31.3.2

 $20b \ (...$ אני לא אני לא אמר רבי עקיבא אני לא $\Rightarrow 21b \ ($ אמר תליא מילתא)

ו. **וְהַעְבַרְתָּ** כָל פָּטֶר רֶחֶם לַה' וְכָל פָּטֶר שֶׁנֶר בְּהֵמָה אֲשֶׁר יְהְיֶה לְךְּ הַזְּכָרִים לָה': שמות יג, יב 2. וְכָל מַעְשֵׁר בָּקָר וָצֹאן כֹּל אֲשֶׁר יַ**עֲבֹר** תַחַת הַשָּׁבֶט הָעֲשִׂירִי יִהְיֶה קֹדֶשׁ לַה': *ויקרא כּוּלב*

- I Continued analysis of ברייתא, specifically disagreement between ר"ע and ר"ע
 - a *Proposal*: they disagree as to whether nursing is a sign of having birthed
 - i איי. most animals that nurse have birthed \rightarrow if nursing, already ביכרה
 - ii ד"י. a minority of them nurse without birthing → may be לא ביכרה
 - 1 *Challenge:* חושש למיעוט is not חושש למיעוט, per his dissent re: mother-in-law who left town pregnant (and she had no other brothers-in-law and her husband subsequently died w/o children)
 - (a) "". a majority of pregnant women have live births, but 50% have daughters; add that to minority who miscarry → חליצה that she didn't have living son, therefore, widow may remarry w/o חליצה
 - 2 Rather: reverse alignment → maintains that nursing is a בטור disagrees
- II מע"ב a kid that had triplets (all F) and they each had triplets all enter corral together for מע"ב
 - a מעשר saw that she was מעשר during the year (i.e. mother was also in same corral for מע"ב
 - i *Question*: why the need for 3x3? 2 of them could have had 2 each+3+3 mothers=10
 - 1 Answer: since at least one of them has to have 3, it was easier to teach 3x3
 - ii *Question*: why have 3 from any of them (which is unusual)? Why not structure the case so that each has 2 and then mother has another 1? (3+6+1=10)?
 - 1 Answer: perhaps the author holds that מטופת cannot later have a child during that year
 - (a) Correction: perhaps מטנפת could have a child during the year; but not a יילדת
 - iii Analysis of ""'s dissent: wherein lies their disagreement?
 - 1 Possibility #1: they disagree about whether to accept אינירי assessment that טינוף is at least 30 days
 - 2 Possiblity #2: they agree to accept זעירי; disagree if animals have abbreviated gestations
 - 3 Possibility #3: all agree that gestations are only full-term; they disagree about מקצת היום ככולו in that case
 - 4 Possibility #4: all agree to apply מחוסר זמן; disagree if ממ"ב (before day #8) enters corral for מע"ב
 - (a) Supporting מע"ב ומן ר"ש :ברור enteres corral for מע"ב; just like בכור
 - (i) קדוש before it is fit for offering, so too with מעשר בהמה
 - (ii) Challenge: why not infer from קדשים which aren't קדוש until they are in a fit זמן?
 - 1. Answer: בכור is more similar to מעשר
 - a. גואל cannot be redeemed, even if it gets a מום
 - b. קדושה מום applies to them, even if בעלי מום
 - c. תמורת (unlike תמורת) is not offered
 - d. אכילה eaten by proper owners, even if בעלי מום
 - 2. Challenge: קדשים are more similar to מעשר
 - a. זכר could be male or female, unlike בכור
 - b. קדוש requires sanctification, unlike בכור
 - c. בכור not a gift to בכור, unlike בכור
 - d. פשוט applies to all animals, even non first-born (unlike בכור
 - 3. Answer: he infers it via מי"ט of העברה:העברה (vv. 1-2)
- III Miscellany
 - a Defintion of "רב" שיטיעף per shepherds' report an animal that blocked the embryo; אוני blood bubbles
 - b Requirement to show רועה חכם means רועה חכם (experienced shepherd); חכם isn't familiar
 - c א חסדא. we have a tradition of 40 days of gestation before וולד: we have a tradition of 40 days of gestation before וולד.
 - i אביי (אביי אר): isn't this answered by זעירי (30 days for טינוף)?
 - ii Rejection: that is for mating; she won't mate for 30 days afterwards
- IV Revisiting case of משנה buying from non-Jew; what about buying an animal from ישראל?
 - a מייב \leftarrow assume it hasn't yet birthed (חייב \leftarrow); if it had, the owner would have bragged about it
 - b שמיאל; he may not have announced it as he thought the buyer purchased for שחיטה, and it doesn't matter
 - c מטור (משור assume it already bore a child (→); else, he would have notified the buyer
 - i Proposed support: ברייתא if seller didn't notify about selling mother/child on same day, שוחט w/o concern
 - ii *Block*: in that case, it is the seller's responsibility; here, it is buyer's onus