32.7.2

25a (משנה ג) → 26a (משנה ג)

1. וְאָם לֹא יִגְאֵל אֶת הַשָּׁדֶה וְאָם מָכַר אֶת הַשָּׁדֶה לְאִישׁ אַחֵר לֹא יָגָאֵל עוֹד: *ויקרא כז, כ* 2. וְאָם אַחַר הַיּּבֶל יַקְדִּישׁ שָׁדָהוּ וְחִשָּׁב לוֹ הַכָּהוֹ אֶת הַכֶּסֶף עַל פִּי הַשָּׁנִים הַנּוֹתָרֹת עֵד שְׁנַת הַיֹּבֵל **וְנִגְרַע מֵעֶרְכֶּךּ:** *ויקרא כז, יח* 3. וְהָיָה הַשָּׁדֶה **בְּצֵאתוֹ בַּיֹב**ֵל לְדֶשׁ לַה' כִּשְּׁדֵה הַחֵרֶם לַכָּהוַ תִּהְיֶה **אַחָזָת** וּא**ָרְץ**: *ויקרא כז, כא* 4. בִּשְׁנַת הַיּוֹבֵל יָשׁוֹב הַשָּׁדֶה **לָאֲשֶׁר קָנָהוּ מֵאָתוֹ לַאֲשֶּׁר לוֹ אֲחָזָת הָאָרָץ**: *ויקרא כז, כז*

- I משנה status of שדה אחוזה after redemption (pre-יובל
 - a If: he or his son redeemed it doesn't leave father's possession at ייבל
 - b However, if: anyone else redeemed it, goes to יובל at יובל
 - c בהנים redeems it, he can't argue that since it goes to יובל at נהנים, he may keep it
 - i Rather: it gets divided among משמר of that משמר
 - ii Reason: v. 3 only keeps it if it is אחוזה
- II ברייתא: interpreting v. 1
 - 1^{st} clause: refers to the owner; 2^{nd} clause: refers to 3^{rd} clause: refers to anyone besides his son
 - i Counter: perhaps it refers to anyone besides his brother
 - ii Block: "איש" refers to brother but בן is not an אחר
 - 1 Argument: עבד עברי and for ownership of עבד עברי and for ownership of עבד עברי
 - 2 Counter: brother is closer as he takes the place of dead brother for ייבום
 - (a) Block: ייבום only comes about if there is no son \rightarrow if there is a son, he is closer
 - 3 *Question*: why not prove supremacy of בן as he has 2 points of contact (יעוד, עבד עברי)?
 - 4 Answer: עבד עברי is also inferred from this point (i.e. that עבר עברי goes to son, not brother)
 - iii Question (רבה בר אבוה): can a daughter keep field in family (as does son)?
 - 1 Lemma1: since for purposes of מטור מייבום, daughter=son, she can play same role for field,
 - 2 Lemma2: since for נחלה she is "like an outsider" ineffective
 - 3 Answer: from חדבר"י anyone is an אחר in the place of the son (and so is she)
 - iv Question (ד' זירא): who is the "close one" for a woman (who is מקדיש her מקדיש):?
 - 1 Lemma1: could be husband, as he inherits from her
 - 2 Lemma2: could be son, as he inherits תיקו מוחזק just like תיקו
 - v Question (ת"ח מר"ח מר"ם): if a field is מוקדש less than 2 years before יובל, does it automatically go to?
 - 1 Response: is the thinking, per vv. 2-3, that if there is no גרעון, no גאולה?
 - (a) Rejection: however, v. 1 proves that if it can be redeemed, it may be and not go to כהנים as in this case
- III שדה status of שדה which isn't redeemed before יובל
 - a כהנים ד' יהודה enter the field and take possession but redeem it from הקדש
 - Reason: infers קדש::קדש from מקדיש בית in that case, must pay to redeem (unlike כבדק הבית, these are both בדק הבית)
 - b בהנים .ד"ש enter the field and take possession without any redemption-payment
 - i Reason: infers קדש::קדש from חרמי כהנים just like that קרבן is given to כהנים as gift so too with חרמי כהנים
 - 1 And: unlike מקדיש בית, these are both מתנות לכהן
 - c אדה רטושין they neither take possession nor pay rather it is called שדה רטושין (abandoned) in perpetuity
 - i Until: someone else redeems it then it goes to יובל at the next יובל
 - 1 רבה reason: רבה read v. 1 as אם לא יגאל...לא יגאל עוד t but אם מכר t
 - (a) Challenge (אביי): how can we cut up the פסוק this way?
 - (b) Rather (אביי): per ברייתא (which must be authored by ה"ר", as per below): לא יגאל might have meant that it cannot be considered as "עוד" indicates that it just can't revert to שדה מקנה, but is שדה מקנה.
 - (i) When: this must be יובל שני (else it is משדה אחוזה) and that is only meaningful for ר"א
 - (ii) Challenge: עוד" have to interpret "עוד"
 - 1. Rather: the verse refers to a field that went to כהנים, one of whom was מקדיש and the original owners came to redeem it; א"א they can't redeem at all − עוד − but can be redeemed as שדה מקנה
 - 2. Support: ברייתא interpreting v. 4
 - a. Justification: if we only had לא יגאל, would apply to field that doesn't go back
 - i. And: if we only had לאשר קנהו, here, where בעלים are paying, סד"א it goes back to them
 - ii. And: we need עוד to teach that it can go back as שדה מקנה
 - (c) Resolution (ר"א: ר"א's reason צצאתו (v. 3) when it leaves another's possession