## 30.4.6

(מטמאה במוקדשין) → 73a (משנה ד)

- I משנה ד: if the animal is having difficulty and the עובר put out its hand and he cut it off
  - a If: he cut it before slaughtering the mother, the meat is טהור (i.e. not נבילה)
  - b *But if*: he slaughtered the mother first and then cut it
    - i נבילה the נבילה due to contact with נבילה (part which was out and then cut)
    - ii *חכמים*, the אוור א א א א א א א א א א א טריפה, as one that had contact with a א טריפה which was slaughtered
      - (a) *Note*: the notion of מגע טריפה שחוטה is applicable only to מוקדשים, where a מוקדשים that was properly slaughtered is אבוה דשמואל per אבוה דשמואל
      - 2 Argument (תכמים): just as טריפה "purifies" a טריפה, so too here
      - 3 *Response (ר"מ*): slaughtering "cleanses" itself, not the limb of an עובר which is not of its own body
      - 4 *Additionally*: the notion that שחיטה "cleanses" a טריפה could be challenged:
        - (a) Challenge: just as a טמאה cannot be "cleansed" via שחיטה, same should apply to טריפה
        - (b) Block: a טריפה was never "slaughterable", unlike a טריפה
          - (i) *Challenge*: then a טריפה from birth should remain "unredeemable"
        - (ii) Defense: a טריפה מן הבטן has nothing of its sub-genus which can be slaughtered, unlike a טריפה מן
- c Note: an 8-month old (i.e. premature) which becomes a טריפה cannot be fixed, as it has no "kin" which is בר שחיטה
- II Question: how is there any טומאה-contact between the limb and the rest of the אנע בית הסתרים that is מגע בית הסתרים
  - a *Answer1 (אועולא)*: אר"מ: position is that מגע בית הסתרים is considered מגע, per his ruling about a 3x3 garment that was torn, which still has ארי יוסי (מגע מדרס) dissented and maintained that there can only be מגע זב if he touches it (no longer מדרס, as it is too small)
    - i Suggestion: חכמים (of our משנה) follow ר' יוסי
    - ii Challenge: יוסי only disagreed about 3 אצבעות which is torn (no longer fit for anything), but if it is 3x3 טפחים that was torn away from a larger בגד (which has שעור טומאה) he agrees that as it separates, that is contact that transfers
      i.e. טומאה i.e. טומאה our case
  - b *Answer2 (רבינא*): an עובר is going to be cut off and, per "ז" s ruling about handles that will be shortened (no need to be מטביל the whole handle), it is already considered separate
    - i *Note*: even שכמים (who require full (שבילה) could agree, since food particles are all considered distinct, and the limb of the אובר is already considered a separate piece → there is אובר