Introduction to פרק שלישי – אלו עריפות

this chapter, the longest in חולין, is focused on two topics. Once we've clarified the parameters of proper שחיטה of animals that are fit to be slaughtered, we take two steps backwards – first, identifying those conditions that make an otherwise fit animal unfit for slaughter. This is referred to as a nd מדיפה, i.e. an animal that has been wounded in such a manner that is mortal. There is a סימני סריפה of 18 הלכה למשה מסיני, the first five משניות the attendant גמרא are devoted to listing and detailing them – and which apply to which species. The second concern of the chapter is one step yet further back – identifying the significant indicators that make an animal "fit" – the סימנים of mammals, birds, fish and other living things.

30.3.1

42a (משנה א) → 43a (דאמר משמיה דר"פ כוותיך)

note: since the משנה included in this section does not address the specific משנה, we will delay presentation of the משנה until that point

> 1. וְאַנְשֵׁי קֹדֵשׁ תִּהִיוּן לִי **וּבְשַּׁר בִּשְּׁדָה טְרֶפָה לֹא תאכֵלוּ** לַכֵּלֵב תַּשִּׁלְכוּן אֹתוֹ: שמו*ת כב, ל* ב. דַבָּרוּ אֵל בָּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל לֶאמר **זֹאת הַחַיָּה אֲשֶׁר תּאכָלוּ** מִכֵּל הַבָּהֶמָה אֲשֶׁר עַל הַאָרֵץ: *ויקרא יא, ב*. 3. לָהַבְדִּיל בֵּין הַטָּמֵא וּבֵין הַטָּהר **וּבִין הַחַיָּה הַגַּאֲכֵלֶת וּבֵין הַחַיָּה אֲשֶׁר לֹא תֵאָכֵל**: ויקרא יא, מז ג יָסבּוּ עָלַי רַבָּיו **יִפַלַח כִּלִיוֹתֵי** וְלֹא יַחְמוֹל יִ**שְׁפּוּ לָאָרֶץ מְרֶרָתִי**: איוב טז, יג נּאמֶר ה' אֶל הַשָּּטָן הִנּוֹ בְיָדֶךְ **אַךְ אֶת נַבְּשׁוֹ שְׁמֹר**: איוב ב, ו

- משנה א : list of טריפות (to be presented as the גמרא analyzes them –see note); concluding with rule:
 - rule: anything which would not normally live (as a result of the טריפה) is a טריפה
 - *רשב"ל*: where is there an allusion to איסור טריפה?
 - challenge: no "allusion" needed; v. 1 is quite explicit
 - rather: where is there an allusion to the rule that a משנה of or unst be dying (as a result of the טיפא) per משנה of משנה אונה וואריים שנה אונה משנה סיפא
 - answer: v. 2 חיה implies that only animals that would otherwise be living may be eaten
 - note: this is only valid for approach that טריפה is mortally wounded
 - but: for approach that a טריפה is not necessarily mortally wounded what is his source?
 - (a) Answer: also v. 2- זאת החיה → other "living animals" may not be eaten (טריפה)
 - (b) Question: how would רשב"ל interpret זאת?
 - (i) Answer: homiletically 'ה showed מרע"ה each species
 - (ii) Note: position that טריפה חיה must accept this homily as well still needs source
 - (c) Rather: v. 3 distinguishes between חיה that is eaten and חיה that is not (טריפה)- i.e. the 18 (תדבר"י)
 - (i) Challenge: besides the 18 in our משנה, there are 4 (בסג"ר) and the שב שמעתתא (7 proposed by אמוראים)
 - (ii) Note: מדבר"י alludes to them in סיפא, but תדבר"י explicitly stated "18"...
- Resolving the "extra 11" מדבר"י with תדבר"י
 - (בהמה) that's legs were cut off above the knees
 - Answer: he holds like רשב"א who says that it could live
 - טריפה holds חדבר"י so its viability will not keep it from being a טריפה
 - Rather: he holds like טריפה who says that it is not a טריפה
 - טריפות if spinal cord is missing (מ"ב) עריפות ישנואל (מטמא באהל parallel for ב"ה) or even ביג ה' שריפות ישנואל (מטמא באהל מטמא באהל ישנוא ישנואל) ישנואל (ב"ש) אהלות ב:ג. ס"
 - Answer: the omasum (משמס) and recitulum (ב'ת הכוסות), listed as 2 in משנה, are 1 leaving room for this one
 - גלודה :ג׳ flayed animal
 - Answer: he holds like ר"מ, who permits it
 - הרותא .ד' if the lungs petrify like wood
 - Answer: יוסי בר יהודה (gall bladder), one of the 18 in our משנה, is per ר' יוסי בר יהודה he rejects it (and has room for 1 more)
 - טריפות which are also טריפות
 - טריפה if a thigh has been completely dislocated . ד' מתנא
 - ii רכיש בר פפא (in דב name): if one kidney became diseased טריפה
 - iii אינפה commenting on מריפה which permits a dislodged spleen but ruptured is a טריפה
 - iv שמואל. if a majority of the סימנים are dangling away טריפה
 - ישמואל if a rib is completely dislodged טריפה
 - vi שמואל. if a majority of the skull was shattered
 - vii שמואל. if a majority of the membrance covering the skull was torn טריפה

- f Answer: there are 8 "piercings" in the משנה; consider them 1 and these 7 can be included
 - i Challenge1: there are 2 "פסוקות" (the pharynx and spinal cord) consider them only 1
 - ii Challenge2: נקובה #3 is also נקובה (spleen) → only 16 on list
 - 1 Answer: the first and third (ב', ג'), which we excluded, ought to be included

III עולא's recategorization:

- a עולא there are 8 categories of טריפה given to מרע"ה:
 - i Piercing: נקובה
 - 1 Includes: 8 specific organs if 9 are presented, it also includes gall-bladder, per ר' יוסי בר יהודה
 - (a) Per: ברייתא which lists pierced stomach or small intenstine ריב"י adds the gall-bladder
 - (b) Note: ר' יוסי בר יוסף per יוסי בר יוסף) ruled in accordance with ר' יוסי בר יהודה
 - (i) And: ר' יוחנן reported their debate:
 - 1. מרה cited end of v. 4 to prove that if מרה is punctured he may still live
 - 2. Response: first half indicates that even his kidneys could be removed yet that is surely a טריפה
 - a. Rather: the case of איוב was miraculous (per v. 5) no proof from there
 - (ii) Tangent: טריפה ruled that there must be a כזית remaining of liver to keep from status of טריפה
 - 1. Challenge: סתם משנה (per ר' יוחנן) always follows סתם משנה (as ours) which implies that anything left of the בבד is sufficient
 - a. Answer: there is a dispute between רב"ם and רב יוחנן about ר' יצחק בר יוסף 'about ר' יצחק בר יוסף
 - b. *Proof*: ר' יצחק בר יוסף as permitting a gall-bladder that was punctured if the liver sealed the hole (*contra* the simple read of our משנה)
 - (iii) Tangent: ר' יוחנן if the outer lining of the gizzard was punctured but not the inner sac כשר
 - 1. Question: what is the sac was punctured but not the outer lining?
 - a. Solution: ר' נחמן ruled that if only one was punctured still כשר
 - (iv) parallel: רבא noted that the esophagus has in inner lining (white) and outer (red)
 - 1. ruling: if either was punctured alone still כשר
 - a. question: why mention their colors?
 - b. Answer: if the colors are inverted טריפה
 - (v) question: in these two cases, what if both inner and outer were punctured but not aligned?
 - 1. מר זוטרא בשם ר"ב in case of esophagus, valid; in case of קורקבן forbidden
 - a. Challenge (ד' אשי): opposite stands to reason:
 - i. Argument: esophagus is flexible; they may have been aligned → טריפה
 - ii. But: gizzard is set; if not aligned now, never were → כשרה
 - b. Note: מר זוטרא 'ז told י'א that that was how he heard it in מר זוטרא's name, quoting מר"ז מר זוטרא
 - ii Severed: פסוקה
 - iii Gone: נטולה
 - iv Deficient: חסורה
 - v *קרועה*: torn
 - vi דרוסה: clawed
 - vii *גפולה*: if the animal fell (from a high place)
 - viii שבורה. a fractured limb