39.3.4 24b (משנה ג) → 26a (משנה ג)

וַיַעשׁ ה' אֱלֹהִים לְאָדָם וּלְאִשְׁתּוֹ כָּתְנוֹת עוֹר וַיַּלְבְּשֶׁם: בראשית ג, כא

הַלֹא כֵחֶלָב תַּתִּיבֵנִי וְכָגְבָנָה תַּקְפִּיאָנִי: עוֹר וּבָשֶׁר תַּלְבִישָׁנִי וּבַעַצְמוֹת וְגִידִים תִּסֹכְנֵי: חַיִּים וְחַסֶד עַשִּׁיתָ עַמְדִי וּפְקַדְּתָךְ שַׁמְרָה רוּחִי:איזבי,י~ב

- I משנה ג: status of woman who "births" unformed material
 - a If: she "births" a sac filled with water, blood or pieces of flesh no לידה
 - i Question: why aren't ספק וולד considered ספק וולד?
 - 1 Answer1 (אביי): how could the וולד have become "melted"?
 - 2 Answer2 (משנה: reads "filled" if it were a וולד that got "mashed", there would be some space
 - 3 Answer3 (ר' אדא בר אהבה): reads גנונים different types; if it were a ולד, would be of one type
 - ii Question (posed to '27): if it is a sac filled with flesh what is ruling?
 - 1 Answer (ר' ישמעאל בר יוסי): father (ר' יוסי) if it is filled with בדה דם; if with flesh טמאה לידה
 - 2 Rejection (מלא דם); so does 2nd (מומכוס בשם ר"מ) follows marginal opinion (מ'מ"ס); so does 2nd (מומכוס בשם ר"מ), in Apjection (מרא דם)
 - (a) עכור dispute (עכור 'הושע/חכמים') is only if sac is עכור, but if waters are clear יהושע/חכמים agrees that she is טהורה
 - (b) דיב"ל. dispute if waters are clear
 - (i) Question: is dispute only if clear, but if muddied all agree מיאה or dispute in both cases? תיקו
 - (c) Analysis: ד"ח''s exegesis of v.1 skin formed after body → depends on skin, regardless of עכור סייר אלול יי
 - (i) Therefore: if the dispute is about clear waters, we understand the need for the פסוק (ברשה+) (מוֹ
 - (ii) But: if the dispute is about פסוק no פסוק needed, it is reasonable (סברא) that it is a ר"ל; point for ר"ל
 - 1. Support: from discussion between במא and רבא
 - a. יעכור dispute is only if יעכור; if clear, all agree that it is not a וולד
 - b. אסימן בכורה :re: היסה of a viable בהמה דקה uterine blood; הסה sac; human sac and placenta
 - i. But: a placenta alone doesn't exempt a בהמה
 - ii. Therefore: in re: מטוק, which has extra פסוק (v. 1), placenta exempts, not for an animal
 - iii. But if: the dispute is בעכור, why distinguish between them it's מברא that it's a וולד
 - (d) Solution: איסור בכורה wasn't clear about status was מחמיר בכורה for animal, מקיל for animal, ממון) בכור אדם
 - (i) And: in our case, which is ספק טומאה also מחמיר
 - 1. Challenge: he adduced a verse why is he מסופק?
 - 2. Answer: the verse (v. 1) is an אסמכתא
 - 3 Final ruling: רב in either case (clear or muddied), no חשש לידה
 - (a) שמואל in both cases, there is room to consider חשש לידה
 - (i) Support: שמואל always ruled such cases as לידה except for one where וולד was translucent
 - b But if: it already had the form of a ימי לידה (since gender can't be determined) must observe ימי לידה for both זכר and ימי לידה
 - i Defintion of מרוקם (formed): starts from head, 2 eyes like eyes of fly (separated); 2 nostrils like those of fly (adjacent); mouth stretched like a hair, male member size of lentil, if female, like cracked barleycorn; doesn't yet have distinct limbs (hands/feet) all per v. 2
 - 1 Note: cannot be checked in water, as that melts him rather, use oil, which "shines" him up; checked by sunlight
 - 2 *Note*: checking for gender use flat stick and shake there; if it meets resistance male; if not female
 - (a) 2"7. this only works if shaking down to up; but from side-to-side, uterine walls may be resistance
 - 3 Note: identification as female cannot be חוט של ביצים, as the testes themselves aren't yet recognizable
 - 4 Addition to definition: thighs are like silk threads of woof; arms are like silk threads of warp
 - 5 שמואל instructed רב יהודה not to rule (as ישמואל) unless it already had some hair on its head
 - (a) Chillian a business of a label in hading a former betain
 - (a) Challenge: שמואל ruled that in both cases (צלול ועכור) we are חושש לוולד
 - (i) Answer: we are חושש and act לחומרא; but we do not give her ימי טהרה unless it has hair
 - (ii) Challenge: שמואל had no doubts (story of how he identified exact day of conception)
 - 1. Answer: שמואל himself is a master and could tell; but for חושש, had to be חושש

- II משנה דו: if she "births" a סנדל or placenta, she must observe מי לידה for both זכר and נקבה
 - a מנדל a חוספתא נדה ד:ז looks like a fish; it was originally a וולד but got mashed
 - i אשב"ג. it looks like an ox-tongue
 - ii *רבותינו*. it requires a facial form to be a וולד
 - צורת פנים requires שמואל
 - (a) משל of someone who is slapped משל of someone who is slapped
 - (b) Story: in סנדל a מטהר with no facial features
 - (i) מטהר they were וולד a legitimate מטהר
 - (ii) Challenge: the testimony of רבותינו
 - (iii) *Answer*: that was the opinion of ר' נחוניה, but not consensus (and rejected)
 - b Question: why did they mention סנדל, when there is never a סנדל without an accompanying יולד?
 - i Answer: if there was a נקבה born with it, indeed it would be judged as another נקבה (14+66)
 - ii But: in this case, it was birthed with a זכר;
 - 1 We might have thought: since, per יבר 's homily on "יקרא יב:ב, mixed twins are unlikely, this is also 'זכר (7+33)
 - 2 Therefore: it teaches that it may be mixed twins and we have to be נקבה for נקבה
 - 3 Also: if she birthed a סנדל just before sunset and the סנדל afterwards,
 - (a) Then: she begins counting from each event (i.e. her 80 days for נקבה are extended by one day)
 - c And: סנדל (ברורות ה:א) בכורות שוחל mentioned in re: בכור לנחלה taught to establish status of child born afterwards לכהן not, חכור
 - d And: סנדל mentioned in סנדל taught for case where she delivers baby via C-section and סנדל vaginally
 - i That: she must bring סנדל for סנדל
 - ii And: for ר"ש, who rules that even C-section brings קרבן לידה
 - l Taught: for case where she births baby as גויה, converts and then has סנדל brings קרבן לידה (for סנדל),
 - e *Challenge(ס"ב)*: all of these answers presume a סנדל next to וולד, but we hold that it is enfolded in יוולד, with אוולד head at bij's middle and סנדל out with its head
 - i Therefore: in בכורות, they came out head-first, וולד before חנדל
 - 1 And: in כריתות, they came out breech וולד first then סנדל
 - ii בכורות even if they are still enfolded, we could explain בכירות by inverting circumstance
 - 1 בכורות they came out breech וולד takes more time to come out, so סנדל exits first
 - 2 בריחות they came out head-first a חולב they came out head-first n. nich is alive, is considered born when head comes out
 - (a) But: סנדל , which is dead, only considered "birthed" when a majority comes out (afterwards)
- III Aggadic tangent אבא שאול, the undertaker, and some of his experiences and observations