39.4.8 (משנה ו) 39b (סיום הפרק) 39b (סיום הפרק)

ז. וְאָם נְקַבָּה תֵּלֶד וְטָמָאָה שְׁבֻעִיִם כְּנִדְּתָה וְשׁשִׁים יוֹם וְשַׁשֶּׁת יָמִים **תַּשְׁב** עַל דְמֵי טָהֶרָה:י*יקרא יב, ה* 2. וְהָקְרִיבוֹ לִפְנֵי ה' וְכַבֶּר עָלֶיהָ **וְטָהָרָה מִּמְּלִר דְּמֵיהָ** זֹאת תּוֹרַת הַיֹּלֶדֶת לַזְּכָר אוֹ לַנְּקַבָּה:י*יקרא יב, ז*

- I משנה בחכמים משנה ו משנה ו during משנה ו מימי טוהר מימים משנה ו
 - a Case: if she sees דם קישוי during 80 days after לידת נקבה (she got pregnant right away or has a second twin [M] not yet born)
 - b שהור all that טהור until she has וולד
 - i Argument: if we are strict about דם שופי (before birth), yet, at that point, we are lenient about דם קושי
 - 1 Then: certainly when we are lenient about דם קושי (during מי טוהר), we should be lenient about דם קושי
 - c ממא is ז' that טמא is טמא
 - i counter: employs rule of דיו –before birth, we only release her from נדה, not הדה same in this case
- II parallel תכמים, dissent of תשב (v. 1) and position of חכמים, dissent of ר"א
 - a שופי modify argument if we are stringent about שופי alone, but lenient if accompanied by קישוי (before birth)
 - i Then: certainly after birth, when we are lenient about שופי alone, we should be lenient if accompanied by קישוי
 - b איבה still responds with דיו we are only lenient regarding נדה, not זיבה, not זיבה
 - i ר"א :דבא made a solid point:
 - 1 הכמים agree that דמה means "only self-generated, not due to וולד"
 - (a) Simlarly: v. 2 means only מהורה regarding דם that is self-generated, not due to וולד
 - (i) Challenge: why not limit this ימי נדה but בימי זיבה she should be בימי זיבה she should be
 - (ii) Answer: מי זיבה implies a common מי נדה (whether מי זיבה ימי נדה, status is consistent)
- ווו משנה ז default status of a woman during ימי etc.
 - a 11 days: of יבה assumption is ינבה, even if she failed to perform בדיקה (even intentionally) חזקת טהורה
 - שומאה But: when he ווסת arrives, assumption of
 - ii ה"ת. if she was (e.g.) in hiding and her ווסת came (and went) without טהורה בדיקה, since terror suspends blood
 - שומרת יום כנגד יום However: during "counting" days of זב and דבה or the day of the שומרת יום כנגד יום assumption of
- IV Analysis of משנה

b

- - i Answer1: indicating that she doesn't require בדיקה
 - 1 Challenge: language of 2nd clause implies that she ideally requires בדיקה; just assumed to be מהרוה if she doesn't
 - 2 Answer: that 2nd clause refers to ימי נדות; no requirement at all during 11 days, during מי נדות, should check
 - ii Answer2 (ר' חסדא): taught for ביאה approach he ruled that if a woman has no ווסת, she may not have ביאה
 - 1 However: that is only true בימי, when she is בימי, may have היאם, מהרה)
 - 2 Challenge: why did ה"ז ruled that such a woman may not remain married?
 - (a) Answer: she may inadvertently cohabit during ימי נדות
 - 3 Challenge: referent in משנה is woman with a ווסת "הגיע שעת ווסתה")
 - (a) Answer: deficient version should add during ימי נדוח, prohibited; if she has רוסת permitted
 - (i) But: requires בדיקה; if she didn't חזקת טהרה; except at שעת הווסת
 - (b) Challenge: since last clause (about the "hideout") is ¬→rest is not ¬rest is not round.
 - (i) Defense: entire משנה is authored by ה"מ (if she wasn't in hiding, at טמאה שעת הווסת, per מ"מ)
 - iii Answer3 (רבא): teaches that she has no retroactive מעל"ע)
 - 1 Challenge: טומאה and שומרת יום all have retroactive טומאה (refuted)
 - iv Answer4 (שמואל): teaches that she cannot establish ימי זיבה during ימי
 - 1 אב יוסף. forgot that he had learned this and applied it
 - 2 אב": reminded him of context:
 - (a) ברייתא: if she was used to seeing on day #15 and changed to day #20 both are אסורים
 - (i) טבילת נדה (i.e. day #22 during טבילת נדה (i.e. day #22 during ימי נדות) 1. But: if day #15 from last ווסת on be set for this day (during ימי זיבה)

- V מי זיבה and מי זיבה whether or not she should be חושש on that day during מי זיבה דר"י when she has a (new) habit of seeing דם
 - a שטור holds that we would count the "22" (in the case above) from day #22 − not #27 → during מי זיבה, day is אסור,
 - b א"ז. we count 22 from day #27 (her "new day")→she is only ימי נדות during ימי נדות
 - i Proof (בריה בריה לה"י): if a hen lays an egg every other day and then has two days in a row with no egg (e.g. laid an egg on day #1, no egg on day #2, egg on day #3, no egg on day #4, then no egg on day #5) then laid an egg on day #6
 - 1 Should we: expect her to adopt to her "new system" and have another egg on day #7
 - 2 Or should we: expect her to continue skipping days and have no egg on day #7?
 - (a) Answer: we should expect her to revert to her old pattern and skip day #7
 - ii *Counter (מ"ב)*: the dispute י"ל/ר"י whether she can be קובעת ווסת during מי נדות (both agree that she can be מי נדות during מי as well) what is the case
 - 1 Isn't it: where she saw on ה"ח, then day #5, then מ"ח, thenday #5 − then, skipped ה"ח and saw on day #5
 - (a) *Proving*: that we count from ¬¬ even though she didn't see then
 - iii Response: that case could be where she saw on consecutive ר"ח, then saw on day #25, then ר"ח, then saw on day #25, then ר"ח
 - 1 דם assumes that she had "surplus ד" and ד" rejects that
 - 2 *However*: in any case, we are counting from day #25 (when she saw)