30.8.3

105b (אמר אביי מריש הוה אמינא) → 106a (אמר אביי מריש הוה אמינא)

ז. וְכֹל אֲשֶׁר יִגַּע בּוֹ הַזָּב **וְיִדִיו לֹא שְׁטַף בַּמָּיִם** וְכָבֶּס בְּגָדָיו וְרָחַץ בַּמִּיִם וְטָמֵא עַד הָעָרָב: *ייקרא טו, יא*

I Aggadic tangents

- a אנביי originally understood certain practices practically, learned that they were rooted in beliefs about מזיקין
 - For instance: מים אחרונים aren't spilled on ground; thought it was due to being disgusting; learned due to רוח רעה
- b Stories: about ב' חדא (the boat); מר בר ר' אשי (the boat); מר בר ר' אשי (his servant was a שד) בי ר"פ
- c Reports from '"x: people who didn't wash properly and result was terrible tragedy³⁴
 - i מים אחרונים, for not washing מים ראשונים, he was fed הזיר; for not washing מים, he divorced his wife
 - ii נבלה for not washing מ"ר, he was fed מ"א, he washing מ"א, he killed his wife
 - 1 Note: מ"א ;חזיר → מ"ה had both "severe" versions: מ"ה → killed her

II More נטילת ידיים of נטילת

- a Washing from heated water: חזקיה may not use; ר' יוחנן may use
 - i הייוחנן and he testified that all גדולי גליל do so
- b Washing from מני טבריה (geothermally heated water): חזקיה may not use for טבילת, but may dip his hands (טבילת ידיים)
 - i טבילת גופו) but not his hands, feet or face טבילת גופו) but not his hands, feet or face
 - ii *Challenge*: if he may put his whole body in, certainly face, hands and feet may be dipped
 - 1 Answer (ב״ב): all agree that if the waters are *in situ*, they may dip
 - (a) And: all agree that if they take the water out with a כלי, they may not be used
 - (b) Disagreement: if they dug a channel and dipped his hands there
 - (i) כלי prohibited concern that they may use a כלי
 - (ii) חזקיה permitted no such concern
 - 2 Follows: dispute among תואים regarding water that if too foul for even animals to drink
 - (a) קרקע it may be used in קרקע (e.g. pit) but not כלי
 - (b) אישב"א. he may dip his body, but not hands, feet, face
 - (i) Challenge (as above): and answer only in channel dug off as גזרה

III Basis of נטילת ידים

- a תכמים (i.e. חכמים made decree that all hands are automatically considered חכמים מולין. reason for "ענית for חכמים (i.e. חכמים made decree that all hands are automatically considered מצוה מצוה לטומאה" and must wash to eat חכמים (חולין enacted rule to wash for מצוה מצוה לטומאה").
 - i Question: which "מצוה"
 - 1 Answer1: חכמים to listen to
 - 2 Answer2 (מצוה to listen to דרשה and his דרשה on v. 1; this alludes to נט"י on v. 1; this alludes to
 - (a) Explanation (נט"י sin't טהור isn't טהור ; rather, hints that another person does become נט"י, via ני"י
- b Tangent: נט"י for fruit
 - i ד' אושעיא. only enacted for cleanliness (not ritual purity)
 - 1 In other words (רבא): he holds that it is neither obligatory nor מצוה but permitted (רשות)
 - 2 contra: בירות anyone who washes for פירות is considered haughty (i.e. it is forbidden)
 - (a) Story: רבב"ח was with ר' אמי ור' אסי; they were brought a basket of fruit
 - (i) They did not: wash → there is no requirement of נט"י for fruit
 - (ii) They did not: give him any → there is no זימון (of 3) over פירות
 - (iii) They said: ברכה אחרונה separately → when 2 eat, it is preferable that they bless separately
 - 1. ברייתא: supports this,
 - a. Caveat: only if both are learned
 - i. But if: if one is ignorant, the learned one blesses and the יוצא via his ברכה

_

 $^{^3}$ He didn't wash מים ראשונים; they assumed him to be non-Jewish and fed him איסור;

⁴ He didn't wash מ"α and thereby clean the food off his moustache; by knowing what he had eaten, they got secret information from his wife