29.8.5 82a (משנה ו) → 83b (סיום הפרק)

- ו משנה ו' source for obligation to bring חולין from חולין (unless otherwise stipulated, per 'משנה ה
 - a Source: v. 1 noting that פסח cannot come from בקר must associate anything that comes from flock and herd to
 - i Just as: פסח is an obligation and must come from חולין, so too anything which is מסח must come from חולין
 - ii Therefore: if one generates a חולין by taking a הרי עלי שלמים" or "הרי עלי שלמים" must come from חולין
 - b In any case: מכים must always come from מנ"ש, since it must be used for something to be eaten or drunk)
- II Source for פסח itself: ברייתא
 - a מצרים מר"ש operating yet), so too מר"ש operating yet), so too חולין מסח דורות operating yet), so too חולין מסח דורות
 - b אי אפשר cannot prove from פסח מצרים, as that was אי אפשר (no possibility of מע"ש)
 - i א"ז. even though it is impossible good proof nonetheless (as these are only references to "מסח")
 - ii פסח מצרים ד"ע is unlike פסח דורות, as it has no blood/אימורים, procedures
 - 1 (challenge: if אין דנין אפשר משאי אפשר אין דנין אפשר אין דנין אף shouldn't shift arguments; if he relinquished principle, this one could be challenged as well from במדבר (במדבר)
 - 2 (answer: אין איז was speaking to אין "argument, this still defeats) אין דנין argument, this still defeats)
 - 3 איז. v. 2 compares all פחח מערים to each other → מחדורות must be like מע"ש (not from מע"ש)
 - (a) (challenge: why didn't פסח מדבר 's argument from פסח מדבר?

 - (c) (question: why didn't ר"ע challenge him again from אפשר?
 - (d) (answer1 (ששת): this proves that one cannot defeat a היקש with reasoning)
 - (e) (answer2 (the "בית מדרש"): we cannot use something inferred via היקש) to inform via another היקש and other obligations); rather, נעבדת puts all מחים under one rubric)
 - c Question: what is מע"ש's source for rule that חובה may not come from מע"ש?
 - i Answer: his take on interpretation and application of list of קרבנות in v. 3 to be used as models:
 - ii קרבן (quoted by שמואל): each קרבן listed there informs rest of list about one rule
 - 1 שלמים v. 4) whife (for שלמים that is written re: שלמים v. 4) knife (for עולה v. 4)
 - (a) Source: v. 5 and עקידה was an עולה (v. 6)
 - 2 מנחה: only eaten by זכרי
 - (a) Can't be: in re: חטאת ואשם, which are written explicitly (v. 7)
 - (b) Nor: שלמי צבור, inferred from extra phrase in v. 8
 - (c) Answer: some infer from v. 8, some from v. 3
 - 3 מקדש is מקדש (see :זבחים צז:)
 - 4 אשם just as placenta of אשם is not קדוש; neither is placenta of any קדשים sanctified
 - (a) Note: he must hold that וולדות קדשים are only sanctified at birth
 - (i) And: אשח (the author) infers from impossible cases (e.g. ששה only male)i.e. דנין אפשר משאי אפשר
 - just as any leftovers were burnt but no live animals included
 - (a) So too: all אשם שנתכפרו בעליו any living "leftovers" (e.g. אשם שנתכפרו בעליו) aren't burned, but graze etc.
 - 6 שלמים just as שלמים can generate and become קרבנות, so too with all קרבנות

- iii Alternate version of אשם (only replacing מנחה and מנחה)
 - 1 מנחה just as מנחה sanctifies others via בליעה, so too all קרבנות
 - (a) Justification: if only written in re: חטאת because it is soft, it is סד"א not חטאת not חטאת
 - (i) And if: only written in re: סמ"א, חטאח, של"א because it is "bubbly" with fat it is מנחה, not so with מנחה
 - 2 חטאת must be brought from חולין, during the day and with his right hand, so too all קרבנות
 - (b) Sources:
 - (i) מעשר v. 9 must be his, not from communal funds, nor from מעשר
 - (ii) ביים is inferred from general phrase in v. 10 (a: it was mentioned inadvertently)
 - (iii) כהונה inferred from כהונה (a: mentioned inadvertently)
 - 3 אשם just as bones of אשם are permitted, so too with all קרבנות
- iv Question: how does ר"ע interpret v. 1?
 - 1 Answer: uses it (per מותר מסח) to teach that מותר מסח (surplus funds) are to be brought as שלמים
 - 2 Challenge: that is inferred (per אבוה דשמואל) from v. 11
 - (a) Interpretation: that which comes from שלמים (i.e. פסח) will come as שלמים
 - (b) Challenge: we derive it from v. 12 (ברייתא), teaching that anhy surplus of פסח whose year lapsed, or שלמים that come on account of (נסכים, סמיכה, חו"ש) שלמים associated with שלמים (נסכים, סמיכה, חו"ש)
 - (i) And: only lamb requires אליה, not goat (v. 13)
 - 3 *Answer:* there are three sources:
 - (a) One: for a case where the פסח's year lapsed and it is currently after מסח
 - (b) Two: for a case where the מסח's year didn't yet lapse, but it is after מסח
 - (i) Justification: we may have thought that since it is fit for פסח שני, can't be קמ"ל שלמים
 - (c) Three: for a case where neither the year nor מסח has lapsed
 - (i) Case: where it was lost, another designated for פסח and it was found before midday of יד
 - (ii) Justification: we might have thought that since it is perfectly fit for קמ"ל שלמים, can't be קמ"ל שלמים