31.1.6; 6b (ת"ר רחל שילדה מין עז) \rightarrow 7b (אי תניא תניא)

- אַדְּ בְּכוֹר שׁוֹר אוֹ בְכוֹר כֶשֶׁב אוֹ בְכוֹר עֵז לֹא תִפְּדֶּה לְדֶשׁ הַם אֶת דָּמָם תִּזְרֹק עַל הַמִּזְבֵּח וְאֶת חֶלְבָּם תַּקְטִיר אִשֶּׁה לְרֵיחַ נִּיחֹחַ לַה': במדבר יח, יז
 אַדְּ אֶת זֶה לֹא תֹאכְלוֹ מִפְּעֻלֵי הַגָּרְה וּמְפֵּבְּרִטָּד הַפַּרְסָה אֶת הַנְּמֶל כִּי מִעֲלֵה גַרָה הוֹא וּפַרְסָה אֵינֶנוּ מַפְרִיס טָמֵא הוֹא לָכֶם: ייִקרא יא, ד
 זאת הַבְּהֵמֶה אֲשֶׁר תֹאכֵלוּ שׁוֹר שֵׁה כְשֶׁבִים וְשֵׁה עָזִים: זברים יד, ד
 אַדְּ אֶת זֶה תֹאכְלוֹ מָכֹּל שֶׁרֵץ הַעוֹף הַהֹלְּךְ עַל אַרְבַּע אֲשֵׁר כֹּא לֹו בְרַעִים מְפַעֵל לְרַגַּלִיוֹ לְנַתֵּר בְּהָן עַל הַאַרְץ: ייִקרא יא, כֹא
- I ברייתא: if a ewe births a goat-like kid or vice-versa פטורה; if it has some similarities to the mother
 - a ת"בת if it has some similarities to the mother חייבת
 - b ש"ז. head and majority of body must be similar to mother
 - i Question: does אור"ש have the same requirement for permissibility of eating?
 - 1 Lemma1: re: בכור , we have v. 1 which raises requrement of similarity, but v. 2 only prohibits "pure" גמל
 - 2 *Lemma2*: the requirements are the same
 - ii proposed solution: ברייתא (which must be הורה births a בהמה טהורה births a אטור באכילה, which must be בהמה טהורה births a בהמה טהורה באכילה
 - 1 but if: head and majority of body look like mother חייב בבכורה
 - 2 evidently: אכילה is satisfied with ראשו ורובו for אכילה as well
 - 3 rejection: he only reckons that for חיוב בבכורה
 - (a) support: in the סיפא, he "abandoned" איסור אכילה and mentioned חיוב בכורה
 - (b) rejection: he also allows for it אכילה; he mentioned בכורה due to v. 1 מ"ל נאם it must be fully קמ"ל כאם
 - iii proposed solution: ברייתא dispute ר"א/ר"י re permissibility of eating hybrid of סהורה and ממא
 - 1 ייד. only if both are טימן (per v. 3), even if it looks somewhat טמא (only 1) per v. 2
 - 2 א"ז, the text is coming to extend permission even if father is טמא
 - (a) note; they refer to the young as טמא (like י"ש) but permit eating (proof that his standard is relaxed)
 - (b) rejection: they agree with him about definition but are more lenient about permissibility of eating
 - 3 note: some read this ברייתא and challenge from ריב"ל mixes (including מהורה/טמא) can't breed
 - (a) defense: referent is calf with cloven hooves, per ש"ז
 - (i) *note*: if so, we see that ר"ש allows them to be eaten
 - (ii) defense: this תנא agrees with him about definition, more liberal re: eating
 - iv *observation*: seems as if ר' א holds that ד' הושע is permitted; ר' יהושע holds that it is forbidden
 - 1 however: we find the opposite (ה:ה) איש prohibits that offspring of a מזבח (father) to the מזבח
 - 2 answer: usually שה holds that זו"ז גורם אסור, but in our case, the extra word שה expands
 - (a) and: יהושע usually holds בבשים and כבשים and מותר indicates both parents
- ע ברייש: דרייתא reads גמל גמל as disallowing a full camel and one born of a cow, unless a majority and head are like פרה II Further analysis of משנה – anything that comes from a שמא is טמא
 - a Question: asked of מי-רגלים is donkey מי-רגלים permissible? (i.e. as יוצא מן הטמא
 - i Note: not asked about other equines, as their urine is clear, wouldn't be confused with milk, unlike חמור
 - ii Clarification of question: is it catalyzed by body (→אסור) or "water in-water out" (מותר)? (cloudiness from body heat)
 - iii Answer: from our משנה, notes מן הטמא and this is also מן הטמא (i.e. looks like milk →אסור) מן מווא (i.e. looks like milk אסור)
 - b Alternate version: they didn't ask about horses/camels as no one drinks it; people drink donkey's מי רגלים (for jaundice?)
 - i Answer: from our משנה since it comes from ממא animal, it is forbidden
 - Challenge: תוספתא בכורות א:ת (var) reason that bee's honey is permitted is that it goes through their body, not from it
 - d Defense: אטור holds like היתר, who sources the היתר for bee's honey in v4 only body, not by-product of אטור is אטור
 - i Exception: permission does not extend to wasp or cicada honey
 - ii Reason: bee's honey is just called "דבש", without a modifier
 - iii Note: opinion that these honeys are "טהור" and may be eaten is contra ר' יעקב
 - 1 שהור implying that it requires intent (to be used as food) for קבלת טומאת אוכלין
 - 2 Inference: דבש דבורים does not require intent (supporting ברייתא
 - e אסור chunks that come from the womb of a fallow deer; students proposed that they are like eggs (אסור הלי דיחמורתא
 - i שפרא. they are semen from gazelles (who couldn't mate with does)
 - f א' הונא. the cowl of the donkey is permitted it is just a secretion and not part of the mother
 - i איז support from ברייתא same with human, whether alive or dead is טהור
 - 1 Assumption: regardless of whether newborn and mother are both alive or both dead?
 - 2 Rejection: means as long as one of them is alive
 - 3 Block: טהור explicitly declares טהור even if both are dead.