31.1.2

3a (... יוחכמים אומרים כל זמן שיד → 3b (וחכמים אומרים כל זמן שיד)

- ַר. קַדֶּשׁ לִי **כָל בְּכוֹר בֶּטֶ**יְר כָּל רֶחֶם בִּבְנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל בָּאָדָם וּבַבְּהַמָּה לִי הוּא: שמות יג, ב
 - 2. כָּל פֵּטֵר רֲחֵם לִי וְכַל מְקָנָךְ תְּזַבֶר פֵּטֵר שור וָשֵה: שמות לד, יט
- ב. וְהַעֲבַרָתָּ כָל פֶּטֶר רֶחֶם לַה' וְכָל **פָּטֶר שָגֶר בְּהַמֶּה** אֲשֶׁר יִהְיֶה לְךָּ הַזְּכָרִים לַה': שמו*ת יג, יב*
 - יר וְשֶׁה שָ**ּרוּעַ** וְקָלוּט נְדָבָה תַּעֲשֶׂה אֹתוֹ וּלְנֵדֶר לֹא יֵרְצֶה: ייקרא כב, כג 4
- . **אַישׁ אִישׁ** מָדֵּע אַהָרֹן וְהוֹא צַרוּע אוֹ זָב בַּקַדְשִׁים לא יאכל עד אַשֶּׁר יְטָהַר וְהַנֹּגַע בַּכָל טְמֵא נָפַשׁ אוֹ אִישׁ אַשֶּׁר תָּצֶא מְמָנוּ שָׁכְבַּת זַרְע: *ייקרא כב, ד*
- I Analysis of dispute במרה (in ברייתא brought above) if any of non-Jew's ownership exempts from בכורה
 - a בל בכור (not הושע) both positions are anchored in interpretation of v. 1 כל בכור
 - i בכור .*דבנן* implies any ownership, כל בכור "bumps it" to full ownership
 - ii בכור *ד' יהודה* implies full ownership; כל בכור "degrades it" to any ownership
 - b Or: all agree that בכור implies a majority ownership; dispute if כל raises it to full or lowers it to any stake
- II Discussion how much ownership of a non-Jew exempts his Jewish partner from בכורה?
 - a *ד' הונא:* even if the ear is owned by the non-Jew
 - i Challenge (מהי): why doesn't the כהן tell him to "take the ear off and give the animal to me"?
 - b א' מסדא. any limb which, if missing, would render the animal a נבילה
 - c אבא any limb which, if missing, would render the animal a טריפה
 - i Note: their disagreement is whether or not טריפה can live (רבא cannot; ר"ח can live)
 - ii *In other words*: they agree that the ownership must be in a vital organ
 - iii בכור doesn't disagree; he is referring to the בכור, whereas ר"ם are referring to mother
 - 1 Block (פ"ב): we require full ישראל due to כל מקנך תזכר; require same for mother − כל מקנך תזכר; cv. 2)
 - d Challenge (מבר בה"א): why is this ruling different than that of נפלים (per v. 3) even miscarriage which is דכור?
 - i Answer: in that case, all the "קודש" (such as it is) is owned by ישראל; here, "חולין" is mixed in with
 - e בטור "מום קל" reported as having said that even if גוי owned a limb, the removal of which would constitute מיום קל"
 - i And: he commented on בכורות ב:ו if ewe birthed goat-like kid or vice-versa פטורה
 - 1 However; if it had some similarities to mother קדוש
 - 2 מום קבוע this is a מום קבוע and is therefore slaughtered as כהן by חולין
 - ii אלעזר (who heard report): 1st comment is understood supports ר' הונא אריד (romports אלעזר הונא
 - 1 However: his comment on בנורות ו:ח is unclear − בכורות ו:ח already states that if the mouth is like that of a פטור
 - (a) Proposed response: there, it has similarities to animal that isn't קדוש בבכורה; here, both (ewe/goat) are קדוש
 - (b) Rejection: בכורות ו:י if it has one big eye or one small eye
 - (i) And: we learned that "big" is bovine; "small" is like goose (understood goose has no קדושת בכורה)
 - (ii) Rather: it is because the animal is "odd" it is a מום
 - 1. Rejection: in the case of the unmatched eyes, it is considered שרוע (v. 4)
 - Support: שרוע כonsiders all מומי בהמה (including שרוע) and adds if both eyes are small or large (but matched) → with animal, it is the fact that they are unmatched which is the מום
 - 3. Explanation: re: אדם, we require כהנים (v. 5) → same as other כהנים
 - a. *Block*: perhaps the "animal eyes" are due to שני, but we could explain matched eyes (large or small) as due to its physical condition; but if unmatched, due to שני
- III Practical ruling (רבא): convert whose (non-Jewish) brothers entrusted her with animals for fattening came to רבא
 - a Asking him: if she had to be concerned about giving בכורה
 - b Answer: no one is חושש for ד' יהודה 's opinion; co-ownership with a non-Jew certainly exempts
- IV Story of ר. he would grant rights to ear of בכור when *in utero*, nonetheless treat it like בכור (no work or shearing) and give it to בכור and, as a punishment, his animals would die!
 - a Question: once he made קנין לגוי, why treat like בכור
 - b Answer1: he was concerned that בכור might inadvertently shear or work it wanted to formally desanctify
 - c Answer2: he knew how to make a proper קנין; others watching him wouldn't know and would do it improperly
 - i Question: why did his animals die?
 - ii Answer: he removed them from קדושת בכורה;
 - 1 Even though: רבא ruled that one may make a מום on a בכור while in utero
 - 2 However: in that case, he only disqualifies them from מזבח; here, he totally removes