31.9.4

56b (משנה ג2) → 57b (משנה ג2)

Note: א החצית שקל as an obligatory addition to האלבון, this small coin was mandated by משנה as an obligatory addition to משנה whenever someone was using their own money to pay the "tax"; if they were using redeeming another, the obligation was relaxed. Therefore, if brothers were paying their debt from father's estate, no א חלבון another was needed – they were not considered partners, rather still on father's "dole". Once they divided the property and each took his own portion, they were cosidered partners and would then be paying of their own funds and be מייבים

ז. כָל פֶּטֶר רֶחֶם לְכָל בָּשֶׁר אֲשֶׁר יָקִרִיבוּ לַה' בָּאָדָם וּבַבְּהַמָּה יִחָּיָה לָּךְ אַךְּ פָּדָה תִפְּדָה אַת בְּכוֹר הָאָדָם וְצַבְּרָכָם וְצָהְיָבָם וְצָהְרָכֵם וְצָהְיָבָם וְצָהְרָבָם וְצָארְנַסְם וְצָתְרָבָם וְצָהְרָבָם וְצָהְרָבָם וְנְדְרֵיכֶם וְנְדְרֵיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְנְדְבֹיכֶם וְצָאָר וְחָיָה שְׁבְעַת הַשְּׁבֶעת יָמִים תְּחָת אָמוֹ וּמִיוֹם הַשְּׁמִינִי וְהָלְאָה יֵרְצָה לְּקְרְבֵּן אִשֶּׁה לַה':ייקרא כב, כו
זומָד בֶּן וְצָה וֹלָא תַלְּרְיבֵּו אֶת לֶחֶם אֲלֹהִיכֶם מְכָּל אֵלֶה כִּי מְשְׁחָתָם בָּהָם מוֹם בָּם לֹא יֵרְצוּ לָכֶם: ייקרא כב, כה
וַּלְאה אֲלְהִים אֶת הָאָרֶץ וְהַבָּה וֹשְׁחְתָּה כִּי הְשָׁחָתְת כַּלְ בְּשֶׁר אֶת דַּרְכּוֹ עַל הָאָרֶץ: בִּראשׁית וּ,יבּ
וַלֵּא אֵלְהִים אָת הָאָרֶץ וְהֹבָּה וֹשְׁחְתָּה כִּי הְשְׁחָת כָּל בְּשֶׁר אֶת דַּרְכּוֹ עַל הָאָרֶץ: בִּראשׁית וּ,יב
וַלֵּא שְׁחָתוּן וְצְשִׁיתִם לְכֵם פֶּטֶל תְּמוּנָת כָּל סְמֶל תַּבְנִית זָכָר אוֹ וְבֶּבְה בִּבִיל וֹא יִנְבְּטוֹ וּוְאם הְמֵב יְמִירְנוּ וֹא בְּבִיל לְא יִבְּנִים וֹנְשְׁלְחִית שְבָּב וֹלְא יְמִינְנוּ וְבְשׁר הָאוֹר וְיִבְשׁה תְּבְּר בָּיִין טוֹב לְרֵא וְיִבְּיה וְשִׁשִׁת יְמִים בְּעָבִי וְבְּבְר בִּין וֹבְב לְצִים הָּבְעִים בְּנִיבְים וְבְעִים בְּבִיים בְּבְרָה תְּסִיְה וְנִבְיה וֹם וְשַשֶּׁת יִמִים תֵּשֵב עַל דְּמֵי טְהָרָה: יִקְלִים נָצְלָסָה אִם צֶּבְרָה תַסִידָה וְנִצְה: מִשְר לּט,יִיב לְּיִיר בְּיִלְיִם בְּעָלְסָה אִם צֶּבְרָה תַּלְיִבְה וֹם בְּלָבְיה בְּבִי בְּיִבְיה בְּיִבְיה בְּיִבְיה בְּיִבְיה בְּיִבְיה וֹם בְּבִי בְּשְׁת בְּבִיר הָּבְיוֹב עַל דְבָּים בְּבִיבְם בְּבִיים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִיים בְּבִיל בְּיִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבְּיב בְּיל בְּבְיבִי בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבִים בְּבְיבְבְים בְּבְיבְיבְים בְּבִיבְים וְבְּבְּבְים בְּבִיבְּים בְּבְיבְים בְּבְיבְיבְים בְּבִיבְּים בְּבְיבְיבְים בְּבְיבְים בְּבְיבִים וְבְּבְיבְים בְּבְיבְים בְּיִבְּבְיִים בְּשְׁבְּים בְּבְיבְּים בְּבִיל בְּיִים בְּבְיּבְיוּבְיְיְבְיבְבְיבְּבְיבְים בְּבְ

- I משנה if brothers or partners are liable for קבלון (see note), they are exempt from משנה and vice versa
 - a If: they took the animals from the estate פטורים; if not פטורים
 - b Explanation: if they took their separate portions and then (re)joined as partners exempt from מע"ב (and מיבין בקלבון)
 - i Source (for exemption of שותפין implies only one owner לך 1. מע"ב implies only one owner
 - מע"ב ontext of that verse is בכור, which cannot exclude partnership (per בקרכם v.2) →apply to מע"ב
 - ii חייבין all four possibilities exist פטורין in both, מירכין from both, חייבין in one or the other
 - 1 Obligated in both: if they only divided father's moneys but not animals
 - 2 Exempt from both: if they only divided father's animals but not money
 - 3 Obligated in קלבון only: if they divided both
 - 4 Exempt from קלבון only: if they divided neither
 - (a) Challenge: this entire schema is obvious
 - (b) Answer: 2nd clause is needed; vi's since they divided animals, they've indicated intent to fully divide
 - (i) And therefore: consider as if moneys were also divided → חייבין בקלבון
 - iii Disputes among 2nd generation אמוראים: related to issue of ברירה with inheritance (is portion given out a clarification of original claim יש ברירה?)
 - 1 Dividing by kind (אמוראי בבל): if they divided kids and lambs evenly (as opposed to kids against lambs)
 - (a) דב ענן in this case, when they re-partner, it is as if they are at pre-divide and יש ברירה) to tithe (יש ברירה)
 - (b) ז". even in this case, once they've divided, even if they re-partner, considered (אין ברירה) (פטורין (שותפין)
 - Dividing by size, number (אמוראי ארץ ישראל): if they divided 10 against 10 (same size) (as opposed to 9 [bigger]/10)
 - (a) אלעזר in this case, when they re-partner, it is as if they are at pre-divide and י" to tithe (יש ברירה) to tithe (יש ברירה)
 - (b) יוחנן even in this case, when they re-partner, considered (פטורין \leftarrow) שותפין ברירה (פטורין
 - (i) Note: ייחון is consistent, as he ruled that brothers who divide are considered יי יוחון (re: ייבל)
 - (ii) *Justification*: if we only had our dispute, א"ס due to comparison with בנך clear it is your son 1. *But*: in the case of ירושה, only sales revert, not ירושה
 - 2. And: if we were only taught about סד"א, יובל since that is לכתחילה (or לכתחילה) but not here קמ"ל
 - (iii) Challenge (to position of תמורה ו:ג: (יש ברירה) if 9 animals+dog were swapped for 10 animals
 - 1. Then: the 10 are all מחיר כלב as מחיר כלב; the 9 are מותרים
 - 2. But: if we hold יש ברירה, only 1 of 10 (corresponding to dog) should be אסור
 - 3. Answer (ר' אשיי): if they all had equal value, that would be the ruling
 - a. But: in this case, they weren't of equal value dog is worth a bit more than 1 lamb
 - i. Therefore: the base value corresponds to 1 lamb; the surplus "bleeds" to other 9→אסורים

- II משנה ד exempted animals from מע"ב
 - a All are included: except hybrid, טריפה, born via C-section, מחוסר זמן (before 8th day of life) and "orphan"
 - i "orphan" (יתום): if it was born after mother died or was slaughtered
 - ii Dissent: יתום even if mother was died; if pelt is still whole, not a יתום
 - b Source: v. 3 (in re: general קדשים)
 - i שור או כשב. excludes hybrid
 - ii נדמה excludes נדמה (animal that looks like another species)
 - iii *כי יוולד* excludes non-vaginal birth
 - iv *היה שבעת ימים:* excludes "early" (מחוסר זמן)
 - v תחת אמו: excludes an orphan
 - vi מע"ב, nnn::תחת מ"ד, wv. 3-4) just as this list is excluded from מע"ב, so it is excluded from מע"ב
 - 1 And: just as מע"ב is excluded from מע"ב (doesn't go "under the staff"), so too סריפה is excluded from קדשים
 - c Comment on "all (are included)": extends to rule (תוספתא בכורות ז:ז) ואנדרוגינוס: מחיר, טומטום ואנדרוגינוס ואנדרוגינוס: all enter the corral for מע"ב
 - i Dissent: טומטום ואנדרוגינוס ר' שמעון do not get counted
 - ii *Question*: our תרא:.תחת (who allows all these in) if he accepts תחת::תחת, they should all be excluded (as all of these are מסוה"מ); if he doesn't accept תחת::תחת then those he excluded have no source
 - 1 Answer: he accepts תחת::תחת, but these 6 are extended via v. 5 − which associated מום with מום
 - (a) And: vv. 6-7 define השחתה as either idolatry or promiscuity (per תדרב"י)
 - (i) Therefore: only cases where מום invalidates are also invalidated by these
 - (ii) And since: בעלי מום (זע"ב is not affected by בעלי מום enter the corral, per v. 8 − "רע") → these are also included 1. Explanation: אתנן are all related to מחיר כלב ;דבר ערווה is juxtaposed to אתנן
 - a. And: מוקצה ונעבד are related to עבודה זרה
 - b. And: ספק maintains that טומטום ואנדרוגינוס are both ספק $(M/F) \rightarrow$ enter the corral
 - c. and: מע"ב agrees that they're מע"ב don't allow for ספק ספק החת::תחת → מפל"ב excludes from מע"ב
 - d היודה איש כפר ברתותא *תוספתא בכורות ז:ו* had a tradition from ר' יהושע to only exclude hybrid and ר' אלעזר בר יהודה איש כפר
 - i איצא דופן heard same list as our משנה from יוצא דופן, "(adding יוצא דופן, "early" and "orphan")
 - ii ת"ק. if he infers תחת::תחת, should exclude all 5
 - iii But: if not, we understand the exclusion of כלאים; but what is his source for כלאים; but what is his source for
 - 1 Answer: he certainly infers תחת::תחת, however, in re:
 - (a) יוצא דופן. he follows תלד, who, per לידה (v. 9) reads non-vaginal birth as לידה
 - (i) Contra: די יוחנן (who, in נדה מ.) maintains that מ"ז limited his application to human birth
 - (b) "early" (מחוסר זמן): he holds like מחוסר זמן enters the corral (akin to בכור ; and is offered later)
 - (c) משנה is consistent with is own ruling (our משנה) as long as pelt is whole, not a יתום
- III Unusual testimony of רבי before רבי
 - a Pelt: in their place, they would strip the mother and use the pelt to warm the newborn
 - i אבי. now we understand the reason behind ר' יהושע's position
 - b Lettuce: in their place, the חזרת had 600,000 leaves in the "stomach"
 - c *Cedars*: a cedar fell and 16 chariots were able to pass over on its side
 - d בד יוכני. had an egg that fell and 60 cities were drowned and 300 cedars were felled
 - i Question: why would the mother throw her egg down? (v. 10)
 - ii Answer: it was an unfertilized egg