30.5.2; 79b (ת"ר אותו ואת בנו נוהג) → 80a (אמימר שרא תרבייהו)

1. **וְשׁוֹר** אוֹ שֶׂה **אֹתוֹ וְאֶת בְּנוֹ** לֹא תִשְׁחֲטוּ בְּיוֹם אֶחָד: *ויקרא כב, כח* 2. זאת הַבְּהֵמָה אֲשֶׁר תּאכֵלוּ שׁוֹר שֵׂה כְשָּׁבִים וְשֵׂה עִזִּים: אַיָּל וּצְבִי וְיַחְמוּר וְאַקוֹ וְדִישׁן וּתָאוֹ וָזָמֶר: *דברים יד, ד-ה*

- I Dispute between היא היש regarding application of או"ב to a "כוי" (some hybrid of a היה and בהמה מושר)
 - a ביי applies to both כלאים (e.g. mule or hinny) and כוי
 - b לאים only כלאים, not כוי
 - i ה"ה. the "כוי" about which they debated is a mix of a goat and deer
 - 1 Cannot be: that the mother is a deer –if the mother is a deer , $\pi''\pi$ does not apply, per v. $1 \pi''\pi$ " $\pi''\pi$ "
 - 2 But cannot be: a hart with a nanny-goat no one would exempt, as בנו means any offspring (ר"ח)
 - 3 *Rather*: it is a billy-goat with a doe, and the offspring (F) has a baby:
 - (a) שה can even be שה we consider the father's identity **and** שה can even be אסור → מקצת שה
 - (b) א"ז. we do not consider the father's identity and we don't allow for a partial מותר → מותר
 - 4 Challenge: then they should disagree about חוששין לזרע האב (above)
 - (a) Defense: if they only joined that dispute, we would think that in our case we don't allow for partial שוה
 - 5 Challenge: בכורים ב:ט we don't slaughter יו"ט on יו"ט but if it happened, we don't cover דם
 - (a) Explanation: if a billy-goat and doe mated all agree that there should be full אפי׳ מקצת צבי) כיסוי
 - (b) Rather: if a hart with nanny-goat, רבנן should require full מיא and היא should fully exempt
 - (i) Answer: it is a hart with nanny-goat and רבנן are unsure if חוששין לזרע האב
 - (ii) *Inference*: מ"ז must be sure that we do not consider the father's identity at all
 - (iii) Challenge: א"ז dissents re: מתנות כהונה and exempts a דרוע from דרוע etc. and סהונה obligate
 - 1. Explanation: if a billy-goat with doe, why do רבנן obligate? He should be fully exempt from ½, and put the onus of proof on the כהן for the other half (המע"ה)
 - (iv) Rather: must be hart with nanny-goat; רבנן obligate ½,
 - 1. But: ר"א should obligate fully
 - 2. Answer: ר"א is also unsure if חוששין לזרע האב → it may be exempt
 - ii Observation: since רבנן and רבנן are all unsure if חוששין לזרע האב, where is their dispute?
 - 1 Answer: whether or not we allow for a partial שה
 - (a) Therefore (מתנות כהונה can only be a hart with a nanny-goat
 - (i) Since: they disagree about מקצת שה exempts from מתנות and both exempt from כסוי ביו"ט
 - (b) And: regarding או"ב, could be either:
 - (i) Billy-goat with doe: dispute is whether there is an איסור at all
 - (ii) Hart with nanny-goat: dispute is whether there are מכות (but א"ז would agree to an איסור)

II Identity of כוי

- *חכמי בבל* ז
 - i ממה separate genus, רב יהודה didn't determine if בהמה or בהמה
 - ii "7": it is a wild gazelle
- b *חכמי א"י.*
 - i ת״ק. wild gazelle
 - ii יש אומרים. hybrid of billy-goat and doe
 - iii ריוסי. separate genus, חכמים didn't determine if היה or בהמה
 - iv בית ti is fully a בהמה and the people of בית דושאי raise herds of them
- c The status of עיזי דבאלא (wild goats): whether בהמה or בהמה
 - i אירא. fully fit for מזבח, per ר' יצחק who reckons the 10 animals in v. 2
 - 1 Explanation: since it isn't listed among איות but is טהורה, must be a subset of עז
 - 2 Challenge: perhaps we should read איל וצבי etc. as כלל מה כל בהמה → expands to many more חיות
 - 3 Answer: no need for so many פרטים \rightarrow must be exhaustive list
 - 4 Challenge: perhaps it is a sub-genus of זמר or זמר or זמר
 - (a) Note: אמימר allowed people to eat the fats (fully חיה)
 - תאו only disagree about ר' יוסי/רבנן only disagree about תאו
 - 1 הבנן since the תור-בלא it is a type of שור → it is a type of
 - 2 היות since it is listed among הי יוסי. →
 - 3 But: they would agree that עיזי דבאלא are המות (in spite of אמימר) ruling above and challenges above)