30.7.6

## 96a (משנה ב2) → 97a (ליטעמיה קפילא ארמאה)

Note: משנה is presented in our editions with משנה – but since our משנה שנה will not address משנה for a few pages, we will only present משנה ה here and then משנה ה when the משנה ה addresses it

על כֵּן לא **יאכְלוּ** בְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל אֶת גִּיד הַנְּשֶׁה אֲשֶׁר **על כַּף הַיָּרֶד** עַד הַיּוֹם הַזֶּה כִּי נְגַע **בְּכַף** יֶרֶדְ יַעֲקֹב בְּגִיד הַנְּשֶׁה: בראשית לב, לג

- I משנה ב2: various parametric concerns regarding גיד הנשה
  - a Removal: all must be removed
    - i Dissent: ר' יהודה only enough to fulfill מצוות נטילה
  - b Analysis: story שוחט was cutting שמואל (גה"ג directed him to cut further; consoled him that he was right לשיטת ר' יהודה
    - i מה"ת .*ר' ששת*, only the top must be cut; מה"ת וnsists that מדרבנן all must be cut out
- II משנה: Liability for eating: if one eats כזית of it liable
  - However: if one eats a complete גיד which is less than כזית still liable
    - i Dissent: ר' יהודה not liable without eating כזית
  - מכות from each of the left and right gets 2 sets of מכות
    - Dissent: ר' יהודה only one set
- III Analysis: חורה שמואל only forbade that which is at the hip per v. 1
  - משנה this follows the dispute in our משנה
    - i בריה it is a בריה ⇒liable even if less than כזית
    - ii אכילה v. 1 uses ר' יהודה → must be כזית
      - 1 איד that is used to teach that eating a גיד of 1 גיד is also a violation
      - 2 *הירך* infers that from ד' יהודה (v. 1)
        - (a) שמואל use that phrase as per שמואל
        - (b) הירך: ד' יהודה=the entire thigh
          - (i) הירך הבנן the גיד that courses through entire thigh (inner one); but only at כף
          - (ii) Challenge: כף needed to exclude bird, which has no כך
          - (iii) *Answer*: there are two instances of  $\tau$ 0 in v. 2  $\rightarrow$  both can be inferred
- IV משנה: if a thigh is cooked with the גיד in it, if the אסור flavors the thigh it is אסור
  - a *Measure*: use ratio of meat (גיד::) to a turnip (ירך::)
  - b Caveat (שמואל): thigh is only איד if if was cooked, but if it was roasted, cut the thigh and eat it until reaching the גיד
    - i Challenge: אטור ruled that if a kid was roasted with its חלב inside, the entire אטור (even its ear) אטור
    - ii Defense: חלב is different than a גיד it bubbles
      - 1 *Challenge:* יוחנן had a case come before him with a kid roasted with its fat inside and he ruled that they may cut the meat off and eat it until they reach the fat
        - (a) Defense: that was a skinny goat without much fat
        - (b) Variation1: it was a kidney that was roasted the membrane keeps the fat from moving out
        - (c) Variation2: it was דגים טמאים in a pot, and ר' יוחנן directed that they have a non-Jewish cook taste it