



- IV משנה ה: dispute ר"ע/ר"ט if oil can be voluntarily donated
- a ד"ע: wine may be donated, not oil; as wine is offered as an obligation independently; "חובה"-oil is always in flour
- b ד"ט: just as wine, which comes as an obligation, may also be donated, similarly oil may be donated
- i ד"נא: infer from both of them that a person may volunteer to bring מנחת נסכים as often as he likes
- 1 Challenge: this is obvious
  - 2 Justification: סד"א the תורה provided for 5 מנחות נדבה and no more – קמ"ל – that only applies if he doesn't explicate; if he does, it may follow his נדר
- c Minimal donation: two may not offer 1 עשרון together, but they may share עולה ושלמים, even a single bird
- i Source: is not v. 4 תקריב (מנחה – in the singular), for v. 5 uses יקריב for עולה
- 1 And: reason for partnership in עולה cannot be due to v. 6 – לעולותיכם; as also states למנחותיכם
- ii Rather: source is v. 4 – "נפש" indicating a single person bringing a מנחה
- 1 Support: רבי בריתא cites רבי invoking v. 7 which allows partnership in all קרבנות –
    - (a) But: מנחה is singled out by virtue of "נפש"
    - 2 Tangential homilies of ד' יצחק:
      - (a) גפש: ה' regards offering of the poor man (מנחה) as if he brought his very life to ה'
      - (b) Frying: ה' made מנחה important, in spite of its relative insignificance, to make the poor man who can only bring a מנחה feel as if he is contributing something of great significance