28.12.2

99b (ואינו חולק לאכול) → 101a (ואינו חולק לאכול)

- ּרִ וְלַאֲחתוֹ הַבְּתוּלָה הַקְּרוֹבָה אֵלִיו אֲשֶׁר לֹא הָיְתָה לְאִישׁ **לָה יִטַּמָּא**:ייקרא כא, ג
- במדבר ו, לאָבִיו וּלְאָמִי לְאָחִיו וּלְאַחתוֹ לֹא יִטַמָּא לָהֶם בְּמֹתָם כִּי גַזֶר אֱלֹהָיו עַל רֹאשׁו: במדבר ו, ז
 - 3. כָּל יְמֵי הַזִּירוֹ לַה' עַל **נָפָש** מֵת לֹא יָבֹא: במדבר וֹ, ו
- 4. וְהַפַּבְתִּי חַגִּיכֶם לְאֵבֶל וֹֹרֶל שִׁירֵיכֶם לְקִינָה וְהַעֵּלִיתִי על כָּל מָתְנִיִם שֶׁק וְעַל כָּל רֹאשׁ קַרְחָה וְשַׂמְתִּיהָ כְּאֵבֶל יָחִיד **וְאַחָרִיתָה כְּיוֹם מֶר**ּי*עמוס ח,י* 5. וַיְדַבֵּר אַהָרוֹ אֶל מֹשֶׁה **הָן הִיּוֹם** הָקָרִיבוּ אֶת חַשָּאתָם וְאֶת עלְתָם לְפְנֵי ה׳ וַתִּקְרָאנָה אֹתִי כָּאֵלָה וְאָכַלְתִּי חַשָּאת הַיִּוֹם הַיִּיטֵב בְּעֵינֵי ה׳:ייִקרא י,יש
- I Continued discussion of status of אונן vis-à-vis קדשים
 - a Implication (of ruling that he may not take part in division): he may eat קדשים that night if offered
 - b Challenge: ruling that an מקוה may go to the מקוה (as above) and eat his מסח (only!) that night, not other קדשים
 - i Answer1(פסח, פסד, וכי ירמיה מדיפתי): in our case, it refers to מסח night; since he may eat פסח, he's allowed to eat all
 - 1 Read: כל הקדשים refers to other nights
 - ii *Answer 2 (ד' אסי)*: in our case, the death and burial weren't on the same day
 - 1 Therefore: מה"ת si that day is מה"ת, it doesn't extend to night; if on same day, מה"ת, extends (מה"מ), extends מה"ת
 - 2 observation: authority who holds that אנינות לילה is מד"ס is מר"ס, per explicit statement in ברייתא (contra ברייתא)
 - (a) proof: an אונן can eat פסח that night
 - (b) challenge: אונן states that an אונן cannot send a קרבן to be offered
 - (i) assumption: this includes פסח
 - (ii) rejection: besides פסח
 - (c) challenge: שלמים" interprets "שלמים" to mean that the donor must be שלם not an אונן not an אונן
 - (i) then: he extends it to חטאת ואשם then תודה, עולה, בכור מעשר ופסח, then all gifts by analogy/language
 - (ii) point: he includes מרבנות in his (exhaustive) list of excluded קרבנות
 - 1. defense1: בכור ומעשר was added "by habit" with בכור ומעשר and doesn't really belong there
 - 2. defense2: פסח means חגיגה) שלמי פסח that accompanies
 - a. challenge: already listed שלמים
 - b. justification: סד"א that since it accompanies פסח it would be like קמ"ל and be brought בס"ל
 - 3. defense3 (יים קבורה isn't brought if the relative died on "ח, if it was only, יום קבורה brought
 - 4. challenge ('ד"ש: 'ר"ש'') fails if he only "proves" אנינות לילה מד"ס from אנינות לילה מד"ס fails if he only
 - iii answer3 (אביי): prohibited if relative died before midday; permitted if s/he died after חצות
 - 1 reason: before חיוב ק"פ defined as an חיוב קרבן פסח sets in; afterwards חיוב ק"פ defines" him
 - (a) support (for distinction between before/after חצות): resolution of contradiction between ruling that one must engage in burial, even if it means becoming ממא and being unfit for יוסף הכהן (v. 1 and story w) against interpretation of v. 2 that only for מת מצוה do we "endanger" שיף by engaging in burial
 - (i) proposed resolution: if he died before/after חצות
 - (ii) challenge: perhaps both are after חצות and it is ר"ע/ר' ישמעאל
 - 1. לה יטמא ד"ע is an obligation
 - 2. רשות/is a permission לה יטמא ד"י.
 - (iii) block: מיר ס ברייתא is authored by ר"ע (signaled at beginning he interprets מת and then proceeds to justify mention of each relative in spite of ק"ו from father
 - iv answer4 (אחיטה וורה"ד): both are after חצות, but if the relative died before , שחיטה וורה", he doesn't eat; if after he eats
 - question (אדא בר מתנה): once there's been ז'הה"ד, who cares what happens he's already fulfilled ק"פ
 - (a) interjection (ר' אדא who is a student of רבה בר ר' הונא we know from רבה בר ר' הונא that eating the מעכב is א שלים ווצא he isn't "done" and without eating afterwards isn't יוצא '(comment of מעכב) ווצא '- listen to יוצא'.
 - (b) background (רבה בר ר' הונא):
 - (i) יום שמועה ברייתא (i.e. hearing about a death in family within 30 days of the event) is akin to יום קבורה for 7/30 must keep שבעה/שלשים from that day;
 - 1. but: for eating מסח, we treat it like יום ליקוט עצמות (re-interment only practiced that day);
 - (ii) and: for both of them, he goes to the מקוה and then eats מסח that night
 - 1. observation: there is a tacit contradiction here;
 - a. יום שמועה implies that for יום קבורה, one may not eat פסח that night (else why classify יום שמועה differently to allow him to eat פסח?)
 - b. שיפא "for both of them" we assume means both יום שמועה and יום קבורה he may eat

- 2. solution1 (ר' חסדא): it is a dispute between תנאים
 - a. אנינות :ברייתא lasts the entire day; רבי until he is buried
 - b. *analysis*: cannot be the day of death all agree that that day extends, מד"ס into night; in addition, כבי couldn't permit it immediately after burial per v. 4
 - c. יום קבורה must be יום קבורה
 - i. challenge (זב יום קבורה): by implication of יום שמועה being allowed to eat that night, יום קבורה isn't permitted but which authority is this?
 - d. rather: means חכמים prohibit entire day and night of רבי ,יום המיתה allows that night if he was buried that day
 - i. רב יוסף astonished at רב יוסף's answer all know that רבי is more stringent in this regard, as we see from his ruling that אנינות could last days, חכמים only allow for one day
 - e. *rather*: חכמים enforce אנינות the whole day, רבי extends until he is buried and then that day and night
 - i. students to אבא. implies that רבי holds that לילה is included (if מה"ת (יום מיתה
 - ii. challenge: רבי explicitly (in disagreeing with רבי יהודה) from v. 5 night is מד"ס
 - iii. answer (רבי): רבי holds that מד"ס is סמד"ס but חכמים made this ruling stronger than a אנינות ruling
 - f. : solution2 (רבה בר ד' הונא): if he heard or buried before sunset eats מחל that night
 - i. but: if he heard or buried after sunset, doesn't eat (same day → אונן מה"ת
 - ii. challenge: after sunset he's already brought ססח, no need for anything else
 - iii. rather: this proves that eating מעכב is indispensible (מעכב)
 - g. solution3 (ר' אשי): "both of them" refers to יום ליקוט עצמות and יום ליקוט עצמות
 - i. rejection: if so, no need to state אחד זה ואחד וה, could've stated זה וזה → errant report