29.6.7 71a (משנה ח) 72b (סיום הפרק)

1. דַבֵּר אֶל בְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וְאָמַרְתָּ אֲלָהָם כִּי תָבֹאוּ אֶל הָאָרָץ אֲשֶׁר אֲנִי נֹתֵן לֶכֶם **וּקְצֵרְתֶּם אֶת קְצִירָהּ וַהְבֵאתָם אֶת עמֶר רֵאשׁית קְצִירְכֶם אֶל הַפֿהַן**:ייקרא כג, יז 2. וְדְבַּר משֶׁה אֶת **מַצְדִי ה'** אֶל בְּנֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל: ייקרא כג, מז 3. וַזְדַבֵּר משֶׁה אֶת **מַצְדִי ה'** אֶל בְּנֵי יִשְׁרָאֵל: ייקרא כג, מז 4. בְאַרְבָּעָה עָשֶׁר יוֹם בַּחֹדֶשׁ הזֶה בֵּין הָעַרְבַּיִם תַּעֲשׁוּ אֹתוֹ בְּמוֹ**עָדוֹ** כְּכָל חֻלְּתָיו וֹלְכָל מִשְׁפָּטִיו תַּעֲשׂוּ אֹתוֹ: במ*דבר ט, ג* 5. צֵו אֶת בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל וְאָמֵרְתָּ אֻלֶהֶם אֶת קַרְבָּנִי לַחְמִי לְאִשִּׁי רֵיחָ וּלְמִנְחֹתִיכֶם וּלְמִלְחֹתִיכֶם וּלְנִקְבִיכָם וְנְדְבֹתִיכֶם וְנִדְבֹתִיכֶם וּלְמֹנְחֹתֵיכֶם וּלְמַלְחֹתִיכֶם וּלְשַלְמֵיכֶם: במִדבר כט, לט

- I משנה ח': permitted cutting before עמר:
  - a Areas: irrigated fields in the valleys (unfit for עמר) may be cut, but not piled up
    - Source (for permission to cut): v. 1 resolved only that which may be brought may not be cut beforehand
    - ii Challenge: perhaps it only refers to barley (only kind to be brought for עמר)
      - 1 Defense: איסור מיווען used יוחנן איסור to tie איסור to all five kinds of grain (above, p. 61)
  - b Illustration: people of יריחו would cut (before עמר ) with approval of ב"ד; would pile w/o approval, but without protest
    - i Challenge: version involving "protest" is ר' יהודה (contra מ", below); in his version, חכמים disapproved of
      - 1 Background: 6 customs of אנשי יריחו work on "ע"ע, reading שמע without breaks between words, cut before עמר, permit use of branches of הקדש -trees and leave opening for poor to come and take food on שבת ויר"ע during drought years
        - (a) הראים. first three were with approval of הרמים; last three were against their wishes, but they didn't protest
        - (b) איי all were against wishes of חכמים, but they didn't protest 1st three (including piling); protested last two plus giving the poor פאה from vegetables
          - (i) Note: there aren't 6 here there are 7!
          - (ii) Rather: משנה should be off of י"י's list (משנה approved, per our משנה; disapprove/no protest piling)
  - c Animal food: may be cut
    - i היי יהודה. only if it hasn't yet grown to 1/3
    - ii ש"ז. even if it already grew to 1/3
      - 1 Backdoor: פאה ב:א) whether cutting part of a field for straw is considered the beginning of קצירה (פאה ב:א) or not (ח"מ), and therefore constitutes a divider in field → 2 פאות
        - (a) Comment ("מ :(ר' יוחנן) follows מותר → משנה a ur משנה cutting for straw isn't "קצירה" שפירה" (עמר before משנה ''ש
          - (i) Challenge (ברייתא :לרבא) where ה"מ agrees that if row cut down by locusts, ants or wind considered קצירה (unless he plows it) and all one פאה
            - 1. Comment: מ"ח must hold that the ברייתא is after 1/3 growth and באה ב:א is before 1/3 like ה' יהודה
            - 2. *However*: ר' יהודה only allowed for cutting for animal ר"מ even for people
        - (b) Rather (מאה ג:א) follows מאה follows מאה follows מאה לי"מ (מאה ג:א) if he selectively cuts parts of field, ב"ל separate מאה from each
          - (i) איני only ruled that it isn't קצירה if he's cutting to get it before ripening (for toasting), not לאוצר
          - (ii) Challenge: ר'י יוחנן ruled that אוצר extended his ruling even לאוצר
            - 1. Answer: ר"ע accepts ה"מ for part (מנמר) but not fully (לאוצר)
- II משנה מ": additional laws related to קצירת העמר and prohibited cutting beforehand
  - a additional circumstances: where cutting before עמר is permitted
    - i For other growth: to make rooms for saplings to grow (have to cut anyways due to (כלאים
    - ii For מצוה to make room for בית מדרש or for בית
      - 1 Source: v. 1 קצירכם but not קציר
      - 2 In all these cases: may not bind them together, but leave as piles no reason to add unnecessary steps of קצירה
  - b Ideal and acceptable: all based on v. 2 ... ואם תקריב... (superfluous, as repeated at end)
    - i Stalks: ideally, cut from stalks; if all barley already cut, take from sheaves
    - ii Moist: ideally, barley should be moist; if all barley is dry bring that
    - iii Nigthttime: ideally, should be cut on night of 16th; if cut during the day, valid
  - c קרבן העמר is performed even on שבת
    - i Also (ברייתא): brought all based on תקריב (as per above)

- III Extended discussion about acceptability of עמר which is cut during the day
  - a Challenge: מגילה ב:that apply at night and may be done any time during the night (inc. קצירת העמר)
    - i And: end of משנה presents rule, comparing מצוות הלילה to מצוות הלילה
      - 1 *Inference*: just as daytime מצוות may not be done at night, same should apply פסול ביום should be פסול ביום
  - b Answer: our מגילה ב:ו ;רבי follows מאנילה מענה follows:
    - i ברייתא: if he was offering קרבן העמר and it became ממא
      - if there is other barley available, cut it and offer in lieu.
        - (a) Inference: נקצר ביום is author of our משנה, allowing נקצר ביום
      - 2 דאב"ש. even if other barley available, use original
        - (a) Inference: מגילה ב:ו holds that נקצר ביום פסול must be author of מגילה ב:ו
        - (b) Note (ראב"ש: ראב"ש is following...
          - (i) שבת (his father's teacher): who rules (שבת יט:א) that in a case where ברית מילה may be violated (e.g. שבת מילה), any מלאכה that could have been done before שבת doesn't trump
          - (ii) די ישמעאל: who holds (in re: בחריש וקציר תשבות) that קצירת העמר is an affirmative מצוה
          - (iii) Therefore: since the cutting trumps שבת, it follows that it may not be done any other time
        - (c) Challenge: רבי was also "ר"ש was also רבי was also "ר"ש was also שבת on רבי" (שבת on רבי)
        - (d) Answer: רבי was following another ruling of רבי:
          - (i) שבת performing a מצוה in the correct time is so precious, that we even violate שבת to do so
            - 1. Example: burning חלבים ואברים of afternoon שבת on תמיד, which could wait until מוצ"ש but is burnt on שבת on
        - (e) Challenge: doesn't ראב"ש know of that ruling (of his father's)?
          - (i) Answer: he'll see them as disanalagous in the case of the שחיטה was already "trumped" שחיטה was already
          - (ii) *Challenge*: to רבי he must acknowledge that they are disanalogous, *rather....*
  - c Answer2: שבת does **not** trump קצירת העמר does **not** trump
    - i *Challenges*: series of our סאין (3, סאין, 3 cutters, "שבת איל") which indicate cutting on שבת
      - 1 Defense: רבי subscribes to none of them
      - 2 Challenge: our משנה, which follows רבי רבי) states ודוחה את השבת
        - (a) Defense: that refers to offering, not cutting
        - (b) Challenge: רבי infers, from vv. 3-6 that all אמר even עמר and that (lamb) brought with it, שתי הלחם and those (lambs) brought with them trump שבת
          - (i) clarification:שתי הלחם must refer to milling and threshing (it isn't "offered"); parallel קצירת העמר
          - (ii) block: שמר means offering and שתי הלחם means baking (all else done before שבת)
            - 1. Reason: ערב שבועות holds that the oven (baking) is מקדש; if baked ערב שבועות, become invalid per
            - 2. Challenge: שחיטת הכבשים holds that שחיטת sanctifies bread not the baking
            - 3. Answer (שתי הלחם irrevocably designates bread for שחיטה; baking is מקדש them