30.8.8

111b (לדידיה נמי אסור - תיקו) → 113a (לדידיה נמי אסור - תיקו)

ו. אֵלֶה **הַטְמֵאִים** לָכֶם בְּכָל הַשְׁרֶץ כָּל הַנֹּגֵע בָּהֶם בְּמֹתָם יִטְמָא עַד הָעָרֶב:*ויקרא יא, לא*

- I מעם) מיש and מעם) נ״ט בר נ״ט with 2 degrees of separation)
 - a *dispute דב/שמואל* fish that was placed in a meat bowl after roasting
 - i מותי may not be eaten with כותח (dairy dish)
 - ii שמואל may be eaten with it the meat taste is נ״ט בר נ״ט בר נ״ט
 - 1 determining 27's "real" position: it wasn't stated explicitly but inferred from one of two stories
 - (a) 27. was given a poultice, prepared in a bowl; later, food put in that bowl had the flavor of the poultice
 - (i) *he*: commented that it retained the test they inferred that he held טעם::נ״ט בר נ״ט בר נ״ט
 - (ii) *rejection*: it was excessively bitter and therefore carried the test "further" than usual
 - (b) שמואל (a student of refused to eat w/שמואל): was with שמואל, was served such fish and refused to eat w/שמואל): was with כותח/ש
 - (i) איז commented that he had served ישמואל s teacher (רב) and he had eaten (רב) אשמואל או האמ
 - (ii) די when asked about this by ר"א, denied that שמואל would feed him something he forbade
 - b related story: תלמיד רב) and אשי בר אשי (תלמיד שמואל) were on opposite sides of bridge
 - i *ר״ה*: ate such fish with כותח
 - ii *רחב״א* ate fruit during meal without a separate ברכה ate fruit during meal without a separate ברכה
 - *each*: defended his decision (which went against his teacher's practice)
 - (a) *ה"ה* held like נ"ט בר נ"ט) שמואל is permitted)
 - (b) *רחב״א*. held like ברכה ר' חייא on bread "exempts" all other foods
 - c final rulings (תזקיה): such fish may be eaten with כותח); radish cut with a "meat knife" may not be eaten w/כותח)
 - i *caveat*: that only applies to a radish, which, as it is sharp, absorbs meat taste, but a cucumber is mild just scrape off meat; turnip stalks are mild (→permitted) but beet stalks are sharp; but if he cuts these and turnip stalks מותר
 - d *question (ר״נ fo ר׳ דימי*): may a jar of salt be placed next to a jar of a contract of a jar of salt be placed next to a j
 - i *answer*: forbidden (milk may fall in and he may then use that salt on meat)
 - ii *followup question*: may he place vinegar next to the milk?
 - 1 answer: permitted
 - (a) *justifying the distinction*: the milk is discernible in salt and generates טעם; it is dissolved in חומץ and no טעם and no וומץ iii *story*; bird fell into a vat of חומץ בריה דרבא permitted it to be eaten
 - 1 איז praised ruling; מליח::רותח's equation of מליח::רותח' is only if it isn't eaten due to the salt (heavily salted)
 - (a) *caveat*: if the bird were roasted, it would need to have outer layer peeled off to be eaten
 - (i) *and*: if it had cuts in it or were seasoned entire bird would be forbidden
- II Various אימרות of יר"נ and יר"נ:

b

i

- a *cutting roasted meat over bread*: bread is forbidden (due to blood from meat)
 - i caveat: only iff the bread is reddish, from side to side and the liquid coming from the meat is viscous; (not clear)
 1 practices: even so, שמואל, rom side to side and the liquid coming from the meat is viscous; (not clear)
 1 practices: even so, ate it, calling it יה לוגא (it clear)
 - collecting fats underneath roast: may not do so until all the red has gone out of meat
 - i *test*: when the smoke rises in a column from the fire
 - 1 *challenge*: perhaps the bottom side is drained, but not yet the top
 - 2 אשי indeed the only solution is to place two big balls of salt and then pour it out carefully to another לי
 - ii *challenge*: how could שמואל have permitted the cup (after the redness is out) after his ruling about the bread
 - 1 *answer*: when cutting meat, the blood is pushed out and more likely to be אוסר
- c אסור if he salted raw fish and fowl together, they are אסור
 - clarification: if the bowl had holes, should be מותר; if not, even fowl and fowl would be אסור
 - 1 *answer*: bowl has holes; but since fish have soft skin, they absorb from fowl (before bowl drains דם) then fowl re-absorb דם

- ii story: רבר רחל had proper meat salted with טריפה and came to רב for direction
 - 1 אדבא. v. 1 extends to juice etc. →even though meat cannot absorb (busy exuding), juices are פולט into meat
 - 2 question: why didn't א'רבא use שמואל's dictum מליח::רותח to prohibit?
 (a) answer: שמואל's statement alone may have meant the blood only רבא's citation extends to juice/gravy etc.
 - 3 *challenge*: ברייתא if he salted מותר, דג טהור with מותר, דג טהור
 - (a) *assumption*: both were salted
 - (b) *rejection*: in this case, only דג טהור was salted
 - (i) *challenge*: מותר) presents that case if only טהור was salted (מותר)
 - (ii) *defense*: דג טהור is merely explaining רישא case of רישא was salted
 - 1. proposed proof: else, סיפא is too obvious (if מותר when both salted, certainly מותר only)
 - 2. *rejection*: סיפא could have been there to direct understanding of רישא
 - a. explanation: teaches that רישא is when they are both salted, nonetheless מותר
 - 3. challenge: from אסור of next passage if אסור alone was salted טיפא is now אסור אסור אסור
 - a. *implication*: if both were salted, טהור would still be permitted
 - 4. defense: that last clause is written in parallel construction with first clause,
 - a. *but*: both salted may yet be אסור
- d שמואל. the only way to get blood out of meat is by very thorough salting and very thorough washing
 - i *ד' הונא*: salt and then wash

i

- ii ברייתא: wash, salt and then wash
 - 1 *no disagreement*: if butcher already washed, no need for preparatory washing
- iii אנהרדעא would salt with coarse salt and then shake it off
- iv אדרשיא. we don't assume that internal organs contain blood
 - 1 *referring to*: rectum, small intenstines and colon
- e שמואל salted must be left to sit over perforated כלי only
 - ד' ששת. would never salt two pieces together
 - 1 *challenge*: if that's in order to avoid exuding דם from one to the other, same would exist with one piece
 - 2 *rather*: there is no difference (→מותר)
- f שמואל (*in the name of חייא*): if he breaks the neck of an animal (after שמואל) before it dies, he causes the meat to become heavy, thereby robbing people (who are paying for meat by weight) and putting blood into the organs
 - i *question*: is this all one consideration, anchored in גול, in which case he may do so for meat he's eating himself
 - 1 or: is it forbidden even for him (due to restriction of דתיקו (דם)