39.9.2; 60b (משנה ד) → 61b (להקל על כתמיהן)

- ז. **וְהַבּוֹר אֲשֶׁר הַשְׁלִידְ שֶׁם יִשְׁמָעֵאל אֵת כָּל פָגֶרי הָאַנְשִׁים אֲשֶׁר הָכָּה בְּיֵד גָדְלָהוּ** הוּא אֲשֶׁר עָשֶׂה הַמֶּלֶךְ אָסָא מִבְּנֵי יַשְׁרָאָ יִשְׁרָאָ לְּתְתְּי הְאָנְשִׁים **אֲשֶׁר הְכָּה בְּיֵד גָדְלָהוּ** הוּא אֲשֶׁר עָשֶׂה הַמֶּלֶךְ אָטָא מֶלְדְּ יָשְׁבְּעָתִי אַתוּ כָּי בְּיָדְךָ נְתַתִּי אַתוֹ וְאֶת כָּל עַמּוֹ וְאָת אַרְצוֹ וְעָשִׁיתָ לוֹ כַּאֲשֶׁר עָשִׂית לְסִיחוֹ מֶלְדְּ הָאֲמִרי אָשֶׁר יוֹשֶׁב בְּחַשְׁבּוֹן: בַּמִדְּבִּר כִּא, לִזּ
  - ניבא **הַפָּלִיט** וַיַּגֵּד לְאַבָּרָם הָעִבְרִי וְהוּא שֹׁכֵן בְּאֵלֹנֵי מַמְרָא הָאֱמֹרִי אֲחִי אֶשְׁכֹּל וַאֲחִי עָנֵר וְהֵם בַּעֲלֵי בְרִית אַבְּרֶם: *בראשית יד, יג*ֹ
    - 4. **בַּמֶּתִים חַפְּשִי** כָּמוֹ חֻלֶּלִים שׁכָבֵי קָבֶר אֲשֵׁר לֹא זְכַרְתַּם עוֹד וְהָמֵּה מִיָּדְדְּ נָגְזָרוּ:ת*הלים פח, ו*
  - I ששנה status of women in one bed in a case where שוה is found under one of them (default status all are טמאות)
    - a If: one of them did a בדיקה (and found herself to be טהורות) other two are טהורות
      - i בדיקה this is only if her בדיקה was immediate to finding כשיעור ווסת)
        - 1 Inference: he holds like בדיקה, who aligns the immediacy of her בדיקה and the husband's liability with status of טהרות.
          - (a) Alignment: if he is טהורות (ספק) מאות (ספק), if he is יטהורות (ספק), if he is exempt (ספרות הייב אשם תלוי
        - 2 Dissent: אושעיא rules that even if her husband is חייב חטאת, the טהרות are still only תלויות
          - (a) Reason: in the case of ביאה, we might suppose that the שמש delayed the דם from exiting; no such argument here
          - (b) ד' ירמיה ואביי. give models for ר' אושעיא's distinction
    - b If: one of them wasn't likely to have מעוברת. או she can associate the אם with the non-pregnant woman
      - i Examples: pregnant→non-pregnant; nursing→non-nursing; post-menopausal→younger; בתולה-non-pregnant
        - 1 But if: both were pregnant, nursing, בתולות or בתולות then we apply the next clause (see all as equally likely to see דם
    - c But if: none of them were likely to see, we imagine as if all are fit to see (→all ממאות)
  - II משנה ה: status of women in one bed as distinct from משנה ה (where they are interleaved; here, each has her own place)
    - a If: 3 women were sleeping one bed and pr was found under one of them impacts on her and immediate neighbor only
      - i Therefore: if found under middle woman, all טהורה; if one on side, she and middle woman טהורה; other is טהורה
      - ii Only applies: if they entered the bed from the bottom; if they came across the bed, all are טמאות
      - iii If: one or two checked and found themselves to be טהורות, other(s) are טמאות
      - iv מהאות, if all three checked and found themselves to be טמאות, all are considered טמאות
        - 1 Model: if there were three cave-ins, and only one had a dead body under itand we checked 1 or 2, they are טהורות
          - (a) If: if we checked all 3 and didn't find the body, all are now considered טמאות
          - (b) Per: his ruling anything which has חזקת viant remains so until we found out where the טומאה went
        - in the case of the גל, have to check all the way to bedrock (and then assume the body is gone all מהורות)
          - 1 Justification: model brought up by מ"ח to try challenge חבמים as to why they rule differently in the two cases
        - 2 Their distinction: in the case of the body, it may have been taken (by a predator); but here from where did come?
  - III Discussion and analysis dispute טומאה about inevitable טומאה
    - a *t-ה:ה ח:ה:* stories reported by טומאה which could not be found later found inadvertently מומאה which could not be found later found inadvertently
      - i Response (תכמים): in each case, the original search was half-hearted –
      - ii Final story: involved the pit where ישמעאל בן נתניה threw his victims (v. 1)
      - iii Tangential aside: value of heeding לה"ר, difference between עוג and עוג (vv. 2-3)
  - IV Dealing with garments with "lost" חומרי
    - a משנה: if a כתם has a כתם that can no longer be found, put 7 lyes (see next משנה) on it and that should purify the כתם
      - i אכונה check it by little bits at a time (שכונה at least 3 fingerss-width)
      - ii  $\mathit{If}$ : the garment has v''v, if it is new, poke it with a needle; if old, check it by sunlight
    - b אויספתא כלאים הייט if garment has a strand of flax lost in it, may not sell to non-Jew (he'll sell to ישראל) or make donkey-saddle
      - i But: he may use it for a shroud
      - ii Implication (יב ייסד): this teaches that מצוות will be nullified in the future days (of resurrection)
        - 1 Challenge (ינאי: (גאביי) limited the permission to use שעטנז shrouds to the eulogy must be buried שעטנז -free
        - 2 Answer: ר' יוחנן 'disagrees and allows even burial, per his own דרשה on v. 4 after a man dies, he is "free" of מצוות
    - c לאים 'r. if a garment has "lost" כלאים, dye it
      - i *Challenge (דבא*): what is his source?
      - ii Answer (משנה on behalf of משנה check until he reaches bedrock
        - 1 Similarly: flax won't take dye if we see that the entire garment takes the dye, the flax must have fallen out
    - d מד זוטרא. if someone lost a flax-string in his garment and can't find it ignore it
      - i Reason: the תורה prohibited "שעטנז" fully combed in, anything less is מד"ס, and this is a מותר ספק דרבנן
      - ii Challenge (מב"ע אשי): perhaps any of נוז ob שוע, טווי is enough (מה"ת) a: if so, תורה wouldn't have used one word
      - iii תוספתא נדה פ"ח. colored garments can be טמא מדין כתם disagrees the reason חכמים allowed women to continue wearing colored garments (after סוטה טיטו see סוטה) was to allow leniency for כתמים