30.3.12

55a (ניטלו הכליות) → 56a (פיגול וחייבין עליו כרת)

- I Continued analysis of items in משנה ב' which do not render animal a טריפה
 - a removed kidneys (item "h"): this is still valid, however...
 - i סריפה (quoted by כביש בר פפא : if one of the kidneys was diseased טריפה
 - ii caveat (added by חכמי ארץ ישראל): only if the infection reached the hilum
 - 1 *definition*: the white area just below the loins
 - iii ruling: we adopt רכיש בר פפא 'report (per נחוניא) question of א"י experts in א"י experts in
 - 1 and: we reject ר' עוירא above, p. 44, re: punctured spleen) when punctured on lower, thin side
 - (a) but: if punctured on upper, thick side, we do accept his ruling of טריפה
 - (i) but: even in that case, if the size of a gold coin remains of the spleen כשרה
 - b tangential observation (תכמי א"י): whatever is טריפה for a lung is כשר for kidneys
 - i proof: a punctured lung is a טריפה, yet a punctured kidney is כשרה
 - ii continuation of dictum: all the more so, something which is כשרה in a lung is כשרה in a kidney
 - iii challenge (אר תנחומא): pus is not a טריפה if found in lung; yet is a טריפה if found in kidney
 - 1 furthermore: clear water (in bleb) is כשר in both
 - iv rather (rejection of dictum of א"ו observed that we cannot compare טריפות to each other
 - 1 reason: if you cut an animal from this side, it dies; from the other side it lives
 - v note: regarding clear water only valid if it is truly clear, not polluted
 - 1 and: even if clear, only if it doesn't have a stench
 - c diminished kidney (טריפה): if it got as small as a bean (in a בהמה דקה or like an average grape (in בהמה גסה) it is a טריפה
 - d lower jaw (removed -"i"): caveat (ר' זירא) only if it can live by being force-fed; if not טריפה
 - e womb (removed "j"): note "האם" (word used in our משנה) = "טרפחת" = "טרפחת" (
 - f withered lung ("k"): טריפה if it is withered by "heaven" טריפה; by humans טריפה
 - i רשב"א. any creature
 - ii clarification: is סיפא רשב"א commenting on בידי שמים) רישא also by creatures לקולא (not only סיפא) סיפא
 - 1 answer (בידי אדם :is explicitly extended by דשב"א to all creatures; i.e. he is ruling stringently
 - iii story: רבב"ח was in desert, found rams with withered lungs and asked in בית מדרש how to determine כשרות
 - 1 response: take lungs and immerse them in water for 24 hours; if they heal בידי שמים); if not בידי אדם
 - (a) in summer: use white vessels and cold water
 - (b) in winter: use dark vessels and lukewarm water
 - g flayed animal ("l"): סריפה testimony of אלעזר ספרא and יוחנן בן הגודגדא that a flayed animal is טריפה
 - i dissent: ר"מ רשב"א recanted his position
 - 1 implication: according to ר"מ, רשב"א disagreed about גלודה
 - 2 *challenge*: רשב"א reported that מריפה and חכמים did *not* disagree that a flayed animal is a טריפה
 - (a) support: עדות "s name that a flayed animal is טריפה, but if a טלע worth of skin remains it is כשרה
 - 3 resolution: "רשב"א report that "לא נחלקו" means that ר"מ did not maintain his opposition (i.e. he recanted)
 - ii reassessing the "rescuing" שמואל סלע must be along the entire length of the backbone
 - 1 *question*: can it be long and short, when added together makes up מסלע OR must it be cody along length?
 - (a) answer (in שמואל name): must be a סלע's breadth along entire length of backbone
 - (i) מכלע must be כסלע at top of every joint
 - (ii) טיבור at the כסלע at the כסלע. must be טיבור מיבור מיב
 - (b) question (ר' ינאי ב"ד ישמעאל): if all the skin was present except for these (respectively) תיקו -? כשרה
 - 2 בא any skin (נסלע "saves" the animal from having a status of גלודה accept for the bottom of the hooves
 - (a) ג'ייחנן. even the skin of the hooves "rescues" the animal from status as גלודה
 - (i) challenge (חולין ט:ב that the hoof-skin is considered like בשר (not skin) בשר (חולין ט:ב
 - (ii) משנה as attributed to a minority (לשון יחיד) and not הלכה
 - 1. per: ברייתא intent to burn כזית of skin under tail constitutes פגיל
 - a. however: ר"ש איש איבלים quoting יק, and אליעזר בן יהודה איש איבלים quoting מעיכוס קיש add skin of hooves, skin of head of young calf, skin under tail and anything else listed in context of חולין טובא (in בול) including skin near genitals is "meat" for purposes of פגול
 - (iii) therefore: mention of עור בית הפרסות in is rejected as דעת יחיד