34.3.3

13b (משנה ד) $\rightarrow 15a$ (משנה ד)

. וְסָמַךְּ אָהָרֹן אֶת שְׁתִּי יָדִיו על ראשׁ הַשָּׁעִיר הַחַי וְהְתְּוַדָּה עָלִיו אֶת כָּל עֲוֹנֹת בְּנִי יִשְׂרָאָל וְאֶת כָּל פַּשְׁעֵיהֶם לְכָל חַטֹּאתָם וְנָתַן אֹתָם עַל ראשׁ הַשָּּעִיר הַחַי וְהַתְּוַדָּה עָלִיו אֶת כָּל עֲוֹנֹת בְּנִי יִשְׂרָא הְלָא וְאֶת כָּל בְּשִׁתְּיה לֹא תָקָח לְגַלוֹת עַרְוַתָה שָׁאָרָה הָנָה הָוֹא:ייִקראייה,יז עַרְוַת אָשָׁה וּבְתַּה לֹא תָגַלָה אָת בַּת בְּנָה וָאָת בַּת בְּתָּה לֹא תָקָח לְגַלוֹת עַרְוַתָה שָׁאָרָה הָנָה הָוֹא:ייִקראייה,יז

- I משנה possibility of liability for 4 חטאות and 1 משנה for one eating-event (authored by ר"מ (ר"מ
 - a ממא :חטאות (1) who ate יוה"כ (2) which was יוה"כ (3) on יוה"כ (4)
 - b אשם: if it was מעילה) מוקדש
 - c שבת if it was שבת and he carried it outside, 5th חטאת for carrying
 - i Question: why add שבת into it should be liable for carrying on יוה"כ
 - 1 *Answer (רפרם*): there is no איסור הוצאה on יוה"כ
 - (a) block: perhaps שבת for carrying שבת, there is also liability for שבת for carrying
 - 2 rather: רפרם's comment was about the שנת (v. 1) that איש עתי goes with שנת even on שעיר
 - (a) Block: יוה"כ on יוה"כ is per אסור (other הוצאה may be אסור)- rather, רפרם never said this (errant report)
 - d Rejection (תכמים): irrelevant to eating
 - i Defense of accumulation of אין איסור חל על איסור holds איסור חל על איסור, he agrees in case of איסור מוסיף/כולל, he agrees in case of איסור מוסיף/כולל
 - 1 Originally: only prohibited from eating חלב (חטאת 1)
 - 2 איסור since he is now banned from all איסור טומאה is added to איסור מומאה is added to חטאות (2 חטאות)
 - 3 איסור הנאה since this adds איסור הנאה מקדשים to meat, the איסור הנאה is added to איסור הנאה מקדשים ולא וואס איסור הנאה מקדשים
 - 4 מזבח since this adds an איסור לגבוה (may not be on מזבח), מזבח is added to חלב וותר (ז איסור נותר)
 - 5 איסור יוה"כ, since he is now banned from eating anything, יום הכפורים includes חלב
 - ii challenge: why not add a 5th חטאת for eating פיגול (if the פיגול was also פיגול)
 - 1 Answer: the list of the משנה is only about 1 animal, not 2; נותר cannot both apply to same animal
 - 2 Challenge: if he put one פיגול on פיגול elaves it and it immediately becomes איסור פיגול (עולא per עולא)
 - (a) Answer: list is only in reference to a single אבר
 - (bart hanging off מובר) מובר (part on מובר) and part-מובר) (part hanging off מובר)
 - (i) Answer: follow רוב אבר single status for entire אבר
 - 1. Challenge: this should solve רב"ח's question about following אברים for אברים (it doesn't)
 - (ii) Rather: we're only listing one כותר of food here cannot be both נותר and מיגול and ביגול (בותר ביגול ביגול
 - 1. Challenge: חטאת for יוה"כ and שעור is larger than ככותבת הגסה)
 - (iii) Answer (ידי זירא): if he ate a kidney with its חלב around it
 - (iv) Answer (שיעור): if he ate other food (e.g. dates) to fill rest of שיעור
 - (v) However: א"א read "5 משנה מו and ignored these defenses (included פיגול)
 - 1. Challenge: why not read 6 חטאות, and include דם
 - 2. Answer: it is one אכילה knew that the gullet can only hold 2 כזיתים at a time
- II משנה possibility of liability for 6 משנה for 1 ביאה
 - a חטאות. his daughter (1) who is his sister (2 result of incest with mother), who married his brother (3) then his father's brother (4), is still married to him (5) and נדה (6)
 - b Defense of accumulation of אין איסור חל על איסור holds איסור חל על איסור, he agrees in case of איסור מוסיף/כולל, he agrees in case of אין איסור חל על איסור
 - i Originally: daughter was born as his sister 1&2 come simultaneously
 - ii אשת אחיו when she married his brother, now איסור to other brothers that איסור is added to him (3)
 - iii אשת אחי אביי when she married his uncle, she became אסורה to other uncles (his brothers) added to him (4)
 - iv אשת איש. by being married, she is אסורה to everyone else (5)
 - v נדה. when she is נדה, prohibited even to her own husband (6)

- III משנה ביאה possibility of liability for 7 משנה for 1 ביאה
 - מ חטאות. his granddaughter (1) who is also his daughter-in-law (2), his brother's wife (3) his uncle's wife (4) and his wife's sister (5); אשת איש (6) and נדה (7)
 - b יינסי ז. if his father "transgressed" and married her, he would have then violated אשת אביו (8)
 - i Question: how could father marry her at all?
 - ii Answer: if she fell to him as יבמה (from uncle)
 - 1 Question: if so, why is it considered a "transgression" ("עבר")?
 - 2 Answer: this is a violation of כלת בנו which is a מדרבנן) שניה (מדרבנן)
 - (a) Per: ברייתא and it extends to great-granddaughter-in-law etc. ad infinitum
 - iii Note: ר' יוסי only allows for איסור חל על איסור if it is מוסיף or כולל

 - 2 In this case: if father has another son (besides our violator), once he marries her, that son is now מוסיף → אסור בה
 - c *Note*: same list could apply to his wife's daughter or her granddaughter
- IV משנה ו possibility of 7 חטאות for חמותו
 - a *חטאות*. his mother-in-law (1) who is also his daughter-in-law (2), his brother's wife (3), his uncle's wife (4) and his wife's sister (5), an אשת איש (6) and נדה (7)
 - b Note: same applies to his mother-in-law's mother (אם חמות) or father-in-law's mother (אם חמיו)
 - c אם חמיו if חמותו is also אם חמותו and אם חמיו 3 liabilities
 - i חטאת all one category →1 חטאת.
 - ii Observation (ריב"נ: מומכוס have a common approach
 - 1 *דיב"נ*: per above
 - 2 מכות (in re אותו ואת בנו): if he slaughtered gen. 1 and gen. 3 (מותר) then gen. 2 1 set of מכות
 - (a) מכות (בשם ר"מ): 2 sets of מכות
 - 3 Challenge (נב"ע: perhaps ריב"ע only ruled for multiple liabilities as there are 3 different איסורים
 - (a) But: in the case of או"ב, both gen1 and gen3 fall under rubric of שם (שם 1) אותו ואת בנו
 - 4 And (סומכוס perhaps מנות only argued for double-מכות as there are (רנב"י) (different animals)
 - (a) But: here, he would agree with שארה הנה זמה היא as anchored in v. 2 שארה הנה זמה היא