30.3.4

45b (נשבר השדרה) $\rightarrow 46b$ (חזותא חזותא כשרה)

- I Analyzing טריפה #5 if the spine was broken
 - a Related dispute: שעור of the spinal cord
 - i דבי majority
 - ii *ד' יעקב*: any amount
 - 1 Note: רבי practically ruled in accord with רבי's more stringent opinion
 - 2 However: ר' הונא ruled against ר' יעקב
 - b Definition of דוב.
 - i 27. majority of the skin/majority of the marrow (two versions)
 - א Note: the opinion that reads "marrow" will certainly agree that majority of the skin is טריפה
 - 2 *But*: the opinion that reads "skin" what would he require of marrow?
 - (a) Answer: marrow is irrelevant (support from story with בר)
 - c Other טריפות of the spinal cord:
 - i fit got soft (so that the marrow flowed out) or weak (so it couldn't remain erect) טריפה
 - 1 *ד' ירמיה*. what if it couldn't stand on its own due to its weight? תיקו
 - i בי רב (weakened, per above) is a נתמזמז (if some of the marrow emptied out) כשר
 - 1 Challenge: רשב"א ruled that נתמזמז is a טריפה
 - (a) Answer: the proper read of his ruling is נתמסמס
 - (b) Challenge: למ was at the doctor's, saw a man whose head was lolling and observed that he was נתמומו
 - (i) Assumption: and he would die as a result
 - (ii) Correction: he was observing that this man is now infertile
 - d שמואל. the spine extends until it splits off below the hips
 - Story: רב יהודה was unable to demonstrate this on fatted kid too much meat; or a skinny kid too bony
 - 1 Therefore: he presented tradition if it breaks between 1^{st} split and 2^{nd} טריפה; after 3^{rd} between 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} he was unsure
 - 2 Question (ר"ה בריה דר"י): is "until" inclusive or not?
 - (a) אב פפא if not inclusive what if it is broken right at the split?
 - (b) ד' ירמיה: if inclusive what if the split itself broke?
 - (i) Answer: ברייתא split is considered like בשר (assumption –like 1st or 2nd split)
 - 1. Correction: like meat of 3rd split (כשר)
 - ii Ouestion: how is this reckoned in a bird?
 - 1 Answer: ר' ינאי below the wings; ר"ל until the wings
 - 2 Story: עולא was sitting before בי נשיאה, who checked until the wings and then was summoned by בי נשיאה
 - (a) Unsure: if he stopped checking as further was unnecessary (כר"ל) or due to כבוד בי נשיאה
- II Analyzing טריפה #6 if the liver was completely removed
 - a Inference: if any were left, it would be כשרה
 - b Challenge: ג:ב if a כזית is left, valid → less than a טריפה is a סריפה
 - i Answer ("מיא :(דב ייסף) ר' מיא (who throws away if small piece of liver) איז בר רבי. (who eats it "wealthy are stingy")
 - ii כזית (to כזית: must be next to gall-bladder
 - iii *ד' אדא בר אהבה*. in place of its source (near kidneys)
 - 1 בשרה therefore, we require כשרה in both places for it to be כשרה
 - 2 Questions: if it is a bunch of pieces, can they merge to כזית? If a strip is כזית sufficient? If it is smooth and flat valid? חיקו
 - 3 Question (asked of אמי): if it was torn away but still hanging from diaphragm, is it valid?
 - (a) Answer: in either case, it is valid that is connected to מרה and to source (kidneys)

- III Analyzing טריפה #7 a punctured lung
 - a ב ושמואל. the reference is to the outer membrane (or, they may have ruled the inner membrane must also be pierced)
 - i סימן דב נחמן for the latter opinion: "the red garment in which the lung is enrobed"
 - ii ruling: if the outer membrane was punctured but not the inner inner one protects, per ירבא
 - נשר if a lung is flayed and looks like a red date. כשר
 - iii question: if inner membrane alone is punctured, does outer one protect?
 - 1 answer: it does protect (in spite of dispute ר' יוסף per ר' יוסף per ר' יוסף 's directive:
 - (a) if: a lung is "making noise" (whistling),
 - (i) if: we know the source of the sound, we put a feather, or spit etc. over it, if it bubbles טריפה
 - (ii) *if*: we don't know the source, we put it in luke-warm water and inflate it –if it bubbles טריפה 1. *if it doesn't*: the sound is air moving between the membranes כשרה
 - (iii) note: we don't use hot water, as that will contract the lungs; we don't use cold as it will congeal
 - iv reassessing אבא. ruled that if it is flayed and looks like red date valid
 - 1 additional ruling (נשרה additional ruling (נשרה completely red טריפה completely red טריפה
 - (a) challenge (רבינא): why do you permit it if partial because it will heal? even if all red, will heal
 - (i) proof: שרצים all other שבת יד:א (besides "8") only liable for killing them after blood comes out
 1. proposed counter: perhaps we compare lungs to the "8" where liability once blood pools under skin if so should be טריפה if any of it is red rather, there is no difference (some/all) כשרה
 - 2 additional ruling (רבא): if some of a lung withers טריפה
 - (a) measure (ר' פפי בשם דבא): if it can be broken with a fingernail
 - (i) challenge: that follows ר' יוסי בן משולם, contra רבנן:
 - 1. בכור a בכור s ear is considered "withered" (→בעל מום (בעל מום 's ear is considered בכור a:ברייתא)
 - a. דבנן: if it is pierced and no blood comes out
 - b. ד' יוסי בן משולם. if it is so brittle that it can be broken with a fingernail
 - 2. defense: in the case of an ear, which is exposed to the air, it won't heal
 - a. but: in the case of a lung, which is not exposed, it may heal (if at רבנון's "stage" for ear)
 - 3 additional ruling (רבא): a lung which is spotted with scabs, or black or colors (permissible colors, as per next discussion) is כשרה