a First: the animals are all put in the corral and he makes one single, narrow exit, so that only one can exit at a time i תוספתא בכורות ז: (adds) - we put the mothers outside of pen; their lowing draws the young out through exit

1 Note: we don't push them out, as the text stipulates יעבר - must pass on its own
2 Note: we don't entice it with food, as that may lead to using bought and orphaned young
b Counting: he counts (aloud) each number, 1-9, then the $10^{\text {th }}$ is marked with red paint and he declares
c בתדיעבד: valid post-facto...
i If: he didn't mark it
ii Or: or if he didn't count them with the staff
iii Or: if he counted them while they were standing or crouched - valid
d Taking $10 \%$ : if he removed 10 of 100 (e.g.) - invalid
i Dissent: יוסי בר יהודה considers this valid
ii משנה presents rules of our, מו״כ בחוקותי פ״ח :ברייתא, adding the exclusion of כל אשר יעבור...) טריפה ) and sources for...
1 Standing or crouched: העשירי קודש
2 Without counting aloud: יהיה קודש קור
3 עשירי 3 ע'ת
4 (a)

(i) Just as: תרו״ג is taken by estimation, so too תרו"מ may be taken באומד ובמחשבה
(ii) And: מעשר is called תרומה (v. 3)
(iii) And: מעשר דגן is compared to מעשר בהמה (above - עשר תעשר and מעשרותיכם)

1. Therefore: מע״ may also be taken באומד ובמחשבה
e If: one of the "counted" (1-9) returns to the corral, all of them are exempt
f But if: one of the מעשר animals returns, none may be eaten until they get a מום and are treated as ספק מעשר
II רבא's rulings
a עשירי מאליו הוא קדוש: the tenth is sanctified by itself, without declaration
i Proposed source: from ברייתא (above) - even if he doesn't say "קשירי"
1 Block: perhaps, in that case, he did say "קדוש"
ii Proposed source: ברייתא- if he called \#9 "ten" and then \#10 went out (w/o declaration) - \#10 is נאכל במומו \#9) מער) 1 Block: in that case, \#10 was "clarified" (it walked out); or - perhaps he pointed at it
iii Proposed source: ברייתא - if he called \#9 "ten" and then \#10 died in the corral, they are נאכל במומו \#) פטור (ble
1 Block: perhaps they became exempt due to מנין הראוי (רבא's next ruling - see below [b])

1 Challenge: ברייתא rules that in same case, \#9 is חולין
 \#10 had its number נעקר (as is the rule in our משנה for \#11)
(a) Per: if \#11, which can generate תמורה, is only קדוש \#10 had its number נעקר
(i) Then certainly: \#9, which cannot generate תמורה, is only if \#10 had its number נעקוש
(ii) Counter: \#11, which is strong enough to generate תמורה, requires עקירת שם of \#10
2. Alternatively: \#11 came after \#10 - only works if \#10 "lost its number"; not so for \#9 (QED)
b מנין הראוי פוטר: any animal counted while there is a sufficient number for מע"ב is exempted
i Proposed source: our משנה - if one of the "counted" jumps back in, all are exempt
1 Block: they were already completely tithed
ii Source: v. 1 - יעבר (future tense) - did not have to already pass through to exempt the others
1 Support: ברייתא - if he had 10 in the corral, counted 5 and then 1 of them died
(a) If: one of those already counted died, he counts out more and completes the 10
(b) But if: one of those not yet counted died, the ones counted are exempt and the rest join a later גורן
c Case with 14 lambs and 2 exits:
i If: 6 first went out gate " A " and then 4 went out gate " B " (and 4 remain)
1 If: the 4 went out gate " $A$ ", he tithes one of them and the 4 that went out gate " $B$ " join a later גורן
2 If: the 4 went out gate " $B$ " (or not at all), the 6 are exempt and the other 8 join a later גורן
ii But if: 4 first went out gate " $A$ " and then 6 went out gate " $B$ " (and 4 remain)
1 If: the 4 went out gate " $B$ ", tithe one of them and all others are exempt
2 If: the 4 went out gate "A" (or not at all), the first 4 and the 6 are exempt; the last four join a later גורן
iii But if: 4 went out gate " $A$ " and 4 went out gate " $B$ " and 6 remain
1 If: the 6 go out through either gate, all are exempt
2 If: the 6 don't go out at all, both sets of 4 are exempt and the 6 join a later גורן
iv Challenge: רבא already ruled that מנין הראוי פוטר
1 Defense: we may have thought that only a certain מנין הראוי exempts; but here the מנין may work with gate "A" or gate "B" $\rightarrow$ it isn't קמ"ל - פוטר that even ספק מנין הראוי פוטר
d Choosing lambs: if he has 15 lambs, he shouldn't select 15 to put into corral and leave 5 out ( $\rightarrow$ exempting them)
i Rather: he puts all 15 in, tithes from 10 and the remaining 5 join a later גורן (supporting ברייתא)
ii Challenge: ברייתא rules that if he has 19 lambs, he shouldn't choose 10 and leave 9 out and exemp them
1 Rather: he puts them all in the corral, tithes from 10 - and the remainder are exempt!

(a) And: 9 went out gate " A " and 9 went out gate " B ", so that the remaining 1 could join either group
(b) Challenge: why didn't he explain it as a case where after 9 went through, he began counting again " 1 "?
(i) Answer: he holds that \#10 is קדוש by itself, regardless of the count
(c) Challenge: why didn't he explain it as a case where he counted them by pairs $(9 \times 2=18)$
(i) Answer: he holds (see p. 50) if counting pairs, it is still the number of animals that determines מע״ב
