39.3.3

(וחכמים אומרים כל שאין בו כו') → 24b (וחכמים אומרים כל שאין בו כו')

ז. אַדְּ אֶת זֶה לֹא תֹאכְלוּ מִמַּעֻלֵי הַגַּרָה וּמִמֶּבְרִיסֵי הַפַּרְסָה **הַשְּׁסוּעָה** אֶת הַגָּמֶל וְאֶת הָאָרְנֶבֶת וְאֶת הַשְּׁפָן כִּי מִעֻלֵה גַּרָה הַמֵּה וּפַּרְסָה הַמְּבְיסִי הַפַּרְסָה הָשְ**ּסוּעָה** אֶת הַגָּמֶל וְאֶת הַשְּׁפָן כִּי מִידְ בְּר אָל בְּצִי יִשְׁרָאֵל לֵאמר **אָשֶׁה כִּי תַּוְרִע וְיָלְדָה זָבָר וְטָמְאָה שִׁבְעת יָמִים** כִּימֵי נְדַּת דְּוֹתָה תַּטְמָא: **וּבֵּיוֹם הַשְּׁמִינִי יִמוּל** בְּשֵׁר עָרְלְתוֹ: י*יקרא יב, ב-ג* 2.

- I Analysis of חכמים's position must have צורת אדם to generate טומאת לידה
 - a מהור a דב ירמיה בר אבא בשם דב. all agree that if the body is a goat and the face is human טהור; the inverse
 - i Disagreement: if face is human but has only one eye like an animal
 - 1 מצורת אדם" this is sufficently like a human to be טמא
 - 2 שמא must be fully human to be טמא must be fully human to be
 - ii Challenge: we have חכמים ,כל צורת אדם with opposite ה"מ דרשות said we require חכמים ,כל צורת אדם only מצורת אדם
 - b אבא בשם ד' יוחנן. must have all facial characters of human, including forehead, eyes, eyebrows, cheeks and jaws
 - i הטא ("כל צורת אדם" pave same list, but used singular) per "רגל אורת אדם" per הי יוחנן "מצורת אדם" ("כל צורת אדם" מאר הי יוחנן)
 - ii Challenge (דוספתא נדה ד: mface" could be any organ, except for ears
 - 1 Answer (אביי): that is לעכב i.e. if any organ doesn't look like human, not a כל צורת per כל
 - (a) Or: אחד means "each" following מצורת אדם
- II Various שמועות and ברייתות about partial forms etc.
 - a דבא. if it has a single eye and single thigh
 - i If; they are on the side טמאה לידה
 - ii If: they are in the middle טהורה
 - b אבא. if the esophagus has an opening טהורה; if sealed up טהורה
 - c ברייתא: if she "births" a shapeless body no טומאת לידה
 - i Definition (ידבי): any part that is vital (without which a person cannot live)
 - 1 Parameter: of the leg (how high up must be missing to be considered vital →if shapeless, not טמאה לידה)
 - (a) ד' זכאי: until knee
 - (b) ד' ינאי: until bowels
 - (c) ד' יוחנן: until navel
 - 2 Note: difference between י"ז and יינפה (e.g. one who is cut above knees) can live
 - 3 Note: difference between ר' ינאי and ר' יותנן whether to accept "ר"א's ruling that a missing thigh+socket is a נבילה
 - ii אילדת dispute is only from leg up; from head down, all agree that anything renders it non-viable and יולדת as יולדת
 - 1 Support: מפיל if she is מפיל a shapeless skull מהורה
 - 2 Note: יוחנן ruled that if she "births" a form looking like spread of palm leaves יוחנן (i.e. bottom was shapeless)
 - d ד' יוחנן/ר"ל. regarding a mashed face
 - i *Version1:* טמאה ר"ל declares טמאה ר"ל
 - 1 Challenge (מאה לידה if she births an arm or leg טמאה לידה and we are not concerned that it may come from a shapeless body
 - (a) Explanation: if a mashed face is not considered וולד, why not add "nor concern of פניו מוסמסים?"?
 - ii Version (י פפי'): they agree if face is mashed, טמאה לידה; disagree about completely covered face and reversed:
 - 1 Positions: טהורה ר"ל, טמאה ר"ל
 - (a) Question: why didn't ר"ל challenge תוספתא from the תוספתא?
 - (b) Answer: "covered face" is same as "shapeless" (אטום)
 - iii Story: מטמא sons were מטמא a case of "covered face"; he told them to go back and be מטהר
 - 1 Reason: it is a חומרא that leads to a קולא, since this gives her ימי טוהר
 - e דב/שמואל. regarding a form birthed with two backs and two spines
 - i אי not a valid form → not a יולד (vis-v-vis טומאת לידה) and, if an animal, may not be eaten
 - ii שמואל. a valid form →considered וולד and, if an animal, may be eaten
 - iii Point of contention: whether to accept יר' חנין בר אבא's read of v. 1 השסועה is a unique creature
 - משה that ruling was given to משה re: a form *in utero*, but no such creature exists
 - 2 שמואל. such a creature does exist; 'ה taught משה about the איסור once born; *in utero*, it is מותר
 - (a) Challenge (בעלי מומין: (among בעלי מומין) someone who has 2 backs/spines→such people live
 - (i) *Response* (27): this refers to someone with a crooked spine

- (b) Challenge (to אסור): some אסור are אסור; less than 4 months in ovines, less than 8 months in bovine
 - (i) Exclusion: one who has 2 backs/spines
 - (ii) Implication: one like that is even אסור in utero (unlike others, only אסורים if birthed early)
 - 1. דב. understands it that way
 - 2. שמואל reads that those are אסור, unless the period of pregnancy ended
 - a. But: one with 2 backs/spines, even if preganancy ended, is אסור if birthed
 - i. However: if in utero, still מותר
- f שומאת לידה a body or head that isn't shaped, no מפיל וו f she is טומאת לידה
 - i Source: v. 2 only if he is fit for טומאה (either ברית נשמה or ברית נשמה does he generate) מומאה
 - ii ברייתא דב should conclude by also excluding one with 2 backs/spines
 - iii Ruling: רב אבא ד' thought to rule like רב הונא directed him no to
 - 1 Reason: it is a חומרא that leads to a קולא, as she now gets ימי טוהר
 - 2 And: when בם and שמואל disagree, איסורים חו רב (as opposed to ממונות, where we follow) שמואל
- g <u>NZ3. given:</u> women birth at 9 or 7 months; <u>question</u>: do bovines, who typically birth at 9 months, also birth at 7?
 - i Answer ("נב"י): from ברייתא (above) anything less than 8 months is אטור → no live birth at 7 months
 - ii Deflection: perhaps "earlier" only refers to ovines (less than 4 months)
 - 1 *Challenge*: why would there be a need to teach that 3 months of gestation isn't viable?
 - (a) *Therefore*: must be referring to bovine →doesn't birth at 7 months
 - 2 Answer: perhaps need to teach that 3 months isn't viable; "א that 2 months early is viable קמ"ל– that 2 months early is viable
- h שמאה לידה (human face with wings) יממאה לידה (thuman face with wings) ישמואל, it is a ישמואל, but has wings
 - i Supporting ר' יוסי, ברייתא reports that such a case came to חלמים and they ruled it a וולד
 - וולד And: if a טמאה looked like a snake, ר' יהושע, חנינא' s nephew, ruled her to be טמאה
 - (a) Story: ר' יוסף to come with his nephew (to ינפר, יוסף) to come with his nephew (to יבנה)
 - (b) As: they were traveling, ר' חנינא 'r's daughter-in-law came and asked ר' יהושע about such a case
 - (i) And: he answered that such a woman is טהורה
 - (ii) However: she told him that her mother-in-law (ר' חנינא's wife) told her, in טמאה "'s name" ממאה "'s name" ממאה
 - 1. And: he had provided a reason since its eye is oval like a human eye
 - 2. Whereupon: ר' יהושע remembered his own ruling and notified ה"ג that his nephew had been following his ruling when he ruled טמאה לידה
 - 3. *Lesson (אביי*): when a ת"ח issues a ruling, he should provide an argument; he can be reminded of it and remember his own ruling (and the rationale)