|ntroduction to פרק חצישי – אותו ואת בנו

The Torah prohibits slaughtering an animal and its offspring on the same day; this prohibition only applies to חיות. Our chapter deals with the details of this איסור אותו ואת בנו slong the way, we will analyze the status of a איסור ois-à-vis איסור הלכות among other אותו ואת בנו

30.5.1

 $78a (משנה א) \rightarrow 79b (וסימנין דאורייתא)$

ז. שור או כֶשֶּׁב או עֵז כִּי זָוָלֵד וְהָיָה שָׁבְעַת יָמִים תַּחַת אָמוֹ וּמִיּוֹם הַשְּׁמִינִי וָהָלְאָה זֵרְצֶה לְקַרְבַּן אָשֶׁה לַה': *ויקרא כב, כז* 2. **וְשׁוֹר** אוֹ שֶׂה **אֹתוֹ וְאֶת בְּנוֹ** לֹא תִשְׁחֲטוּ בְּיוֹם אֶחָד: *ויקרא כב, כח* 3. כִּי אִישׁ אִישׁ אֲשֶׁר יְקַלֵּל **אֶת אָבִיו וְאֶת אָמוֹ** מוֹת יוּמָת **אָבִיו וְאָמוֹ קַלֵּל** דָּמִיו בּוֹ: *ויקרא כ, ט*

- I איסור the איסור applies everywhere (even "חולין) at all times (even when there is no ביה"מ) and with חולין and מוקדשים
 - a Applications (in various sequences): חולין, קדשים in and out of עזרה
 - i Both חולין outside: 1st is fine, 2nd is מכות may be eaten, he gets מכות
 - ii Both קדשים outside: 1st שחוטי חוץ \rightarrow שחוטי חוץ outside: 1st קרת \rightarrow חולין; 2nd הוליב (אר"ב 2
 - iii Both מכות inside: neither may be offered; 2nd generates או"ב for מכות
 - iv Both קדשים inside: 1st is valid; 2nd generates מכות and may not be brought
- II משנה ב: continuation of examples: using mixture of משנה ב or הוץ/פנים or הוץ/פנים; sequence is critical
 - a Applications: mixing קדשים and קדשים in one location
 - i חולין then מכות outside: 1st is fine; 2nd carries מכות for מכות
 - ii קדשים outisde: 1st carries מכות and is פסול; 2nd is valid but generates מכות for או"ב
 - iii קדשים then מכות inside: both are invalid; 2nd generates מכות for או"ב
 - iv מכות then או"ב inside: 1st is fine; 2nd generates מכות and is פסול and is מכות
 - b Applications: mixing inside/outside with a single type of animal (קדשים OR קדשים
 - i מכות outside and then inside: 1st is fine; 2nd generates מכות and is פסול and is או"ב
 - ii מכות both invalid and both generates מכות, both invalid and both generate מכות
 - iii *חולין inside and outside*: 1st is invalid; 2nd generates מכות but is מרות but is מרות
 - iv קדשים inside and outside: 1st is fine; 2nd is invalid and generates או"ב for אר"ב
- III Analysis: source for חולין applying to both חולין and חולין
 - a *קדשים* context of איסור (v. 2) after v. 1 (קדשים)
 - i However: שור (beginning of v. 2) "blocks" it off from being exclusively וואר (connects to include קדשים
 - ii Challenge: כלאים (crossbred animals) are קדשים as פסול perhaps או"ב doesn't obtain?
 - iii Further: the use of שה (v. 2) should indicate, per כלאים are excluded
 - 1 However: ברייתא rules that או"ב applies to כלאים
 - iv Answer: או (v. 2) extends to include כלאים
 - 1 Challenge: או needed to isolate that only need to slaughter ox OR lamb and its young to be חייב
 - 2 Answer: בנו (v. 2) already isolates (only one offspring needed)
 - (a) Challenge: שה, שור alone would mislead us must slaughter שה, שור and one of their offspring
 - (b) Answer: that is inferred from אותו only one parent needed
 - (i) Note: this is only valid for חנניה who don't "need" אותו for another חנניה but דרשה does (see below)
 - (ii) Answer: חנניה doesn't require a word to isolate (→ אותו is "extra" and available)
 - 1. Per: אמו ואביו (ר' יונתן 's approach to v. 3 –(contra אמו ואביו (ר' יונתן implies either both or either
- IV Background: רבנן vs. רבנן whether או"ב whether חנניה) or only mother and young (חנניה) or only mother and young (רבנן
 - a אנוח הקן. we might have inferred from שלוח הקן that it only applies to mother, but that is not a good model, as שלוח הקן only applies to "encountered" nests, not one owned by the subject; או"ב applies in all cases;
 - i However: the use of the singular אותו indicates only one parent
 - ii And: once we understand that only one parent is involved, we infer from שלוח הקן that it only applies to mother
 - 1 However: if not convincing (בנו is masculine), we read בנו; i.e. the parent that the child is more attached to
 - b אותו .*חנניה* gives us father; בנו gives us mother
 - c Final ruling (כלאים ח:בי and שמואל): שמואל): wis consistent as he notes that הורה י'r's opinion (כלאים ה:ד) that mules may be mated with each other as long as they all have the same type of mother (donkey or horse) isn't accepted by רבנן, who we read as חנניה, are concerned with the identity of the father and allow all mules to mate

- d tangential question: is ר' יהודה clear that the father's identity is of no consequence or is he doubtful?
 - i Split the difference: if he is sure, then a mule w/donkey mother could mate with a full donkey
 - ii Suggested solution: כלאים ח:ד "all born of a horse may mate with each other"
 - 1 Cannot mean: mating two, each of which has donkey father and horse mother too obvious
 - (a) Must mean: donkey/mare with full horse (→ he is clear that אין חוששין לזרע האב אד (אין חוששין לזרע האב
 - (b) *Rejection*: may refer to two with parallel parentage against possibility that they cannot mate with anyone, as their "other side" mixes in τ that they may
 - iii Suggested solution: ד' יהודה rules that if a mule is in heat, we cannot bring a horse or mule, rather her "own kind"
 - 1 Implication: ד' יהודה is not clear on the impact of the father else we could mate it with its' mother's kind
 - 2 Rejection: in this case, perhaps we don't know what the mother is
 - (a) Challenge: the one acceptable solution is "מינה" so we know what the mother is
 - (b) Rejection: read ברייתא that we cannot bring a horse-type or donkey-type because we don't know מינה
 - (i) Challenge: why not check what type she is, based on her voice (אביי) or ear/tail (ב"ב)?1. Answer: could be mute and amputee (no ears or tail)
 - iv *Final resolution:* ר' הונא בריה דר"י stated that all agree (i.e. even ר' יהודה) that the mule may not be mated with its mother's type → הודה יו is doubtful as to father's impact
 - v *Coda*: אבא 'ז told his servant to divide up mules by their physical characteristics (tail, ears etc.) and allow them to mate within the sub-groups
 - 1 Implication: he isn't concerned with father's impact (אין חוששין לזרע האב)
 - (a) And: דאורייתא are דאורייתא (and sufficient to determine איסור והיתר in an איסור הרבעה איסור תורה