## 32.7.1

24a (משנה או)  $\rightarrow 25a$  (משנה או)

- ז. אָם מִשׁנֵת הַיֹּבֶל יָקְדִישׁ שַׂדָהוּ כְּעֵרְכָּךְ יַקוּם: ויקרא כז, יז
- 2. **וְאָם אָחֶר הַיֹּבֶל** יָקְדִישׁ שֶׁדֵהוּ **וְחָשֶׁב לוֹ הַכָּהו** אֶת **הַכֶּסְף** עַל פִּי **הַשְּׁנִים** הַנּוֹתָרת עַד שְׁנַת הַיֹּבֵל **וְנְגְרַע מֵעֶרְכְּדְּ**:ייקרא כ*ו, יח* 3. וָאָם מִשְּׁדָה אֲחַזָּתוֹ יָקְדִישׁ אִישׁ לָה' וְהָיָה עֵרְכָּךְ לְפִי זְרָעוֹ זֵרֶע חֹמֵר שְׁעֹרִים בַּחַמְשִׁים שֵׁקַל כָּסְף:ייקרא כו, סז
- I משנה או: limitations on הקדש/redeeming שדה אחוזה from הקדש
  - a Before: cannot מקדיש a field with less than 2 years to go to יובל
    - i Challenge (נברייתא): we may be מקדיש anytime before or after יובל; but if during קדוש not קדוש not
    - ii Answer (גירוע): means we cannot מקדיש to be redeemed by reduction (גירוע) with less than 2 years to go to יובל
    - iii Therefore: since "reduction" won't work (→ he'll have to pay full 50 per בקדיש), he should be careful not to be מקדיש
  - b After: cannot redeem field in first year after יובל
  - c Partial years: we cannot figure them to our advantage, but הקדש may reckon them
- II Dispute מקריש about status of שדה אחוזה which the owner was יובל
  - a קדושה . דב"ב to redfeem ב"כ to redfeem
  - b שמואל not קדוש at all
    - i אנידם it's understood why מכירה שמואל has this same dissenting position in re: יובל during מכירה
      - 1 Reason: he employs ק"ו if a sold field reverts, ק"ו that a field cannot be sold
      - 2 *However*: here, there is no ק"ו to employ
        - (a) Proof: יובל ir יובל arrives and it isn't redeemed, נהנים take over field and pay for it (i.e. redemption)
        - Defense: ממואל holds like שמואל take over field without paying (→) באיש exists here as well)
        - (a) אי would answer that in any case, it doesn't revert to original owners; it goes to שלחן גבוה" siv contract to original owners; it goes to "שלחן גבוה" מיט ישלחן גבוה".
    - ii אם משנת היובל including the year of יובל including the year of
      - 1 שמואל. doesn't say בשנת rather משנת, meaning, the year after יובל
    - iii challenge: רב is supported by v. 1 and v. 2; but how will שמואל explain v. 2 (if v. 1 is after יובל, what is אחר היובל?)
      - 1 Answer: אחר means "after the post-אחר)
    - iv Challenge (to ברייתא (above) that we may be מקדיש before and after יובל, but not during if done, not קדוש
      - 1 ביון could answer that אינה קדושה means no redution, but is פדיש and he pays full 50 for מדיון
        - (a) Implication: if before גרעון כסף, we can employ גרעון כסף?
        - (b) Howver: both בר and שמואל ruled (see above) that if מוקדש within last 2 years before גרעון כסף, חיובל
        - (c) ברייתא follows אד) רבנן is exclusive); he follows רבי (above) רבי could answer that the אד) רבנן
    - v Challenge: according to פונדיון, if all 50 years can be used for "עד ועד בכלל"), why add the פונדיון (see note)
      - 1 Proposal: פונדיון doesn't apply the פונדיון
      - 2 Rejection: סלע explicitly rules to add one פומדיון to each
        - (a) Answer: רבי follows יובל follows יובל as year #50 and year #1 (→he also allows for 49 years as a maximum period of הקדש שדה אחוזה see note)
        - (b) Proposal: according to רבי, שמואל agrees with רבנן (contra רבי re: status of year #50)
          - (i) Support: if he followed ר"י, he would require a פונדיונות and 2 מונדיונות
    - vi Challenge (to משנה may not redeem within 1st year after יובל
      - "after יובל means in the middle of the יובל period; he may not redeem via reduction until a year
        - (a) Question: what is that teaching that we cannot "reckon months" with משנה? That is explicit in our משנה
        - (b) Answer: that is providing the reason the reason we must wait a year is because we don't reckon months
- III Source for ruling at end of משנה we don't reckon months with הקדש
  - a ברייתא: source v. 2 we only reckon months, not years
  - b Yet: הקדש may consider months to be a full year, per v. 2 וחשב לו הכהן (e.g. he was מקדיש midway in year #48)

- IV משנה redemption of שדה אחוזה in a period when יובל is in practice
  - a Payment: 50 שקל of silver per זרע חומר שעורים (v. 3)
    - i If: there were ditches 10 טפחים deep or mounds 10 טפחים high not reckoned with field
    - ii If: they were shallower reckoned along with the field
      - 1 Measure: כור of seed, not crop; dropped by hand, not by oxen (with dragged seed-bag)
      - 2 not overly tight planting, nor sparse but average
      - 3 Question: why aren't ditches/mounds קדוש independently of the field?
        - (a) Proposal: if they are less than קדוש, not קדוש, not
        - (b) Rejection: שדה" reads "שדה" as extending rule to any size
          - (i) Answer: ditches are filled with water → can't be planted (not בית זרע)

            1. Proof: taught in parallel to סלעים, which are not arable
          - (ii) Question: if so, even the smaller ditches/mounds should be excluded
          - (iii) Answer: these are considered "little pockets" or "bumps" in the ground
  - b If: he was מקדיש field 2-3 years before יובל, he gives 1 פונדיון 1+סלע
    - i Source: אבייתא v. 2 ונגרע מערכך even from הקדש used it or just owned it for a few years, he pays 1 איסלע 1 for each year left
  - c And if: he offered to pay each year's amount per year we don't allow him must pay all
    - i Source: ברייתא per v. 2, הכסף must be paid at once
- V משנה: payment is the same whether the owners or an outsider redeem field
  - a However: they are distinct; owners pay חומש, outsider doesn't pay חומש