29.4.8

45b (משנה ג) → 46b (לא קדשו הלחם)

- ז. וְהַקְרַבְתֶּם עַל הַלֶּחֶם שַׁבְּעַת בְּבָשִׁים תָּמִימִם בְּנֵי שָׁנָה וּפַּר בֶּן בָּקָר אֶחָד וְאֵילִם שְׁנִים יֹהָיּ עַלָה לַה׳ וּמְנְחָם וְנְסְבֵּיהֶם אִשָּׁה רֵיחַ נִיחֹ לַה׳: *ויקרא כג, יח*
 - ב. **וְשָׁב הַפֹּהֶן** בַּיִּוֹם הַשְּׁבִיעִי ... **וּבָא הַפֹּחַן** וְרָאָה וְהַנָּה בְּשָׂה הַנָּגַע בַּבְּיִת מְמְאֶרֶת הַוֹא בַּבִּיִת טָמֵא הוּא: *ייקרא יד, לט, מד*
 - ב. וְהֵנִיף הַכֹּהֶן אֹתָם עַל לֵחֶם הַבְּכּוּרִים תִּנוּפָה לְפְנֵי ה' עַל שְׁנֵי כְּבָשִׁים קֹדֶשׁ יְ**הִיוּ** לה' לַכֹּהֶן: ייקרא כג, כ
 - 4. וְאֶת הָאַיִל יַעֲשֶׂה זֶבַח שְׁלָמִים לַה' עַל סַל הַמַּצוֹת וְעָשָׂה הַכֹּהֵן אֶת מִנְחָתוֹ וְאֶת נִסְכּוֹ: *במדבר ו, יז*
- ו משנה ג': interdependence of קרבנות of שבועות and the שתי הלחם
 - a Independent: the 7 lambs, 2 rams and bull (v. 1) are independent of the לחם and vice-versa
 - b Disputed dependence: the two lambs (v. 3) and the שתי הלחם
 - i y'''7. bread needed for lambs, lambs not needed for bread
 - ii שמעון בן ננס. lambs needed for bread; but they may be brought independently
 - 1 Argument: בנ"י brought lambs in desert without bread
 - iii בן ננס follows בן ננס for a different reason:
 - l refutation of צ'בן ננס reason: anything mentioned in במדבר כח-כט was brought in desert, not ויקרא כג
 - 2 *nonetheless*: the bread requires the lambs to מתיר them; the lambs need no מתיר
- II ברייתא: analyzing v. 1
 - a על הלחם איי indicates that until they became obligated to bring שתי הלחם these offerings weren't brought
 - b שר"א מד"א מד"א aren't the same lambs as those in אילים but the numbers of אילים and אילים aren't the same (1/2 vs. 2/1)
 - i Suggerstion: perhaps the lambs (7 in both) are the same
 - ii Rejection: since the bulls and rams are different, the lambs must be a different set
 - 1 Note: we don't consider that the bulls/rams are a choice (1/2 or 2/1) since the sequence changes ייקרא/במדבר
 - iii Therefore: only those in במדבר were brought before entering Land
- III Analysis of disptue ר"ע/בן ננס
 - מ ור"ע: infers from תהיינה (v. 3) and שתי הלחם: (re: שתי הלחם) both
 - b ב"נ: infers from יהיו and יהיו (v. 1) both כבשים
 - i Clarification: יהיו and יהיו is a preferable inference; 'tho we accept ובא ושב (v. 2); only when nothing is closer
 - ii עולות prefers inferring that which goes to כבשי שלמים) from another (שתי הלחם); v. 1 are עולות
 - iii Alternatively: they disagree about what is "holy to the "כהן in v. 3; the lambs or the bread
 - 1 בן ננס the lambs are partially given to ה' and part to the בן ננס; unlike the bread;
 - 2 ד"י. all bread given to 'ה and then given to כהן
 - c שחיטה agree that if the lambs and bread were brought together (at שחיטה)- both needed
 - i א"י, they asked if תנופה (raising bread and lambs) "ties" them together
 - 1 Suggestion: infer from שחיטה that it doesn't only שחיטה does, as that is what he mentioned
 - 2 Defense: that is what they are asking whether we can extend י"ז's ruling back to תיקו תנופה
 - ii Challenge: v. 3 is after בן ננס and בן ננס disagree about implementation
 - 1 Answer: it's also after שחיטה; yet the verse must read "that which will eventually go to מרהן" and foreshadows
 - iii Challenge: תוספתא מנחות היכו-כז re the relationship between תודה re the relationship between תודה re the relationship between תודה and its loaves; after שחיטה, the loaves may be invalid without invalidating the
 - 1 Answer: תודה is different; it's considered שלמים; just as שלמים don't require loaves, so תודה can be valid as such
 - iv Analysis (זיקה if the לחם is lost (afterwards), the lambs are "lost" and vice-versa
 - But: if we don't accept that notion, if he did תנופה, lost the לחם and brought a replacement, does the replacement bread require תנופה
 - (a) Note: question only asked about bread, and only according to עיקר that sees the עיקר as עיקר
 - (i) Lemma1: since the bread is the עיקר, requires תנופה OR
 - (ii) Lemma2: since its מתירין are the lambs, no need תיקו
- IV Question (אביי לרבא): why are כבשי שלמים tied to bread, but not עולות in v. 1?
 - a Answer1: since they are raised together (תנופה)
 - Challenge: תודה isn't raised with the 40 loaves, yet they are interdependent
 - b Answer2: like שלמי שלמים, which is a שלמים also the שלמי עצרת are tied to loaves
 - i Challenge: in the case of תודה, there are no other קרבנות
 - c Answer3: like איל מיר, where there are other offerings, yet מצות are tied to שלמים, per v. 4