29.3.16

32b (א"ר יהודה אמר שמואל כתבה אגרת) $\rightarrow 34a$ (וליקבעה אסיפא קמ"ל)

- 1. וּכָתַבְתַּם עַל מִזוּזֹת בֵּיתֶךְ וּבְשְׁ**עָרֶיךְ:** דברים ו, ט
 - 2. ה' שֹׁמְרֶךְ ה' צִּלְּךְ עֵל יֻד יִמִינֵךְ: תהלים קכא, ה
- כ. וַיִּפְח יְהוֹיֶדֶע הַכֹּהֵן אֶרוֹן אֶתֹד וַיִּפְב חֹר בְּדַלְתוֹ וַיִּתֵן אֹתוֹ אֵצֶל הַמְזְבֵּחַ **מָיָמִין בְּבוֹא אִישׁ** בֵּית ה' וְנָתָנוּ שָׁמָה הַכֹּהֲנִים שׁמְרֵי הַסַּף אֶת כָּל הַכֶּסֶף הַמּוּבָא בֵית ה':מ*טביב,י* 4. וּכְתַבְּתֵם על מִזוּזוֹת בֵּיתֵך וּבְשׁעַרִיךְ: *דברים יא, כ*
 - ב. וְעָבַר ה' לְנְגֹּף אֶת מִצְרַיִם וְרָאָה אֶת הַדָּם עַל הַמַּשְׁקוֹף וְעַל **שְׁתֵּי הַמְּזוּוֹת** וּפָסַח ה' עַל הַפֶּתַח וְלֹא יִתֵּן הַמַשְׁחִית לָבֹא אֶל בָּתֵיכֶם לְנְגֹּף: שמות יב, כג
 - 6. וְעַתָּה **בְּתָבוּ** לָכֶם אֶת הַשִּׁירָה הַזֹּאת וְלַמְדָה אֶת בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל שִׁימָה בְּפִיהֶם לְמַעוְ תַּהְיֶה לִי הְשִּׂירָה הַזֹּאת לְעֵד בְּבָנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל שִׁימָה בְּפִיהֶם לְמַעוְ תַּהְיֶה לִי הְשִּׂירָה הַזֹּאת לְעֵד בְּבְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל ד*ברים לא*, *יט*
 - ר. וְכַתָּבָתָּ עַל הָאֵבָנִים אָת כָּל דְּבָרֵי הַתּוֹרָה הַזֹּאת בַּאֵר הֵיטֵב: *דברים כז, ח*
 - . וַיֹּאמֶר לְהֶם בָּרוּךְ מִפִּיו יִקְרָא אֵלַי אָת כָּל הַדְּבָרִים הָאֵלֶה וַאֲנִי **כֹּתַב עַל הַסְּפֶר** בַּדְּיוֹ: ירמיהו לו, יח
 - I misc. שמואל re: writing a מזוזה from שמואל (taught by רב יהודה)
 - a אגרת: if he wrote it like a letter (without scoring or with care for חסרות ויתירות) invalid
 - i source: כתיבת ס"ת (v. 1) compared to כתיבת
 - b תלאה. if he hung it on a stick (not in doorway) invalid
 - i source: v. 1- בשעריך
 - ii support: בריתא if he hung it on a stick or behind the doorway מצוה and no מצוה
 - 1 note: מנובד the king would do this in their inns (where they stayed overnight מנובד as a זכר למזוזה as a זכר למזוזה
 - c מקום: it is proper to put it inside the doorway
 - i *challenge*: this is obvious
 - ii answer: per רבא since it should be within a ספח of ה"ר, we might think that "out" is better קמ"ל
 - d column(s): if he wrote it in 2 columns פסול
 - i challenge: ruling that if he wrote in 2 columns and placed on two doorways פסול
 - 1 *inference*: as long as it is one doorway valid
 - 2 defense: ruling means that if it could be placed in two doorways i.e. on two columns invalid
 - e היכר ציר. follow the "lead" of the hinge
 - i meaning: in a doorway that has no obvious egress point (enter in both directions) define the hinge as "entry"
 - ii story: בי ריש גלותא built a room, asked מר"ב built a room, asked שני השווה but he insisted that they put the door up first
 - f מזוזה is placed like a bolt (lying down) פסול
 - i challenge: all the מזוזות in ביהמ"ד s house were set like a bolt; and the doorway he used to enter ביהמ"ד had no מזוזה
 - 1 *answer*: in that case, they were hooked upwards (like an ankle)
 - 2 note: his doorway to ביהמ"ד was only used by him → no מזוזה, unlike that used by רב הונא (which had a מזוזה) (which had a מזוזה)
 - g location: שמואל beginning of top 1/3
 - i dissent: טפח anywhere but top or bottom טפח
 - ii Challenge (to שמואל): ברייתא
 - 1 *ר' יהודה* allows anywhere but bottom or top טפח
 - 2 יוסי. compares v. 1 to יוקשרתם igat top of hand (arm), so מווזה must be at top of doorway
 - (a) Note: שמואל adopts רב הונא adopts מר' יהודה 's approach, but שמואל fits neither approach
 - (b) Defense: he holds like ייטי, and permits anywhere within top 1/3 (not just at 1/3 point)
 - h Location (רבא): must be within a ספח of רה"ר
 - Reason: may be to encounter it right away, or to increase "protected space" (homily v. 2)
 - i Location (רב יוסף בן רבא): if he put it a טפח deep in the doorpost, invalid
 - i Suggested support: if he put it in the hinge or hung a cover over it, requires another מפח it's more than a טפח
 - 1 Rejection: that is in re: putting it in the doorway behind;
 - (a) Challenge: that is also mentioned explicitly
 - (b) Answer: that is the explanatory clause
 - (i) *Related*: if he put a cover of sticks, he digs a hole and places the מזוזה inside; however, only valid if he put it up first; if he put the מזוזה in and then placed it, invalid, per תעשה- ולא מן העשוי

- II Structures which may be exempt
 - are exempt פיתחי שימאי .*רבא*
 - i Meaning: without a roof or without doorposts
 - 1 Challenge: if they had doorposts, would it be חייב? The doorposts are only support for the roof
 - 2 Answer: indeed, even with doorposts they are exempt per that argument
 - (a) Support: אביי reported that מזווות porticos, with doorposts, had no מזווות
 - (i) Reason: he held that the doorposts are simply roof supports
 - (b) Challenge: ruling that אכסדראות, and porches require מזוזה
 - (i) Answer: that is the type of אכסדרה found in ב״'s house four doors that don't reach the roof 1. Block: that is a room, not an אכסדרה
 - (ii) Rather: reference is to Roman porticos, which is walled with windows
 - b בי הרזיקי :*רב יהודה* require 2
 - i Meaning: a gate that opens to חצר on one side and to gardens on the other
 - c Related ברייתא. a gate which opens to a small anteroom on one side and to the garden on the other
 - . ל' יוסי defined as a doorway of the room חייבת
 - ii דבנן. defined as a doorway of the garden פטורה
 - 1 אב ושמואל. all agree that door into house is liable;
 - (a) Dispute: is about doorway out to garden; is it considered part of the room or the garden?
 - 2 רבה ורב יוסף. all agree that door to garden is exempt it is an entry to garden
 - (a) Dispute: is about doorway into house; is it considered "to the house" or "from the garden"
 - 3 Final ruling: אביי ורבא were lenient (per רבה ור' יוסף
 - (a) But: ר' אשי was stringent in accord with רב ושמואל (following הלכה (מזוזות 2 ר' יוסי
- III Status of upper floor entry (from stairs coming through skylight-opening)
 - a אב הונא. if it has one opening (out to 2nd floor) requires 1 מזוחה; if 2 requires 2
 - b Inference (ב"ב): a room with four doorways requires 4
 - i Justification: even though only one is regularly used
- IV Rulings re: type of doorway and which side is obligated
 - a אמימר. a doorway cut into corner of walls is liable
 - i Challenge: it has no doorposts
 - ii Answer: the walls are the doorposts
 - b Story: בי שמואל came to בי, saw a doorway with only one doorpost on the left and it had a מזוזה
 - i *His challenge*: if this is following n"α (below), he only issued his ruling if the single doorpost is on the right
 - ii Background:
 - 1 Part 1: ברייתא which stipulates that the מזוזה must be on the right,
 - (a) Baseed on: ביתך (v. 1) = ביאתך, assuming that a person's first step is with his right foot
 - (b) Or: v. 3, indicating that a person's ingress is on the right side
 - 2 Part 2: חכמים in re: a doorway with only one doorpost
 - (a) א"ז: liable, per ר"ע and ר"ישמעאל agreement on interpretation of v. 1
 - (i) רבוי אחר רבוי in v. 4 is רבוי אחר רבוי downsizes to 1 מזוזה downsizes to 1
 - (ii) שתי מזוזות (v. 5) sets עם בנין אב מזוזות is always one unless stipulated to be more
- V Support for practice of writing on parchment
 - a ברייתא: v. 1 might have meant to write it directly on the stones
 - is used in re: writing a ס"ת (v. 6) to teach that this is written on a parchment
 - 1 Challenge: perhaps we should compare it to the writing of the תורה on the rocks (at די עיבל v. 7)
 - 2 Answer: we adduce a permanent מזוזה) from another permanent מצוה), per v. 8
 - (a) Note: from a one-time command (כתיבת התורה על האבנים)
 - 3 Challenge: the חוות states יעל מזווות why interpret it differently should be written directly on the stones
 - 4 Answer: first it states יכתבתם a complete writing (כתיבה תמה) then על מזוזות
 - (a) Question: if so, then why do we require the כתיבה::כתיבה)?
 - (i) *Answer*: without it, we may have thought to write it all (completely) on stone, then place that stone on the doorway קמ"ל