besides פסח
 - (c) challenge: שלמים" interprets "שלמים" to mean that the donor must be אונן not an אונן
 - (i) then: he extends it to חטאת ואשם then חטאת ואשם, then all gifts by analogy/language
 - (ii) point: he includes פסח in his (exhaustive) list of excluded קרבנות
 - 1. defense1: בכור ומעשר was added "by habit" with בכור ומעשר and doesn't really belong there
 - 2. defense2: פסח means חגיגה) שלמי מחד that accompanies קרבן
 - a. challenge: already listed שלמים
 - b. justification: סמ" that since it accompanies שני would be like פמ" and be brought קמ"ל
 - 3. defense3 (יים קבורה isn't brought if the relative died on יום קבורה, if it was only יום קבורה brought
 - 4. challenge (י" אנינות לילה מד"ס fails if he only "proves" אנינות לילה מד"ס fails if he only "proves" אנינות לילה
 - iii answer3 (אביי): prohibited if relative died before midday; permitted if s/he died after חצות
 - l reason: before חיוב ק"פ defined as an חיוב קרבן פסח sets in; afterwards חיוב ק"פ defines" him
 - (a) support (for distinction between before/after חצות): resolution of contradiction between ruling that one must engage in burial, even if it means becoming ממא and being unfit for קרבן פסח (v. 1 and story w) against interpretation of v. 2 that only for מת מצוה do we "endanger" by engaging in burial
 - (i) proposed resolution: if he died before/after חצות
 - (ii) challenge: perhaps both are after חצות and it is ר"ע/ר' ישמעאל
 - 1. לה יטמא ד"ע is an obligation
 - 2. רשות/is a permission לה יטמא ד"י.
 - (iii) block: מת of נויר is authored by ר"ע (signaled at beginning he interprets מת and then proceeds to justify mention of each relative in spite of ק"ו from father
 - iv answer4 (ירבא): both are after חצות, but if the relative died before שחיטה, he doesn't eat; if after he eats
 - l question (ז' אדא בר מתנה): once there's been זרה"ד, who cares what happens he's already fulfilled,
 - (a) interjection (ארא who is a student of רבה בר ר' הונא we know from רבה בר ר' הונא that eating the יוצא he isn't "done" and without eating afterwards isn't אינע (comment of יוצא listen to יוצא) יוצא ') ווצא אינענב
 - (b) background (רבה בר ר' הונא):
 - (i) יום שמועה :*ברייתא* (i.e. hearing about a death in family within 30 days of the event) is akin to יום קבורה for 7/30 must keep שבעה/שלשים from that day;
 - 1. but: for eating מחם, we treat it like יום ליקוט עצמות (re-interment only practiced that day);
 - (ii) and: for both of them, he goes to the מקוה and then eats נסח that night
 - 1. observation: there is a tacit contradiction here;
 - a. אים שמועה implies that for יום שמועה, one may not eat פסח that night (else why classify יום שמועה differently to allow him to eat פסח?)
 - b. "for both of them" we assume means both יום שמועה and יום קבורה he may eat

- 2. solution1 (ר' חסדא): it is a dispute between תנאים
 - a. אנינות ברייתא lasts the entire day; רבי until he is buried
 - b. *analysis*: cannot be the day of death all agree that that day extends, מד"ס into night; in addition, רבי couldn't permit it immediately after burial per v. 4
 - c. יום קבורה must be יום קבורה
 - i. challenge (יום קבורה): by implication of יום שמועה being allowed to eat that night, יום קבורה isn't permitted but which authority is this?
 - d. rather: means חכמים prohibit entire day and night of רבי, יום המיתה allows that night if he was buried that day
 - i. רב יוסף astonished at רב יוסף's answer all know that רבי is more stringent in this regard, as we see from his ruling that אנינות could last days, חכמים only allow for one day
 - e. *rather*: חכמים enforce רבי extends until he is buried and then that day and night
 - i. students to רבי implies that לילה is included (if מה"ת (יום מיתה is included (if לילה
 - ii. challenge: רבי explicitly (in disagreeing with רבי הודה) from v. 5 night is מד"ס
 - iii. answer (רבי holds that חכמים is סד"ס but חכמים made this ruling stronger than a אנינות דעווng
 - f. : solution2 (אבה בר ד' הונא): if he heard or buried before sunset eats מסח that night

 - ii. challenge: after sunset he's already brought פסח, no need for anything else
 - iii. rather: this proves that eating מעכב is indispensible (מעכב)
 - g. solution3 (ד' אשי): "both of them" refers to יום ליקוט עצמות and יום ליקוט עצמות
 - i. rejection: if so, no need to state אחד זה ואחד וה could've stated זה וזה → errant report