32.6.1

21b (משנה או) $\rightarrow 22b$ (משנה או)

- I משנה או: duration of public auction morning and evening for property sales to pay off debts
 - a Orphans (minors): 30 days
 - i Note: this follows ה"מ holds 60 and 90 for חכמים הקדש hold 60 for each)
 - 1 However: ר"מ elsewhere is quoted as ruling 60 days for יתומים
 - 2 Resolution (אבימי from אבימי from ב' וה they only announce on ב' ה 60 days (19 times); if every weekday 30 days
 - (a) Even though: the 60-day system leads to far fewer announcements; since there is more time valid
 - b הקדש. 60 days
 - c *Timing*: announcements made morning and evening
 - i Reason: to allow intrerested party to ask workers, on their way out, to investigate property in question, then to check with them when they return at the end of the day
 - ii Support: ברייתא, following our משנה, explains that interested buyer indicates to workers the exact location and markings of the field in question and the asking price
 - 1 Note: ברייתא concludes with announcement that it is being sold to pay off דרייתא כתובה דס בע"ח
 - (a) *Reason*: some may prefer to pay בע"ח, who will take lesser coins
 - (i) But: others may prefer to pay מתובה, where אשה may be willing to take money in installments
- II Limitations on selling נכסי יתומים (when they are minors)
 - a רבית only if רבית is "eating" into loan
 - b רבית ד' יוחנן or if the widow is collecting her כתובה
 - i אסי. does not allow in cases of מזונות, since her wages go to the orphans, they are not losing (due to מזונות),
 - ii מעשה ידים it is possible that her מעשה ידים come out to less than her food bill →loss for יתומים
 - c Challenge1: our משנה we sell יתומים property (after 30 or 60 days of announcing)
 - i Proposal: if בע"ח is a non-Jew, he won't heed us to wait that long for payment
 - ii Therefore: must be a ישראל but if he is charging interest, we won't allow him to collect it
 - 1 Note: ר' אסי ; כתובה is משנה could answer that the case in our ה' יוחנן is challenged
 - (a) *Challenge*: even מזונות, which is a sure-bet, for הכרזה, which may or may not bring in a good price?
 - (b) Answer: that could be per שמואל once she claims כתובה, she immediately forfeits מזונות
 - (i) Challenge: if so, we shouldn't attend to her request at all (and leave her without מזונות or collection)
 - (ii) Answer: once we attend to her (for her claim, negating מזונות) we attend all the way through
 - iii Answer: רצית could explain that the בע"ח is a non-Jew, who accepts the waiting period (מדיני ישראל) but not רבית
 - d Challenge2: rule that when seizing property of יתומים, only זיבורית is taken
 - i Circumstance: as above cannot be איבע"ח who isn't Jewish he wouldn't heed us to collect only זיבורית
 - ii *Must be*: Jewish בע"ח but if he isn't collecting רבית (which we wouldn't allow) why collect at all?
 - 1 Note: יוחנן could answer that the case here is ר' אסי is challenged
 - (a) However: even זיבורית is challenged since all בתובות are collected from זיבורית
 - (b) Defense: could follow בינונית is generally collected from בינונית
 - iii Answer: ר' אסי could explain that the בע"ח is a non-Jew, who accepts collecting ר' אסי but not רבית but not
 - e Challenge3: in אשה בכתובתה (above), we announce that the collection is for אשה בכתובתה challenge to ר' אסי
 - i Answer: could be a case where the father admitted to the debt before he died
 - ii Note: that answer could be used to defend ר' אסי against all three challenges
 - f Story: מרימר collected land from יתומים to pay off father's גרושה to his מרימר
 - i Challenge (רבינא לאמימר): per ר' יוחנן no permission to do so (even ה"י only allowed אלמנה due to מזונות
 - ii Answer: other tradition regarding ר' יוחנן 's ruling it is due to חינא (making her a more attractive bride)
 - g יתומים s roriginally wouldn't touch יתומים's property, until he heard of ד'ג curse towards' יתומים who owe
 - i Reason: י"נ"s reason for not addressing their property at all
 - 1 מצוה since paying the מצוה is a מצוה, minors are not obligated
 - 2 בריה דר"י. perhaps father gave the בע"ח (e.g.) collateral for collection and they don't know about it
 - (a) Split difference: if father admitted the debt on his deathbed or if he died in contempt of ב"ד for not paying
 - (b) Ruling (from א"י": if he was בשמתא, we collect per ר"ה בריה דר"י

- (c) Testing: explanations of משנה against our משנה
 - (i) דיה דר"י. is easily explained case where father admitted to the debt
 - (ii) משנה cannot explain the משנה
 - 1. Answer1: could be a כתובה, if we accept חינא as the justification
 - 2. *Answer*2: could be a non-Jew who won't heed "" regarding collecting from minors, but will heed "" regarding the waiting period
- (d) Testing: explanations of ברייתא (above both בע"ח and בע"ח are invoked)
 - (i) ד"ה בריה דר"י. is easily explained case where father admitted to the debt
 - (ii) אים must explain it as בע"ח who is non-Jewish but then he wouldn't heed us
 - 1. *Answer*: as above, a non-Jew who will heed "regarding the waiting period, but not to wait until they reach majority
- 3 שובר due to a possibly missing שובר (receipt)
 - (a) Challenge: we don't raise that as a concern
 - (i) Per: ד: if she comes to collect שבועות in husband's absence, cannot collect without a שבועות
 - 1. אינה we only allow this for אשה due to הינה, not for בע"ח, must wait for return)
 - 2. בע"ח even בע"ח
 - a. But: if we are concerned about a missing שובר we should be concerned here as well
 - b. Answer: in this case, we allow collection to prevent people from borrowing money and disappearing and being "untouchable"
- h Final ruling (דבא): we do not seize נכסי יתומים
 - i However: if father instructed them to pay ("תנר"), we follow through on his wishes
 - 1 And: if he directed a particular field or coin for payment ("שדה זר", "כסף זה") we do not appoint אפוטרופוס
 - 2 But: if he didn't identify a particular field or coin we appoint an אפוטרופוס
 - ii *גהרדעי*: even if he directed a specific field or coin, we appoint an אפוטרופוס
 - 1 Except: in a case wher we find the field not be theirs (stolen)
 - (a) Reason: we do not assume the witnesses (of the theft) to be liars
 - iii ד' אשי. therefore, we do not seize their property, per רבא
 - 1 But: in those cases where we seize it, we always appoint an נהרדעי, per נהרדעי
 - 2 Except: for a stolen field, per concern about protecting integrity of witnesses