30.3.14

i

b

57a (אבל לענין טרפה אגוני לא מגין) אמר רב הונא אמר רב שמוטת ירך בעוף כשרה) אבל לענין טרפה אגוני לא מגין)

ז. לַדְּ אֶל נְמָלָה עָצֵל רְאָה דְרָכֶיהָ וַחֶכָּם: אֲשֶׁר אֵין לָה קַצִין שׁטֵר וּמֹשָׁל: תָּכִין בַּקַיִץ לַחְמָה אָגְרָה בַקָּצִיר מַאָכָלָה:מש*לי ו,ו-ח* 2. בַּיָּמִים הָהֵם אֵין מֶלֶדְ בִּיִשְׁרָאֵל אִישׁ הַיָּשָׁר בְּעִינָיו יַעַשָּׁה: שופטים יז,ו

I Continued discussion about טריפות בעוף

- a כשר if a bird's leg is dislocated כשר רב
 - Challenge: in פומבדיתא, they quote רב יהודה quoting רב who declared it טריפה לעריפה
 - 1 *Response*: different פומבדיתא (in פומבדיתא, they had a מנהג to prohibit; רב יהודה didn't want to rule permissively)
 - ii Story: עליה made אליה, heard רב הונא בשם רב quoting רב הונא בשם לא that a dislocated leg of a bird טריפה
 - 1 *Response:* כשרה had learned directly from ר"ה that it was כשרה
 - 2 In addition: saw רב ירמיה checking a שמוטת ירך at the sinew asked why that was necessary (per ב above)
 - 3 *Answer*: per ברייתא if a mammal's leg is cut off below knee כשרה, above knee טריפה; also if that sinew gone
 - (a) And: רב added that the same applies to birds
 - (b) *Note*: רב's rulings contradict each other
 - (i) *Defense*: dislocated is כשרה; amputated טריפה
 - 4 אבא *ר'*זירא asked ר' זירא what his source was
 - (a) Answer: per report in רב's name that it was טריפה (similarly, ור' יוחנן)
 - (i) *And*: report that רצי יוחנן was present when רבי permitted (but he was opposed)
 - Conclusion: reject these reports, rather per ruling of ריב"ל
 - i Was asked: about a trachea that had a "window" carved out of it
 - ii He answered: if it is the size of an טריפה איסר האטילקי
 - iii *Challenge*: a ewe had such a hole, they grafted in membrance and it lived
 - iv Retort (*ריב"ל*): can't rely on that we have an accepted ruling a dislocated leg of a bird → טריפה
 - 1 *Yet*: there was such a bird and they fashioned an artificial support and it lived
 - 2 *Rather*: it was during the first 12 months (same here, with the trachea)
 - 3 Inference: he held that טריפה שמוטת ירך בעוף
- c Story: ר׳ שמעון בן חלפתא disproved ר׳ הודה's assertion about the feather
 - i *He had*: a bird that lost that feather, he put it in a warm place w/cover and it grew even bigger wings
 - 1 *Challenge*: perhaps ר״י holds that a טריפה can heal
 - 2 Answer: he wouldn't apply that to the part that was נטרף
 - ii *Tangent*: רשב"ח was called an "עסקן" (experminter?) per story re: vv1-2 (testing if ants really have no king)
- II Protracted discussion life expectancy of a טריפה
 - a אי הונא 12 months (i.e. if it survives past 12 months not a טריפה)
 - i *Challenge*: ברייתא indication of a טריפה is that it cannot give birth
 - 1 *רשב"ג* if it gets healthier and grows not a טריפה; if it deteriorates טריפה)
 - 2 אינר 30 days is the טעור (if it lives past 30 days not a טריפה)
 - (a) *Response*: many of them survive for several years
 - ii Answer: it is a dispute among רש"י (ורש"ר ב:ו) תוספתא אהלות ב:ו) אהלות ביו, per יש"י (רש"י
 - 1 If: there was a hold in the skull the size of a drill bit or a bunch of holes totaling that size טריפה
 - (a) אסי בן משולם someone had that and they fashioned a cover and he survived . *ד' יוסי בן משולם*
 - (b) *דשב״א*, no proof it was summer; as soon as it became winter, he died (i.e. dying within the year →טריפה)
 - iii Conclusion (ר׳ אחא בר יעקב): a טריפה can even get healthier and even give birth
- III Status of eggs of an עוף טרף
 - a מותר (laid after become אמימר) are אסורות afterwards, it is co-created (זה וזה גורם) with male אמימר) are מותר
 - i Challenge (יא ור' יהושע: יא ור' יהושע) agree that באיסור is prohibited grew באיסור) ולד טריפה is prohibited grew באיסור
 - ii *Answer*: that was a case of asexual reproduction
 - 1 *Question*: why not answer that that referred to first batch?
 - 2 *Answer*: language of גדלה should have been גמרה
 - iii *Tangent*: analysis of dispute ר"א/ר' יהושע re: offering up ריפה uprmits) ולד טריפה permits)
 - 1 Proposal: they disagree about a case where it became a טריפה and then conceived (מותר . אסור זה וזה גורם)
 - 2 *Challenge*: if so, they should disagree about permissibility to eat (not קרבן)
 - (a) Answer: wanted to show לגבוה of ר' יהושע even allows לגבוה
 - 3 They agree: that ביצת טריפה is prohibited must be case of ספנא מארעא (asexual no זה גורם) זה גורם (asexual no ביצת טריפה)

v

а

- iv אול holds like ארא בר יעקב) and this was his version
 - disagrees (טריפה אינה יולדת) and transmitted אמימר's ruling as follows
 - 1 אמימר if a bird becomes ספק טריפה, we hold the first batch; if she bears more they are מותר
 - 2 *ר"א/ר"* challenge from dispute ר"א/ר" (above)
 - (a) Defense: that refers to the first batch (and he reads "גמרה" rather than "גדלה")
 - 3 If so: their dispute re: הקרבת ולד טריפה must be a case where it became טריפה after conception
 - (a) And: the crux of their dispute is whether ר"א) or not (ר"א) or not (ר"י)
 - (b) And: they discuss לגבוה to show the ר' יהושע of כח דהיתרא
 - (i) *Eggs*: they agree about eggs referring to first batch, as that is (as if) the body of the טריפה
- b Final ruling: for males if they survive 12 months; for females giving birth
- c Tangent (דב הונא): any creature without bone can't live more than a year
 - i *Conclusion (שמואל*): per שמואל who holds that a worm growing in melons while in the ground are אסורים
 - 1 *Then*: dates sitting in a jug for a year are מותר
 - 2 Background: worms formed while on tree dead; worms growing later are מותר until they come out of the
 - ii *Challenge*: common sayings about gnats living longer
 - iii *Retort*: common sayings about strength of stingers rather, that is in their terms so too, in their "years"
- IV השנה בכורות if an animal has an extra or missing leg that is a מום מום
 - אריפה this is only at the foreleg; at the backleg, also a טריפה
 - i Reason: any extra digit is as if that was missing
 - b case: animal with two parts of an intestine (מעי עיור) רבינא declared it טריפה based on ר' הונא based on רבינא
 - i But if: they would connect with each other כשרה
 - c Case: there was a tube going from one inner stomach to the other
 - טריפה considered declaring it אשי
 - 1 *רב הונא מר ב"ר חייא*: all animals are like that
 - d Case: a tube going from inner stomach to outer
 - i *מר ב״ר אשי*: wanted to declare it כשרה
 - 1 אושעיא all cases are not the same (cannot extrapolate) only when stated is it so
 - e Testimony: before רבי about 2 bowels coming out of animal together טריפה
 - i Parallel with bird: כשרה
 - ii Caveat: ony when they come out of two spots; if out of one spot and merge "כשרה "אצבע" כשרה
 - 1 *Dispute*: עד אסי v. אסי re "עד אצבע" whether they have to re-connect
 - (a) *Note*: according to lenient position what is "עד אצבע"?
 - (b) *Answer*: that length from the bottom
- V Analysis of end of רי יהודה משנה's opinion about the missing feather
 - a איז א and איז א have common approach ר' ישמעאל have common approach
 - i *הודה*: our ruling
 - ii שהרות א: ב. *ד' ישמעאל* the feather is מצטרף to meat of bird (for כזית to render it פיגול)
 - iii *רבא*: perhaps they don't agree
 - 1 הודה only said his rule vis-à-vis טריפה (there's nothing to protect the back) but agrees with פגול re: פגול
 - 2 פיגול perhaps feels that the bird is protected and only holds as he does re פיגול