30.4.6

72a (משנה ד) → 73a (משנה ד)

- I משנה ד: if the animal is having difficulty and the עובר put out its hand and he cut it off
 - a If: he cut it before slaughtering the mother, the meat is טהור (i.e. not נבילה)
 - b But if: he slaughtered the mother first and then cut it
 - i איבר the ממא is שמא due to contact with נבילה (part which was out and then cut)
 - ii תיבר the טהור או עובר, as one that had contact with a סריפה which was slaughtered
 - (a) Note: the notion of מגע טריפה is applicable only to מוקדשים, where a טריפה that was properly slaughtered is אבוה דשמואל per אבוה דשמואל
 - 2 Argument (שחיטה just as שחיטה "purifies" a טריפה, so too here
 - 3 Response (מ"מ): slaughtering "cleanses" itself, not the limb of an עובר which is not of its own body
 - 4 Additionally: the notion that שחיטה "cleanses" a טריפה could be challenged:
 - (a) Challenge: just as a טמאה cannot be "cleansed" via שחיטה, same should apply to טריפה
 - (b) Block: ממאה was never "slaughterable", unlike a טריפה
 - (i) Challenge: then a טריפה from birth should remain "unredeemable"
 - (ii) Defense: a ממאה has nothing of its sub-genus which can be slaughtered, unlike a טריפה מן הבטן
 - c Note: an 8-month old (i.e. premature) which becomes a טריפה cannot be fixed, as it has no "kin" which is בר שחיטה
- II Question: how is there any -טומאה contact between the limb and the rest of the מגע בית הסתרים that is מגע בית הסתרים
 - a Answer1 (מגע בית הסתרים): מגע בית הסתרים is considered מגע, per his ruling about a 3x3 garment that was torn, which still has מדרס dissented and maintained that there can only be מגע מדרס if he touches it (no longer מדרס, as it is too small)
 - i Suggestion: חכמים (of our משנה follow ר' יוסי
 - ii Challenge: יוסי only disagreed about 3 אצבעות which is torn (no longer fit for anything), but if it is 3x3 טפחים that was torn away from a larger בגד (which has שעור טומאה) he agrees that as it separates, that is contact that transfers טומאה i.e. יוסי would agree in our case
 - b Answer2 (אובר an יובר): an יובר): an יובר is going to be cut off and, per ה'מ' s ruling about handles that will be shortened (no need to be averted to be averted), it is already considered separate
 - i Note: even סבילה (who require full טבילה) could agree, since food particles are all considered distinct, and the limb of the מגע is already considered a separate piece → there is מגע