31.9.3 *55b (משנה גו) → 56b (משנה גו*)

- ז. מְלֵאָתְךּ וְדִמְעֲךָ לֹא תְאַחֵר בְּכוֹר בָּנֶיךְ תִּתֶּן לִּי:שמו*ת כב, כח* 2. **כֵּן תַּעֲשֶׂה** לְשׁרְךָ לְצֹאנֶךְ שַׁבְעַת יָמִים יְהְיֶה עָם אָמוֹ בַּיּוֹם הַשְּׁמִינִי תִּתְּנוֹ לִי:ש*מות כב, כט* 3. לֹא תָבִיא **אֶתְנוְ זוֹנָה וּמְחִיר כֶּלֶב** בֵּית ה' אֱלֹהֶיךְ לְכַל נֶדֶר כִּי **תוֹעֲבַת** ה' אֱלֹהֶיךְ גַּם שְׁנֵיהֶם: *דברים כג, יט* 4. וְלֹא יְחַלֵּל זְ**רְעוֹ** בְּעַמָּיו כִּי אֲנִי ה' מְקַדְשׁוֹ: י*יקרא כא, טו* 5. וְאֶת זָכָר לֹא תִשְׁכַּב מִשְׁכְּבֵי אִשָּׁה **תּוֹעֲבָה** הָוֹא: *ייקרא יח, כב*
- I משנה גו exemption of
 - a if: the animal was bought or given to him as a present exempt from מע"ב
 - b source: v. 1 compares בכור בהמה טהורה to בכור בהמה
 - i just as: sons aren't bought or given as present so too בכור בהמה must be "his own"
 - 1 but: this can't really apply to קדוש ברחם, which has no עשייה ("כן תעשה"), since it is קדוש ברחם
 - 2 therefore: we apply it to מע"ב
 - (a) and not: applied to חטאת ואשם; must be similar to בנך which isn't חטא due to חטא due to חטא
 - (b) and not: applied to עולה ושלמים; must be similar to בגך which isn't voluntarily sanctified
 - (c) and not: applied to עולת ראייה; must be similar to בנך, who has no set time
 - 3 challenge: just as בנך cannot be, in any manner, associated with a transaction; so too with מע"ב
 - (a) however: מע"ב ruled that if you buy 10 animals in utero, they all go into the corral for מע"ב
 - (counting) does the limitation of מש"ח "תעשה" only at point of עש"ה (counting) does the limitation of apply
 - (i) challenge (א"מ): ruling that מחוסר זמן applies even to מחוסר זמן (animal <8 days old)
 - (ii) answer: that is an errant transmission; if it is correct, atttibute it to מין בן יהודה בשם ר"ש מעון בן יהודה ב"ש מעון ב"
 - (iii) מחוסר זמן מחוסר the corral; just like בכור
 - 1. just as: קדוש is קדוש (at birth) before it may be brought, but is only offered לאחר זמנו (day $8 \rightarrow$)
 - 2. so too: מע"ב can enter the corral and be marked early, but only offered after day 7
 - ii related ruling: אנתן זונה taught before אנתן זונה (v. 3) which enters the דיר if he gave it to the זונה then bought it back
 - 1 challenge: it should be excluded as a לקוח
 - 2 block; challenger forgot ruling of מע"ב (above) if he bought animal in utero, it is included in מע"ב
 - (a) (note: in other words, the אתנן may have been in utero the whole time)
 - (b) challenge: why require that he buy it back? She could bring it into her corral
 - (i) answer: the ישראלית isn't ישראלית
 - (ii) challenge: why doesn't the מנא define the case as a זונה ישראלית and she puts it into her corral
 1. answer: מונה אביי who has ביאה with her doesn't violate v. 4
 - 2. but: זונה ישראלית doesn't fit criteria for כהן שאה has ביאה with her does violate v. 4
 - a. source: קידושין (vv. 3::5) from עריות are all relationships where קידושין cannot occur
 - b. therefore: only applies to גויה
 - c. and: מחולל who has relations with her doesn't violate v. 4, as it must be his "seed" that is מחולל
 - i. and: any child he has with a זונה גויה isn't his "seed" (no חייס)