34.6.3 26b (קמ״ל) שנה ו) → 27b (קמ״ל)

T

а

ז. וְאֵת אֲשֶׁר חָטָא מִן הַקָּדֶשׁ יְשֵׁלֵם **וְאֶת הֲמִישׁתוֹ יוֹסֵף עֵלֵיו** וְנָתַן אתוֹ לַכֹּהֵן וְהַכֿהָן יְכָפָר עָלִיו בָּאֵיל הָאָשָׁם וְנְסְלֵח לוֹ: *ייקדא ה, טז* 2. ... שַׁמָּה תָבִיאוּ אֵת כָּל אֲשֶׁר אָנֹכִי מְצַוָּה אֶתְכֶם עוֹלתֵיכֶם וְזְבָהֵיכֶם מַעִּשְׁרֹתֵיכֶם וּתְרַמַת יָדָרוּ לַה': *דברים יב, יא* 3. נפש כּי תַמִעֹל מַעַל וְחַטָאַה בִּשְׁגַנָה מִקַדְשֵׁי ה' וְהַבִיא אֵת אֲשָׁמוֹ לַה' אֵיִל תַמִים מן הַצַאו

אַר אָשָׁט פּי תַקעע פֿעע ווּטָאָד בּטָגָד פֿאָן פֿי דו וְחַבָּיא אָת אָשָׁנ אַשָּׁט אָז אָד אָשָער פֿע וויקע פ 4. או הוֹדַע אַלִיו חַטָאתו אַשֶּׁר חַטָא והַבִיא **קַרְבָנו** שָׁעִירַת עזים תִּמִימָה נִקַבָה **עַל חַטָּאוו** אַשֶּׁר חָטָא: *ויקרא ז, כח*

- (which is obligated by תורה see v. 3 "שקלים" see v. 3 סלעים consequences of variations in spending 2 משנה ו
 - if: he set aside 2 סלעים for an אשם and bought two rams with that money
 - i if: one of them was worth 2 סלעים, offer that one up and the other grazes and its נדבה goes to נדבה goes to נדבה
 - b *if*: he misused funds and spent them on two rams for his own use; and 1 was worth 2 and the other 2.25 (חומש+מעילה)
 i *then*: the one worth 2 is brought as his אשם, and the other as his "מעילה"
 - c if: he partially misused funds and bought 1 for אשם (worth 2) and the other for חולין (worth 1)
 - i then: he brings the one worth 2 for his אשם and the other for his "מעילה" along with 1.25
 - 1 meaning of "אשם מעילה" in case (b), must mean "his theft" i.e. what he misuse; but in case (c) it means "his "אשם מעילה"
 - 2 *resolution*: in case (b), the more expensive one covers מעילה" he calls that "מעילה"
 - (a) however: in case (c), the less expensive one is the payment, he refers to the מעילה" מעילה"

II מנשיא בר גדא s question: can you use an accumulation of חומשים (from multiple מעילות) for an אשם מעילות?

- a disconnect from issue of מתכפר בשבח הקדש: even if we may use שבח הקדש (see below), perhaps we cannot use כינוס חומשים
 - i *reason*: he worked to appreciate the הקדש, but not to accumulate חומשין
 - ii and: even if we may not use שבח הקדש, we might be able to use כינוס חומשין, as they were set aside for מעילה payment
- b background: question was asked whether a person may use שבח הקדש for כפרה for כפרה
 - i suggested answer:from our משנה; since he may use ram bought for 1 סלע (2 for 2 סלע) for שבח אשם שבח הקדש) for שבח הקדש 1 *rejection*: in this case,the shepherd sold it to him under market value (i.e. it was already worth 2)
 - ii suggested answer: תוספתא כריתות ד:ט if he bought a ram for 1 and fattened it to a value of 2 –valid as אשם
 - 1 rejection: since he fattened it, he indeed spent 2 סלעים (1 for purchase, 1 for fattening it up)
 - iii suggested answer: (ibid) if he bought a ram for 1 and it is now worth 2 it is valid
 - 1 *rejection*: that is also a case of him expending to increase its value
 - 2 *challenge*: why repeat that ruling (same as רישא)?
 - (a) *answer*: רישא was a case where he spent 1 סלע to buy it and another 1 to add value (fattening it up)
 - (i) and: סיפא is a case where he spent 1 to buy it and $\frac{3}{4}$ סלע to fatten it up but its worth is now 2
 - (ii) challenge: in that case, תוספתא adds that he must supplement the cost ישלם סלע
 - 1. *but*: according to that אוקימתא, he should only have to add ¼ סלע
 - 2. answer: indeed, "paying a סלע" means that he must make up the rest of the סלע) = ½ (תשלום סלע) = 1/4 סלע
 - (b) *but*: if we hold that one may not use שבח הקדש for כפרה, adding the ¼ shouldn't help the איל isn't worth 2
 - (c) answer: the author of the תוספתא holds that he may use שבח הקדש
 - (i) *if so*: why does he need to add $\frac{1}{4}$?
 - (ii) *answer*: precaution against people thinking that a ram worth less than 2 סלע may be brought for אשם iv *conclusion*: נפרה it was worth 1 at designation and 2 at time of העפרה invalid
- III series of questions asked by ר' יוחנן (in #1, יוחנן was astounded that ר"א hadn't heard the answer from him)
 - a כפרה may it be used for כפרה
 - i תודה had taught that ולד תודה or תמורת תודה could be used fully as תודה (if index תודה hadn't yet been brought)
 - נדחים are animals which are rejected while alive fully גיחוי בבעלי חיים?
 - i אקדיש had taught ruling about animal owned by 2 partners in which 1 is מקדיש his half animal is utterly גרחה 1 note: ruling teaches that there is דמים חו דיחוי; there is *initio* and there is בעלי חיים חו דיחוי
 - value of סלעים if worldwide market value depreciated and rams cannot be found at 2 סלעים can he bring at less?
 - i *lemma1*: we require "the best" (v. 2) OR
 - ii *lemma*2: we require סלעים (v. 3 2 (v. 3 2))
 - iii הי ייחנן had taught in "רשב"'s name: reason תורה didn't give minimum for מחוסרי כפרה in such a case no solution
 implication: if there is a specific minimum, it is indispensible
 - 2 *however*: בית מדרש hadn't been asked about it (therefore he didn't teach it \rightarrow א"א hadn't heard in בית מדרש)
 - 3 *challenge (אביי*): if so, there should be a minimum for אסאת חלב (doesn't impede אכילת קדשים)
 - 4 challenge (דבא): if so, (עמא): if so, אשם נזיר (טמא) should have minimum it serves no purpose קשיא

b

С

IV משנה singularity of purpose of חטאת

b

- a *if*: he sets aside a חטאת and dies, his son may not bring it in his stead
 - and if: he set it aside for חלב he ate one day, he may not bring it for חלב (same sin) eaten another day
 - i source: קרבנו... על חטאתו (v. 4) demand that it must be his own and designated for that sin
 - ii קרבן must be designated for a specific חטא
 - iii קרבנו :*ברייתא* must use his own
 - 1 *not (even)*: his father's (from קרבנו)
 - (a) *even*: if his father and he had both violated a "light" or "serious" sin
 - (b) even: if his father had set aside funds for purchase of חטאת (as he may do with מעות set aside for נזירות אביו)
 - (c) even: if his father had set aside funds for purchase of חטאת of the same "grade" (חמורה or קלה)
 - 2 *not even*: his own for another sin (from קרבנו...על חטאתו)
 - (a) *even*: "light" for "light" or "serious" for "serious"
 - (b) even: using money designated for חטאת for purchase of חלב חטאת דם for purchase of חטאת דם
 - (i) *explanation*: in that case, there is מעילה (i.e. money is still liable for כפרה he could achieve כפרה)