30.9.6 123a (משנה ג) → 124a (משנה ג)

- I משנה ג Parameters of flaying when skin is still considered connected to flesh vis-à-vis טומאה
 - a Stripping: whether בהמה or היה whether animal is טמא and flayer is טהור or vice-versa, ovine or bovine
 - i For rug, table cover etc (lengthwise cut): as soon as he has enough to hold onto (2 טפחים) separate
 - 1 *Question*: what is status of skin once it has been pulled off כדי אחיזה?
 - (a) איז everything that is off the flesh is סהור (a) איז איז פעראיז
 - (b) טמא closest to flesh is still טמא closest to flesh is still טמא
 - (i) Challenges: from הוספתא חולין פ״ח all indicating that any skin off the flesh is טהור
 1. Defense: each of them is read "besides the טפח closest to flesh"
 - 2 *Note*: כדי אחיזה defined as "1 ברייתא in ברייתא but means "doubled as in other ברייתא as in other ברייתא)
 - ii For wineskin: cuts around chest until entire torso is cut
 - 1 But if: he cuts from legs (מרגיל): until the entire body is flayed, considered מחובר
 - 2 Possible exception: ריב"נ skin at neck considered separate; חכמים until it is completely flayed
- II Analysis of חיבור in light of our טלית if a טלית was torn, once a majority is ripped, no longer חיבור (one half to other)
 - טלית טבולת יום only applies to a מקוה (he put it in מקוה and wants to use for כ*ר"נ*)
 - i But: if not "טבר", he may not fully tear it (is concerned about it) don't allow
 - ii *Challenge (רבה*): people will think that טבילה on the same day purifies (not aware of קריעה)
 - 1 Additional challenge: פרק א' (in 'פרק א') holds that we must cut רוב שנים (not all) might not cut half?
 - iii Answers (רב ייסף): the tear shows that it wasn't טבילה בת יומא that purified
 - 1 And: re כהנים עולת העוף and בהנים אחל are aware and zealous won't cut less than ½
 - b *Application to our משנה* we allow that skin is טהור סחני is torn
 - i Why not: rule conservatively, he may not cut כדי אחיזה and then he's touching טומאה
 - ii *Answer*: if the אה"ת we'd extend it; ccase here is טומאה דרבנן
 - 1 *Challenge*: that is only valid if the man is טמא ; if animal is טמאה, that can only be מה"ת
 - 2 *Answer*: טריפה vefers to an animal that is טריפה
 - (a) Challenge: טומאה doesn't generate טומאה
 - (b) Answer: indeed it does, per אבוה דשמואל if מוקדשים
 - c ארי (בשם ר״ש): flaying a ארי skin is חיבור until entire skin is off; implication with camel (e.g.) not חיבור
 - i Correction: wrong implication rather infer that skin on the neck is not a חיבור (per יוחנן בן נורי (ret יוחנן בן נורי))
 - d Limitations: on the rule of the torn טלית
 - i אהורה only אהורה if he didn't leave an amount of material that could be used for an outer garment
 - ii *⁵⁷⁷*. only applies to a garment, but pelts aren't considered torn (they reconnect)
 - 1 *Dispute (""*): pelts don't reconnect- same rule applies to them
 - 2 Support: if a pelt is טמא מדרס, and he intended to use it for strips -once he cuts it it is טהור (ר' יהודה) (a) מפחים only if it smaller than 5 טפחים
 - (b) *Note*: all agree that pelts when torn stay torn
 - 3 *explanation* (*5*"7): only reconnects if cut straight, not if cut in a circular fashion
 - 4 *challenge (to ר״ל*): our משנה once כדי אחיזה has been lifted, טהור
 - (a) *defense*: as each part is lifted from flesh, it separates (can't reconnect)
 - 5 challenge (משנה in our משנה) skin on neck is not חיבור (יוסף) skin on neck is not
 - (a) חכמים סיפא look at אביי maintain that it is
 - (b) Rather (אביי): their disagreement is about whether a protective layer that falls off by itself is a שומר
 - 6 Challenge: ר"מ/חכמים dispute ר"מ/חכמים about how to purify a תנור, per מ"ז, hollow out ד"ט inside (not חלים)
 - (a) *Defense*: this is a pre-emptive measure
 - (b) Note: smaller measure given in כלים ה:א for רכמים for
 - (i) Answer: in that case, pieces were broken length-wise (can still stand); here, broken width-wise
 - (c) *Further note*: if majority is broken, even if שבר is שבר (whole is 9 or more) טהור, if whole is 7 or less, could even have majority whole but no שהור together טהור
 - iii *Alternate version: ר"ה* allowed *even if* the size of a garment was left; ר"ל distinguished in that a pelt is חשוב;
 - 1 *Same*: retort from ר"ל ;ר"י answers that if it was made less than 5 טפחים, can no longer serve the purpose for which it was חשוב for him → טהור